

Decision of 79th Meeting of the Expert Committee (EC) for Appraisal of Proposals for Import/Export of Hazardous and Other Wastes held on 19th and 20th June 2017 in the Ministry

AGENDA NO.2.1 : IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC. (BASEL NO. 1110)

2.1.1 M/s 3K Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (New File):

Decision: The proposal is to import 18 types of medical equipment with some equipment in multiple nos. The equipment of high value are 2 nos of CT scanner, all other items are of various diagnostic application including ophthalmic, laparoscopic, coloscopic and even including ICU beds. The Committee noted that the year of manufacture varies from 1996-2008 for all items except for ICU beds for which the year of manufacture is 2011. Used/second hand ICU beds being in the category of critical items cannot be permitted for import in any case. None of the items have been refurbished/reconditioned and there is no letter from Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) that they will undertake any repair or maintenance. In view of the year of manufacture of the items, no refurbishment and no guarantee by the OEM for undertaking repair and maintenance, the Ministry did not recommend the import.

2.1.2. M/s Wipro GE Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (5-26/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Since no details of the refurbished/repared medical equipment have been received the case is deferred. The Committee also noted that the quantity of items is given in tons which are quite unusual and the applicant should submit the list of items with numbers and description of each.

2.1.3. M/s Wipro GE Healthcare Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 5-26/2014--HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has been given permission in December 2015 to import 16,706 no. of Refurbished spare parts (medical Devices) from Singapore, France, USA and Japan and equivalent no. of faulty parts will be exported, the validity of the import and re-export was 18 months. The applicant has now asked for extension for the validity of the aforesaid permission for another two years. The Ministry recommended the extension of the issued permission.

2.1.4. M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-68/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has been given permission on 21.06.2013 for import of 1070 items (395+675) for software development and testing purposes with the stipulation that the items would be re-exported within 36 months from the date of issue. The applicant has now made a request that 66 of the items are essential to meet their commitment and therefore the time for their export may be extended. However the applicant has not given details of all the items actually imported and the ones which have already been exported including items for which validity has already been extended by this Ministry. The Committee therefore deferred the proposal and recommended that subsequent to the receipt of the aforesaid information the case will be considered further.

2.1.5. M/s LSI India Research & Development Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-266/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that out of 234 items which had been imported, 221 have already been re-exported. 13 items (evaluation boards) are required to be used for another 3 years for completion of the R&D project. The Ministry recommended the retention of these 13 items for another 36 months subsequent to which they need to be re-exported back and no further extension would be considered.

2.1.6 M/s Hollister Medical India Pvt. Ltd., Bawal, Haryana (F. No. 23-231/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that this machine is being imported from M/s Liberty Medical Devices which is a subsidiary of Hollister Medical USA and will be utilized for making bags for Cathartic applications (urine collection) in India. The Committee noted that the year of manufacture of the machine is 2011 and CE has estimated the residual life as 10-15 years. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.7. M/s Ericsson India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi (23-81/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee was informed that the items are being imported (2 no. of second hand equipment) for testing in the field of telecommunication from their sister concern in Estonia, the year of manufacture is 2011-2014 and have been upgraded in 2016 and residual life is 6 years as per CEC. The Ministry therefore recommended the import.

2.1.8 M/s Bharat Biotech International Limited, Hyderabad, Telangana (New file):

Decision: In view of the fact that the year of manufacture is 2009 (more than 7 years old) and refurbishment has not been done by OEM, the Ministry did not recommend the import.

2.1.9. M/s Vattikutti Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (23-55/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has been given permission for import of a total of 14 nos. of refurbished robotic surgical instrument on different dates. The latest permission was for the import of 5 equipment in February 2017. The present proposal is for the import of two similar equipment for supply to different hospitals. Both the equipments are of 2012 make and refurbished in 2017 as per CEC, the residual life is stated as 10 years. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 2 robotic refurbished surgical instruments.

2.1.10 M/s On Semiconductor Technology India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-86/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant made a strong case for the need of this equipment for their testing work and high cost of the original new equipment. However applicant could not provide the details of the manufacturer and whether the refurbishing done in 2016 was by the OEM. The applicant also stated that the normal life of such equipment is 15 years and is extended at least by 8-10 years after refurbishment. The Committee recommended the following information may be sought from applicant:

- i. The original manufacturer of the equipment and whether the refurbishment was done by OEM;
- ii. In case the equipment becomes non-functional within 5 years, an undertaking from the supplier or the principal of the company in the US to take it back.

