

Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of Decision of the 58th meeting of Expert Committee held during 20th and 21st May 2015 for appraisal of such applications.

Important Note: The Competent Authority in the Ministry made following observations:

I. Agenda Item no. 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3, 2.1.5, 2.1.6, 2.1.9, 2.1.14, 2.1.15, 2.1.1.6, 2.1.22, 2.1.25

These are computers, servers and accessories for testing purpose and some of the cases to be re-exported back within 3 years. One case at 2.1.1 is shifting of the office, hence will not be re-exported back. The monitoring with respect to re-export after one year is not feasible in the present context. Further, it is noted that manufacturing date is not being considered as an essential criteria for such cases for re-export. Any import of EEE for shifting of office may not be considered. Accordingly, all such cases are rejected.

II. Agenda item nos. 2.1.4,2.1.7

These are medical equipment for diagnostic purposes. The cases are rejected as the year of manufacture is more than three years.

III. Agenda Item no. 2.3.1, 2.3.2, 2.3.3

The proposals to import used PET and other plastic waste/scrap are rejected by the Ministry. The rejection is on the ground that there is enough availability of PET Scrap in the country which remains unutilized creating disposal issue.

IV. Agenda Item no. 2.4.7, 2.4.8, 2.4.9, 2.4.16,2.4.17, 2.4.19 and 2.5.4

To be deferred for further details to be verified on the requirement of environmental safeguards in the plant facility

V. Agenda Item no. 2.1.8, 2.1.10, 2.1.11, 2.1.12, 2.1.13, 2.1.17, 2.1.18, 2.1.19, 2.1.20, 2.1.21, 2.1.23, 2.1.24, 2.1.26, 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.4.1, 2.4.2, 2.4.3, 2.4.4, 2.4.5, 2.4.6,

2.4.10, 2.4.11, 2.4.12, 2.4.13, 2.4.14, 2.4.15, 2.4.18, 2.5.1, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.5, 2.5.6, 3.1.1, 3.1.2

In the subject matter of permissibility of shredded rubber in any form and waste oil, CPCB, should first assess the permission granted in terms of quality in last three years and its downstream use along with environmental safeguards adopted by such importers.

In view of foregoing, Ministry's decision on various agenda of the meeting taking into account the details of deliberations and related recommendations of the Expert Committee are as given below:

AGENDA ITEM 2.1:IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC

2.1.1 M/s BA Continuum India Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (F. No. 23-52/2015-HSMD):

There are three applications pertaining to import of Used Servers and Storage Equipments for testing and worldwide support on HP systems i.e. 325(200+25+100) EEAs; 424(100+35+44+245) EEAs and 1368 EEAs (telecom equipments, headset equipment and networking equipments, EPBX and networking equipments) from Philippines.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.2 M/s Avaya India Pvt. Ltd., Pune (F. No. 23-214/2013-HSMD):

There are three applications with regard to Import of 3 nos of used/2nd hand spare parts from Phillipines, 12 (3+2+2+2+2+1) nos of Servers, and 5(1+1+1+1+1) nos of used computer accessories (SPX Machines) from USA.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.3 M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 104 nos of used Electrical & Electronic Goods (PCB Ports) and 170 (modules and boards) for testing, analysis and validation purpose from Netherlands and USA.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.4 M/s Anand Brothers, Karampura (F. No. 23-172/2014-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 01 number of refurbished Automatic RIA/RMA Analyzer SR 300 **from Czech Republic** for direct re-use.. The refurbished machine is medical equipment for diagnostic purposes.

Decision: The case is rejected by the Ministry as the year of manufacture of the machine is more than three years.

2.1.5 M/s Sogo Synergy Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-50/2015-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 340 nos of Hard Disk Drive and 85 nos of Servers for sale to actual user that have already been imported in the country without the prior permission of this Ministry.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.6. M/s Hewlett-Packard (India) Software Operation Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-31/2011-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 136 nos of used servers and storage equipment for testing and worldwide support on HP systems from USA

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.7. M/s Philips India Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-207/2014-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 3 Units of pre-owned refurbished Ultrasound, 2 Units of iXR and 1 Unit of CT scan machine.

