Decisions of Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change with respect to import and export of hazardous waste under the Hazardous Waste (Management, Handling & Trans-boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 as approved by the Competent Authority on the basis of Decision of the 66th meeting of Expert Committee held on 25th -26th February 2016 for appraisal of such applications.

On the basis of agenda-wise detailed deliberation, following are the Decisions of the Expert Committee:

AGENDA ITEM 2.1: <u>IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES (EEAs), ETC.</u>

2.1.1 M/s Keysight Technologies, Bangalore (F. No. 23-150/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the items are refurbished and are to be used either as spares or for demonstration purpose and the defective parts as well as the one for demonstration purpose will be exported within two years. The Ministry recommended the import with the stipulation that they will be re-exported within two years.

2.1.2 M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-68/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the import (10,000 nos. of assembled PCBs (Printed Circuit Boards) with the stipulation that the bill of entry itself states that faulty units/ parts along with the repaired items will be exported within a period of six months from the date of issue of permission from this Ministry.

2.1.3 M/s Tejas Networks Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-183/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the defective components are being imported for repair in India and export of repaired components. The Ministry therefore recommended the import with the stipulation that along with repaired components any defective components not repairable will also be re-exported within 120 days from the date of import.

2.1.4 M/s IBM India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-30/2011-HSMD):

Decision: In view of the circumstances explained by the applicant for not being able to import against the earlier permission of 2014. The Ministry recommended the extension of the validity of permission by 18 months from the date of issue of the permission, as per the current procedure being followed in the Ministry.

2.1.5 M/s GE BE Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. 5-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that the items (48 nos. of Medical equipment) have already been imported. They are defective items out of a lot exported earlier by the company. Although these items have been imported without any prior permission of this Ministry, the Committee took a lenient view in view of the fact that these are items manufactured in India and found defective by the importer abroad and the company has the obligation to take these items back for repair and re-export within six months. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.6 M/s Denso International India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-128/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the items (22 nos. of 2nd hand EEAs) are being imported for R&D purpose and will be re-exported within 3 months from the date of import. The Ministry recommended the import with stipulation that the items will be re-exported within three months from the date of import.

2.1.7 M/s Cochlear Medical Device Company India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 5-25/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant made the presentation before the Committee and based on the presentation the Ministry recommended the import of 2000 refurbished processing units of hearing aid. The equivalent no. of faulty processing units shall be sent to their repair center in Malaysia.

2.1.8 M/s Communications Test Design India Pvt. Ltd.(CTDI), Gurgaon (F. No. 23-45/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had already been given permission to import the items for repair and re-export. At that time the validity of the permission was not mentioned in the permission letter issued by the Ministry. The items have now been imported against that permission of 2012 to which customs seem to have raised the issue about its validity. The Ministry recommended the import of the present consignment with the stipulation that for future imports the applicant may apply afresh.

2.1.9 M/s SP Pharma, Hyderabad (F. NO. 23-15/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The items proposed to be imported are used analytical instruments for testing of medicinal products. The Committee noted the annexure with respect to manufacturing date has been provided by the applicant on their own letter head. During presentation, the applicant's representatives mentioned the YOM as 2012 and 2013, however the Committee noted that the certificate from same CE submitted with the application shows the annexure referring to YOM as 2006 to 2009. The applicant's representative could not explain the reason for this variation. The Ministry did not recommend the import.

2.1.10 M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-69/2011-HSMD):

Decision: There are three applications:

- i. 66 no. of test equipment are being imported for R&D work and will be re-exported within two years.
- ii. 2726 telecom spares are being imported to replace defective components and equivalent no. of defective components will be re-exported within three years;
- iii. 8630 refurbished telecom spares are being imported and equivalent no. of defective components will be exported again within three years.

The Ministry recommended the aforesaid imports with stipulation for re-export as given above.