2.1.11 Groz- Beckert Asia Pvt Ltd, Chandigarh (F.no. 23-25/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The application pertains to import of one Auto aligning machine, to be used to align the needles used for hosiery. This machine was made in 2004 and reconditioned by M/s Groz Beckert, Germany in the year 2017. As per the CEC the residual life of the machine is 10-12 years, the entire machine is by and large mechanical and comprises of electromagnets and the motors for the conveyors. Considering the above the Ministry recommended the import.

2.1.12. M/s Emulex Communications Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-48/2014-HSMD)

Decision: The Committee was informed that out of 1707 items for which permission was granted for import, the applicant has imported

only 581 items. At the time of getting this permission for import the applicant had informed that the items would be retained for a period of three years before being re-exported. The applicant has now stated that the project for which these items have been imported is expected to be completed only by June 2020 and have requested extension of permission for re-export upto 30th June 2020. The Ministry recommended the retention of the 581 items upto 30th June 2020. The applicant has to re-export all the items by 30th June 2020.

2.2. IMPORT OF LEAD SCRAP(Basel no. A1160, B1020):

2.2.1. M/s Meloy Metals Pvt. Ltd., Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh. (New file):

Decision: The inspection of site is not yet done therefore Ministry recommended a site visit by CPCB.

2.2.2. M/s POCL Enterprises Ltd., Kancheepuram Distt., Tamilnadu. (F. No. 23-203/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given permission earlier to import 1500+2000 MT of lead scrap subsequent to site visit. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 4000 MT of lead scrap (ISRI Code: Radio/Racks/Relay/Ropes/Rails/Rents).

2.2.3. M/s Pilot Industries Ltd. Bhiwadi, Rajasthan (F.No. 23-213/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has already been given permission to import 7500 MT of lead scrap (RAINS and RAILS) on 8th May 2017 therefore Ministry recommended that no fresh application for import in the current financial year can be considered.

2.2.4 M/s Pandy Oxides & Chemicals Ltd., Kancheepuram, Tamilnadu .(F. No. 23-87/2009-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the inspection of the unit has been executed in March 2014. Since three years have elapsed and the unit was given permission in 2015 for the import of 10,000 MT of lead scrap and there was no request for import during 2016-2017. The Ministry therefore recommended a site visit by CPCB.

2.2.5 M/s Sandeep Lead Alloys (India) (F.no. 23-252/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given permission earlier for the import of 6000 MT of lead scrap

subsequent to site visit. The Committee also noted that the performance report in respect of pollution control is within the prescribed standards. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 6000 MT of lead scrap.

2.2.6. M/s K.G. Metal Alloys, Rajasthan (New file):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the Ministry recommended the import of 6500 MT of RAINS and 700 MT of lead scrap.

2.2.7 Pilot Industries Rudrapur Plant: (F.No. 23-213/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The unit has submitted photographs, but the evidence needs to be verified by site visit again in respect of acoustic enclosure, air pollution control devices on lead melting furnaces used for grid casting. The Committee therefore recommended that the applicant may submit the evidence with regard to aforesaid improvements to CPCB and Ministry for further inspection.

AGENDA NO.2.3: IMPORT OF RUBBER SCRAP ETC. (Basel no. B3080, B3140):

2.3.1 M/s MSS Rubber and Reclaims Pvt. Ltd., Angamaly South, Kerala (F. No. 5-1/2014 HSMD):

Decision: The applicant had earlier been granted permission for the import 1500 MT of wireless used tyre chips/buffing powder after the site visit by CPCB. The applicant has now requested for import of 12000 MT on the plea that their capacity has increased and that they have applied for amendment of CTO from the SPCB. However based on the existing capacity as per the CTO, the Committee recommended import of 1500 MT wireless used tyre chips and tyre buffing powder. After obtaining CTO for enhanced capacity the applicant may consider applying for import of additional quantity.

2.3.2. M/s Nikhil Petrochem Pvt. Ltd (F. No. 5-1/2014-HSMD):

Decision: From the video shown by the applicant it was evident that the modifications/improvements suggested during the site visit have been implemented. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 2500 MT of tyre scrap.