Decision: The case is rejected by the Ministry as the year of manufacture of the machine is more than three years.

2.1.8.M/s UL India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-252/2013-HSMD):

The item (one server) proposed to be imported is essentially for testing purposes and can be re-exported within a period of one year.

Decision: The Ministry therefore recommended the import from United Kingdom.

2.1.9 M/s Delphi Automotive Systems Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-152/2014-HSMD), (F.No. 23-183/2014-HSMD):

There are two applications pertaining to import of one automotive testing system from Poland and two numbers of De-buggers from Germany.

Decision: The applications are rejected.

2.1.10 M/s Applied Materials India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-116/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has given the undertaking to re-export these items within a period of three years. Therefore the Ministry recommended the import of 14 no. of cluster tools with chambers and 42 cables and 7 nos. of jetpack modules, remote consoles/ module assembly and cables for research and development purpose.

2.1.11 M/s Thermo Fisher Scientific India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (F. No. 23-57/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that 2 sets of old Allergy and Auto-immunity Testing Machine from Sweden for use of demo purpose have already been imported without prior permission of this Ministry. The Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 says that such import is illegal; the Ministry therefore recommended the re-export.

2.1.12 M/s Pt Yogiraj, New Delhi (F. No. 23-36/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the amendment to the earlier NOC dated 8.4.2015 of 7 nos. cameras to 6 no. of camera and 1 no. of communication terminal.

2.1.13 M/s Lam Research India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F.No. 23-2/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has already imported three numbers of computers with accessories without the prior permission of this Ministry. Being illegal import as per Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling and Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008, this Committee had earlier recommended re-export. The applicant's grounds for reconsideration are untenable. Therefore, the Ministry endorsed the earlier decision.

2.1.14 M/s IBM India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F.no. 23-30/2011):

The application pertains to import of 682 nos. of IBM server storage and networking products from USA and Singapore.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.15 M/s SJ Seymour Service Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (23-59/2015):

The application pertains to import of 89 EEE (26 monitors, 15 CPUs, 1 server together with wires and ancillary items) from Singapore.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.16 M/s Accel Frontline Global IT Services, Chennai (F. No. 23-29/2011-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 1,73,328 number of refurbished hard disk drives from USA, Singapore, Malayasia, Korea, Netherlands and Vietnam.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.17 M/s Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Lucknow (F. No. 23-71/2012-HSMD):

Decision: Since the application pertains to import of 80 numbers of aircraft accessories for repair in India and re-export within a year, the Ministry therefore recommended the import from Mauritius.

2.1.18. M/s Nidec India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-237/2014-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 2nd hand machineries from Japan. A similar matter was placed before Technical Review Committee in its 33rd Meeting held on 28.10.2014 and TRC noted that the proposed machine to be imported is a capital item for manufacturing facilities and therefore does not need this Ministry's permission for import. Accordingly, the same is applicable in this case as well.

Decision: The Ministry endorses the decision of TRC and recommended the import.

2.1.19. M/s Keysight Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. No. 23-150/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee recommended that the applicant should submit revised application along with Form 7 & 8 duly and properly filled as well as the Undertaking for re-export. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

2.1.20.M/s Hollister Medical India Pvt. Ltd., Bawal, Haryana (23-231/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The mould to be imported is for injection moulding of eight catheters at the same time. This is essentially a mechanical machine with a control panel and hydraulic cylinders. It is a capital equipment and mostly mechanical. Therefore, it does not need the permission of this Ministry.

2.1.21 M/s ADS Diagnostic Ltd., New Delhi (F.No. 23-274/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the documents available including Form 7 & 8, it was not clear as to what these five items are and what their year of manufacture is. The Committee recommended that CEC from the exporting country may be obtained before the matter could be considered. It would also be advisable to invite the applicant for clarification that the Committee might have to seek.