2.1.11M/s Honeywell Technology Solutions Lab Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that these items are being imported from their center in Germany for testing, analysis and verification and will be re-exported within three years. The Ministry recommended the import of 331 nos. and 48 nos. of used electronic goods with the stipulation that they will be sent back within three years.

2.1.12: M/s Ericsson India Pvt. Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-81/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that equivalent no. of defective parts have already been exported for repair or replacement of similar parts. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 22,575 refurbished items.

2.1.13 M/s Philips India Ltd., Gurgaon (F. No. 23-207/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the two items namely IXR and MR are 2005 make though refurbished in 2015 by OEM whereas the 2 CTs are 2014 and 2015 make. The CTs are having certification from CE from Netherlands and the residual life has been indicated as 15 years. The Ministry therefore recommended their import. In respect of the other two equipment namely IXR and MR, taking into consideration the year of manufacture, the Ministry did not recommend the import.

2.1.14 M/s Aricent Technologies (Holding) Ltd., Gurgaon:

Decision: These items (535 nos. of $2^{\rm nd}$ hand networking and telecom equipment) are being imported for software development and testing and have CEC from UK, they will be re-exported within three years. The Ministry recommended the import with the stipulation that the items will be re-exported back within 3 years.

2.1.15 M/s Applied Materials India Pvt. Ltd., Bengaluru (F. 23-116/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that these are 2 heat exchangers/thermo-chillers mostly made of iron and steel except the

temperature control system which is electronic. Considering that these two heat exchangers are predominantly mechanical in nature. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.16 M/s Groz-Beckert Asia Pvt. Ltd., Chandigarh(F. No. 23-25/2012-HSMD):

Decision: In view of this Ministry's OM No. 23-89/2015-HSMD dated 1.06.2015, the permission of this Ministry for predominantly mechanical machine is not required.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2.2.: IMPORT OF BATTERY/LEAD SCRAP/TITANIUM SCRAP, ETC.

2.2.1M/s Associated Pigments Ltd., Kolkata (F. No. 23-79/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had earlier been given permission for import of 15,000 MT of lead scrap as per ISRI Code Radio, Racks, Relay, Ropes, Rails, Rains, Rents and the unit had been visited. Based on the capacity of the plant, the Ministry recommended import of 21,000 MT of Radio, Racks, Relay, Ropes, Rails, Rains, Rents.

2.2.2 M/s POCL Enterprises Ltd., Chennai (F. No. 23-50/2009-HSMD):

Decision: Subsequent to the submission of site visit report by CPCB, the Ministry recommended the import of 2000 MT of lead scrap as per ISRI Code Radio, Racks, Relay, Ropes, Rails, Rents.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF PET BOTTLE SCRAP/PLASTIC SCRAP/LDPE/POLYURETHANE FOAM SCRAP:

2.3.1M/s Pashupati Polytex Pvt. Ltd., Kashipur, Uttrakhand (F. No. 17-4/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant was earlier granted permission to import 15,000 MT of used/ scrap PET bottles, PET flakes, PET Lumps in the month of May 2015. Against this permission the applicant has already imported 11,508 MT of the above and 3500 MT is reported to be in the process of import. In view of the fact that the applicant has his own polyester fibre manufacturing plant at the same location, the Ministry recommended import of additional 4000 MT of used/scrap PET bottle, PET flakes and PET lumps.

2.3.2.M/s United Fibres Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 17-8/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has earlier been given permission for import of 4800 MT of PET bottle scrap in 2013. The applicant has also provided details of earlier import and its processing for manufacturing polyester fibre. However the unit has not yet been inspected therefore the Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB before considering the case for import.

2.3.3 M/s Shakti Plus Industries, Noida:

Decision: Considering the fact that the applicant intends to import virgin plastic waste as detailed above to manufacture bumpers, dashboards etc. for the automobile industry, the Committee recommended the import of 2000 MT of virgin plastic waste(PE/PP/PS/ABS) initially. The balance quantity will be recommended subsequent to the site inspection by a sub-

committee comprising of Shri K.P. Nyati and Shri Anand Kumar, CPCB.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC.