2.3.3. M/s S.P. Rubber, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh (F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given permission for the import of 3500 +500 MT of scrap tyres subsequent to site visit by CPCB for previous financial year. The Ministry therefore recommended import of 4000 MT of tyre scrap.

2.3.4. M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., Wada, Maharashtra (F.No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the unit has carried out the improvement as suggested during the site visit. However the unit has to obtain authorization for other waste (Waste pneumatic tyre scrap) for the Wada plant. The case will be considered subsequent to submission of the aforesaid document.

2.3.5. M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd., Gummudipundi, TamilNadu (F.No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the compliance of the improvement in respect of suction, the Ministry recommended import of 5000 MT of tyre scrap.

2.3.5. M/s Valencia Rubber Tech LLP, Morbi, Gujarat(New file):

Decision: The applicant showed a video from which the plant appears to be good. However, site visit has not yet been conducted. The inspection of site is not yet done therefore the Ministry recommended a site visit by CPCB.

2.3.6. M/s Telangana Rubbers (F.No. 23-140/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission for the import of 7,000 MT of import of tyre scrap subsequent to the site visit for previous financial year. The Ministry therefore recommended the import of 12,500 MT of tyre scrap.

2.3.7. M/s Dashmesh Rubber Industries, Valsad, Gujarat (F.no. 23-39/2015-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the latest photographs submitted and video shown by the applicant after the modification, the Ministry recommended import of 4000 MT of scrap rubber tyres for making crumb rubber.

2.3.8. M/s Rubber Gold Industries, Ghaziabad (New application):

Decision: The inspection of site is not yet done therefore the Ministry recommended a site visit by CPCB.

2.3.9 M/s Navkar Industries, Telangana (HSM-23/4/2017-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report, the Ministry recommended the import of 4,000 MT of tyre scrap subject to submission of a valid authorization from the concerned State Pollution Control Board.

2.3.10 M/s Sri Eshwar Rubber Crumbs, Srikakulam Distt., A.P.(F. No. 23-234/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report, the Ministry recommended the import of 5000 MT of tyre scrap.

2.4 IMPORT OF MANGANESE CONCENTRATE/SPENT SOLVENT

2.4.1. M/s Krishna Raj Fertichem Pvt. Ltd., Gujarat (New file):

Decision: The applicant is in the business of making ZnSO₄, CuSO₄, MnSO₄ etc. and is proposing to import manganese containing concentrate obtained from the Zinc production plant using electrolytic process. There is no specific item under this description in the Schedule III A and B. however it could perhaps categorise under item A1070 in Sch III A with the description "Leaching residues from zinc processing, dust and sludges such as jarosite, hematite, etc". Before deliberating further on this item to decide whether it would be categorized as hazardous waste the Committee asked the applicant to obtain the full process details in which this material gets generated. Till then the matter is deferred.

2.4.2 M/s Howarah Chemical and Solvent, Howarah (New file):

Decision: The Ministry recommended calling the applicant for presentation for technical discussion in the next meeting of the Expert Committee.

AGENDA NO.3.: EXPORT OF e-waste(PCBs)/Catalyst Converter/Ceramic/Metallic/Cake Catalyst waste etc.

3.1.1. M/s Eco Recycling Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-87/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has earlier been given permission for export PCBS therefore recommended export of 200 MT of PCBS and its components to Belgium.

3.1.2. M/s Tonchi Suchi PGM Company, New Delhi (F.no. 23-285/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been permitted to export 30 MT of spent catalyst to Hong Kong for previous financial year. The Ministry therefore recommended export of 30 MT of spent automobile catalyst.

3.1.3M/s Umicore India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai (F. No. 23-128/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been permitted to export 15 MT of spent catalyst to Belgium for previous financial year. The Ministry therefore recommended export of 15 MT of spent catalyst.

3.1.4 Rashi E-waste Solutions, Bangalore:

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant is an authorized dismantler having authorization under E-waste Rules-2011 having validity till 30th June 2019 from Karnataka State Pollution Control Board and has an authorized capacity of 300 MT/annum. The Ministry therefore recommended export of 50 MT of PCBS and its components to Hong Kong.

---X---