2.1.22 M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F.No.23-69/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee took note of the two applications, one of them with respect to amendment in the NOC issued previously by the Ministry. Accordingly the Committee recommended as follows:

- (i) With regard to the NOC dated 22.11.2013, the Ministry recommended the amendment of “telecom equipment” to “telecom spare parts” and limiting the re-export period to three years from the date of issue of the NOC.
- (ii) With reference to the application for import of 62 nos. the application is rejected.

2.1.23 M/s Honda Care India Limited, Noida (F.no.23-15/2013):

Decision: The items are essentially stainless steel tubes and pipes with connection accessories. There does not seem to be any electronics and electrical constituents. Therefore, the Ministry recommended that its permission is not required.

2.1.24 M/s Span Healthcare P. Ltd., New Delhi (No.23-229/2012-HSMD):

Decision: In the documents submitted by the applicant the CEC from exporting country indicating the year of manufacture of the item is not provided. The applicant has been asked to obtain this information, till then the case is deferred.

2.1.25 M/s Radisys India Pvt. Ltd, Bangalore (F.No. 23-49/2014):

The application pertains to import of 24 no. of used servers with accessories from Canada.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.1.26 M/s HCL Technologies Limited, Noida (23-77/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee considered the case again based on the presentation made by the applicant. They are not the first timers for the import and the consignment arrived because of their own internal non-coordination. The Ministry therefore endorsed the earlier decision of re-export.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP ETC.

2.2.1 M/s Gravita India Ltd., Gandhidham, Gujarat (F. No. 23-38/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee examined the application and documents submitted including the photographs of the plant. The applicant has provided a tentative list of countries of middle East, Africa, Europe, Carribean's, South America and Asia from which the lead scrap will be imported. The Ministry recommended the import of 2000 MT of lead scrap consisting of Radio, Racks, Relay, Rakes, Rents and Rails subject to the receipt of confirmed list of countries from which the lead scrap will be imported.

2.2.2 M/s Agni Industries, Thane (F. No. 23-142/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee examined the application. The unit has a rotary furnace with requisite pollution control system including the alkaline scrubber. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 750 MT Lead scrap as per ISRI Code (Radio, Racks, Rails, Ropes, Relay, Rakes, Rent) from UAE and Oman.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1 M/s Vishal Poly Fibres Pvt. Ltd., Surat (F. No. 23-72/2015-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 3,000 MT of Pet Bottle Waste/Scrap from UK and USA.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.3.2 M/s Alliance Fibres Ltd., Surat (F. No. 23-91/2009-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 15,000 MT of Pet Bottles Waste/Scrap Hong kong, UAE, U.K., USA, Spain, Jordan, Singapore, South Africa, Yuganada, Saudi Arabia and Belgium.

Decision: The application is rejected.

2.3.3 M/s Orange Enterprise, Rajkot (F. No. 23-37/2015-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 60,000 MT of PET Bottles waste/Scrap **from UAE**

Decision: The application is rejected.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1 M/s Zion Industries, Kottayam, Kerala (F. No. 23-6/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The application pertains to import of 550 MT of used rubber tyres scrap with multiple cut for manufacturing of reclaimed rubber. The applicant has informed that the plant is expected to be installed by the end of April and he will come for presentation in the meeting to be held in May 2015. However he did not turn up for the presentation, it is likely that the plant has still not been installed. The video sent by the applicant seems to be of a plant in some other company abroad. The case is deferred by the Ministry.

2.4.2 M/s Shree Mangal Radhika Industries, Delhi (F. No. 23-55/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report, the Committee recommended import of 2800 MT of used rubber tyre scrap with multiple cuts or press baled/ shredded from Australia and UAE.

2.4.3.M/s Green Rubber Industries, Sonapat (F. No. 23-229/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report, the Committee recommended import of 6000 MT of used rubber tyre with multiple cuts from U.K.

2.4.4 M/s SBJ Rubber Products, Sonapat (F. No. 23-125/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has also been granted NOC earlier. The Committee now recommends import of 1300 MT of old/ used rubber tyres (two cuts and multiple cuts) from UAE, South Africa, Italy, U.K., Belgium and Europe.