2.4.1M/s R.K. Reclaim & Rubber Products Pvt. Ltd., Barouche (F. No. 23-103/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant was given permission for import of 1200 MT of used cut rubber tubes. However, the site visit has not been carried out. The Ministry recommended site visit by CPCB before considering the case for import.

2.4.2. M/s Balaji Rubber Industries Pvt. Ltd., Salem, TN (F. No. 23-133/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit by CPCB and the subsequent improvements carried out by the applicant, the Ministry recommended import of 5000 MT used/ scrap Rubber tyre with multiple cuts.

2.4.3 M/s Mounika Industry, Telangana (F. No. 23-273/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Since the permission was granted to the applicant in November 2015, the case can only be considered in the next financial year i.e. 2016-2017.

2.4.4 M/s SNR Reclamations Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana (F. No. 23-86/2015-HSMD):

Decision: - The Ministry had already granted permission for import of 1500 MT of used butyl Rubber Tube (Pressed/ shredded / bailed/ multiple cuts). The applicant has requested import from Pakistan through ICP Attari Amritsar. The Ministry recommended inclusion of the port of entry given above in the permission granted earlier.

2.4.5M/s Horizon Reclaim (India) Pvt. Ltd., Haridwar (F. No. 23-112/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the inclusion of used rubber tubes scrap in the permission granted by the Ministry as requested by the applicant. However the quantity enhancement would be considered in the next financial year.

2.4.6 M/s S&J Granulate Solutions(P) Ltd., Mumbai(F. No. 5-19/2011-HSMD(pt.):

Decision: Considering the site visit report submitted by CPCB which states that the unit is using latest technology and have all the requisite air pollution control system, fire fighting system, secured storage area etc. and also the complete details of import of scrap tyres, their processing including retail invoices to various customers. The Ministry recommended the import of additional 7000 MT of used scrap rubber tyre (multiple cuts/shredded/baled/pressed scrap).

2.4.7 M/s S.P. Rubber, Chittoor (F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee had granted permission for import of 4000 MT of rubber tyre scrap in July 2015. The site visit report by CPCB has now been received which is favorable. The Committee took note of the site visit report.

2.4.8 M/s Shree Ganesh Rubber(F.No. 23-230/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The site visit report of CPCB suggested certain improvements. The case would be considered subsequent to the submission of the evidence of having implemented the suggested improvements both to CPCB and MoEF&CC.

AGENDA NO.2.5: IMPORT OF OIL.

2.5.1 M/s CEE JEE Lubricants, Aluva, Kerala (F. No. 23-113/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee deferred the decision in the want of a test certificate from exporter for used oil conforming to characteristics as given in HWM Rules, 2008 Schedule VA, which is must for judging the suitability of the re-refining of the used oil. As the Registration certificate from CPCB has been issued in 2007-2008 and the applicant being a first timer, the Ministry also recommended a site visit by CPCB.

AGENDA NO.3: EXPORT OF E-WASTE

3.1.1 M/s E-Parisaraa Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. no. 23-2/2006-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been granted permission for the export of 1060 MT of waste PCBs in 2013. The Ministry therefore recommended export of 300 MT of e-waste (PCBs and Components).

3.1.2 M/s Masa Traders Pvt. Ltd., Greater Noida (F. No. 23-265/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Ministry recommended the export of 50 MT of spent automobile catalyst. The name of the importer in Japan may be changed as requested by the applicant viz. M/s Tanaka Kikinzoku International K.K., Tokyo, Japan to M/s Tanaka Kikinzoku Kogyo K.K., Japan.

3.1.3 M/s Greenscape Eco Management Pvt. Ltd., Alwar, Rajasthan:

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been granted permission for the export of 300 MT of waste PCBs in the year 2013. The Ministry recommended export of 1000 MT of waste PCBs.