2.4.5 M/s Mahalakshmi Rubber Industries, Secunderabad (F. No. 23-76/2015-HSMD):

Decision: After seeing the video and presentation by the applicant it was not clear as to how the cyclone can remove only the fibres. Cyclones do not have the collection efficiency of more than 70 to 80

percent. The Committee therefore recommended verification and inspection by zonal office CPCB essentially to verify the efficacy of the fibre collection system, mitigative measures for fugitive emissions in the work environment and proper storage and fire-fighting system of both raw material and product.

2.4.6 M/s Vira Raclaim Rubber Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad (Telengana) (F. No. 23-269/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Since the applicant did not turn up for the presentation, the Committee deferred the decision.

2.4.7 M/s Mumbai Fabrics Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F.No.23-73/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The case is deferred by the Ministry for further details to be verified on the requirement of environmental safeguards in the plant facility by zonal office, CPCB.

2.4.8 M/s Horizon Reclaimed India Pvt. Ltd., Haridwar (F. No. No.23-112/2013-HSMD):

Decision: A site visit is recommended by Shri G.S. Dang, Member before the next meeting of the Expert Committee to ascertain the adequacy of pollution control systems.

2.4.9 M/s Maxan Corporation Pvt. Ltd., Ahmedabad (F. No. 23-85/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The case is deferred by the Ministry for further details to be verified on the requirement of environmental safeguards in the plant facility by Zonal office CPCB.

2.4.10 M/s Surya Rubber Industries, A.P. (F. No. 23-86/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee saw the video and considered the clarifications given by the applicant. Given their capacity as per CTO, the Ministry recommended the import of 2000 MT of used rubber tyres scrap (two or multiple cuts) from U.K. However, the Committee suggested that transfer points and the vibrating sieve should be covered and the temporary connection to cyclone separator for fiber collection could be made permanent. The tyre storage area should be covered and provided with fire-hydrant system. Only after applicant providing the evidence of these improvements subsequent applications for import would be considered.

2.4.11 M/s S.P. Rubber, Chittoor (F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation and the video shown, the Ministry recommended import of 4000 MT used rubber tyres scrap from UK.

2.4.12 M/s Oyster Industries Pvt. Ltd. Silvassa (F. No. 23-88/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation and the video, the Ministry recommended the import of 10,000 MT of shredded scrap tyres from U.K. and UAE and not one cut or multi-cut tyres because the unit has no facility to process the whole tyres.

2.4.13 M/s SNR Reclamation Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana (F. No. 23-87/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The application pertains to import of used scrap tyres and tubes. However, for tyre processing they don't have the front end machines such as the bidder, wire pulling machine. Therefore, their application for import of scrap tyres cannot be entertained. The applicant now wants only scrap tubes for which they have been advised to file fresh application along with properly filled Form 7 & 8. The Ministry recommended that for the moment the case may be deferred.

2.4.14 M/s Enar Rubber Reclaim Industries Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata (F. No. 23-83/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Since the applicant did not come for the presentation, the case was deferred by the Ministry.

2.4.15 M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., New Delhi (F. No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: There are two applications:

- (i) The Ministry recommended import of 5000 MT of old/ used rubber tyres scrap (with two cuts and multiple cuts) for the Panipat plant from Germany;
- (ii) In the absence of valid CTO from HPCB the consideration of the application for Kala Amb Plant, H.P. is deferred by the Ministry.

2.4.16 M/s JNPR Global Industries Pvt. Ltd., Latur (F. No. 23-14/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Since the applicant did not come for the presentation, the committee deferred the case and before recommending, details with regard to requirement of environmental safeguards in the plant facility are to be verified by zonal office, CPCB.

2.4.17 M/s Coastal Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., A.P.(F. No. 23-29/2015-HSMD)

Decision: After seeing the video and the clarifications provided by the applicant, the Committee suggested the following improvements:

- (a) Installation of fire hydrant system in scrap tyre storage area as well as product storage area.
- (b) The fibre extraction cum collection system which at present is idle should be made operational.
- (c) The conveyor system at the transfer points especially after the grinder should be covered.
- (d) The safety guards should be provided on the sheet-cutting machine.
- (e) The housekeeping should be improved.
- (f) verified on the requirement of environmental safeguards

The Committee therefore recommended the plant inspection specially the environmental safe guards by the Zonal Office of CPCB. The proponent should carry out above-mentioned improvements at the earliest and inform the zonal office of CPCB for site-visit.

2.4.18 M/s AP Rubber Reclaimers Pvt. Ltd., A.P. (F. No. 23-30/2015-HSMD):

Decision: After seeing the video and the clarifications provided by the applicant, the Committee suggested the following improvements:

- (a) Installation of fire hydrant system in scrap tyre storage area as well as product storage area.
- (b) The fibre extraction cum collection system which at present is idle should be made operational.
- (c) The conveyor system at the transfer points especially after the grinder should be covered.
- (d) The safety guards should be provided on the sheet-cutting machine.
- (e) The housekeeping should be improved.

The Committee therefore recommended the plant inspection by the Zonal Office of CPCB. The proponent should carry out above-mentioned improvements at the earliest and inform the zonal office of CPCB for site-visit.

2.4.19 S.S. Endothermics, Mumbai (23-56/2015-HSMD):

The application pertains to import of 73,440 MT of used rubber tyre scrap with multiple cuts or press baled or loose form or shredded.

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has provided now the photographs of the improvement. However, the committee took cognizance of the site visit report submitted by the Expert Member which indicates the unit does not even have front end machines such as de-beader, wire pulling machine And called the application was premature. The report highlighted that only after all the requisite

facilities are in place and video and photographic evidence is provided, the Committee shall consider the application after site verification. The Committee deferred the decision and recommended for the verification of the improvements as well as environmental safeguards by the Zonal Office, CPCB.

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF USED OIL.

2.5.1 M/s Mangalam Lubricants (P) Ltd., Ranchi, Jharkhand (F. No. 23-75/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant includes a test certificate from exporter for used oil but not having characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A, which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired certificate. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

2.5.2 M/s Lubrina Recycling Pvt. Ltd., S. 24 Parganas, West Bengal (F. No. 23-80/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant includes a test certificate from exporter for used oil but not having characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. Further, the CTO has also expired. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired documents. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

2.5.3 M/s Allen Oil Corporation Ltd., Meerut, U.P. (F. No. 23-78/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant includes a test certificate from exporter for used oil but not having characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A, which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired certificate. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

2.5.4 M/s Universal Hydrolubes, Karnal, Haryana (F. No. 23-77/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant does not include the test certificate from exporter for used oil having characteristics given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A, which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired certificate. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry for further details to be verified on the requirement of environmental safeguards in the plant facility by zonal office, CPCB.

2.5.5 M/s Om Petro Fines, Sonapat (F. No. 23-84/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant includes a test certificate from exporter for used oil but not having characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A, which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired certificate. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

2.5.6 M/s Lubri Sales (India), Gurgaon (F. No. 23-81/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee observed that the documents submitted by the applicant includes a test certificate from exporter for used oil but not having characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule V-A which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. The applicant therefore was asked to produce the desired certificate. Till then the matter is deferred by the Ministry.

AGENDA NO 3 : EXPORT OF SPENT CATALYST

3.1.1.M/s Tonchi Suchi PGM Company, New Delhi (F. No. 23-285/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant was granted permission to export the automobile spent catalytic convertor earlier as well. The Ministry therefore recommended the export of 60 MT of Spent Catalyst to Korea, Hong Kong, China, Germany, Netherlands, Belgium, UK, USA, Japan and Italy.

3.1.2M/s Rosemetals Pvt. Ltd. Co., Delhi(F. No. 23-216/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant was granted permission to export spent automobile catalytic converters as well in the past. The Ministry therefore recommended export of 1000 MT of Scrap of old/used Spent Automobile Catalytic Converters from Japan, Germany, Italy, Singapore, Thailand, Hongkong, Luthenia, UK, Korea.