

### **INCCA** Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment

### India: Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007



Ministry of Environment and Forests Government of India

May 2010

**INCCA** Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment

### India: Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007



Ministry of Environment and ForestsGovernment of India

May 2010

May 2010

Copy editing, layout and design: Ministry of Environment and Forests

Printed at: Print Process

### Contents

For	eword							
Exe	cutive	Summary	i - ix					
1.	Con	text and Relevance	1					
2.	Clin	nate Change Assessments in India	2					
3.	Indi	an Network for Climate Change Assessment	4					
4.	Gree	Greenhouse gas estimation - 2007						
	4.1	Coverage	8					
	4.2	Methodology, Activity data and Emission factors	10					
5.	Ener	rgy	12					
	5.1	Methodology and Choice of Emission Factors	12					
	5.2	Overview of GHG Emissions from the Energy Sector	13					
	5.3	Electricity Generation	13					
	5.4	Petroleum Refining and Solid Fuel Manufacturing	14					
	5.5	Transport	14					
	5.6	Residential / Commercial and Agriculture / Fisheries	15					
	5.7	Fugitive Emissions	16					
6.	Indu	ıstry	17					
	6.1	Methodology and Choice of Emission Factors	17					
	6.2	Overview of GHG Emissions from Industry	17					
	6.3	Minerals	19					
	6.4	Chemicals	20					
	6.5	Metals	20					
	6.6	Other Industries	20					
	6.7	Non energy product use	20					
	6.8	A Desciption of Fossil Fule and Process Based Emissions	20					
7.	Agri	culture	22					
	7.1	Overview of the Agriculture sector emissions	22					
	7.2	Enteric Fermentation	23					
	7.3	Manure management	24					
	7.4	Rice Paddy cultivation	25					
	7.5	Agriculture soils	26					
	7.6	Burning of Crop residue	27					

8.	Land	l Use, Land Use Change and Forestry	28
	8.1	Methodology – GPG Approach	28
	8.2	estimating carbon stock changes	29
	8.3	Inventory Estimation	30
	8.4	Land use change matrix	31
	8.5	Area under forests	32
	8.6	Carbon stock change in forest lands	34
	8.7	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions and removal from non-forest land categories	34
	8.8	Net GHG removal from LULUCF sector	36
9.	Was	te	38
	9.1	Summary of GHG emissions from waste	38
	9.2	Municipal Solid Waste	38
	9.3	Waste water treatment and disposal	40
10.	Gree	enhouse Gas Emission Profile: Key Features	43
	10.1	Overview	43
	10.2	Gas by Gas Emissions	43
	10.3	Sectoral Emissions	43
	10.4	Comparison with 1994 GHG inventory	47
	10.5	Per capita emissions	48
11.	Futu	re Perspective	51
	11.1	Riding the Tier ladder	51
	11.2	Capacity building	54

#### Annexures

1.	Sources of Activity Data	55
2.	References	57
3.	Scientists/ Experts - India : Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007	59
4.	INCCA Institutions	61
5.	Glossary of Key Terms	63





Minister of State (Independent Charge) Environment & Forests Government of India

### Foreword

I am pleased to introduce the publication – **India's Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007**. This Report, being brought out by the Indian Network of Climate Change Assessment (INCCA), provides updated information on India's Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the year 2007. Until today, the only official emissions estimates available were for the year 1994. This was very inadequate. I had been keen that to enable informed decision-making and to ensure transparency, we should publish updated emissions estimates. I am glad that our team of scientists took up this challenge and have prepared this report with estimates for 2007 in record time. More than 80 scientists from 17 institutions across India have contributed to this Assessment. I am particularly pleased that with this publication, **India has become the first "non-Annex I" (i.e. developing) country to publish such updated numbers.** I am also happy to announce that we will publish our emissions inventory in a two-year cycle going forward. We will be the first developing country to do so.

According to the results, India ranks 5<sup>th</sup> in aggregate GHG emissions in the world, behind USA, China, EU and Russia in 2007. **Interestingly, the emissions of USA and China are almost 4 times that of India in 2007. It is also noteworthy that the emissions intensity of India's GDP declined by more than 30% during the period 1994-2007, due to the efforts and policies that we are proactively putting in place.** This is a trend we intend to continue. As you are aware, we have already announced our intent to further reduce the emissions intensity of our GDP by 20-25% between 2005 and 2020 even as we pursue the path of inclusive growth.

INCCA, launched on 14<sup>th</sup> October 2009, is a network comprising 127 research institutions, tasked with undertaking research on the science of climate change and its impacts on different sectors of the economy across the various regions of India. As I mentioned at the launch, we must make the "3 M's" – Measurement, Modelling and Monitoring – the essence of our policy making and we must build indigenous capacity for this. This report is a step in this direction. I look forward to INCCA's next major publication – a "4X4" assessment of the impacts of climate change on four sectors – water resources, agriculture, forests and human health – in four critical regions of India – the Himalayan region, North east, Western Ghats and Coastal India, which will be released in November 2010.

Once again, I congratulate our team of scientists who have put this assessment together. I look forward to the results of the other upcoming studies of INCCA.

Jairam Ramesh

### **Executive Summary**

This assessment provides information on India's emissions of Greenhouse gases (Carbon Dioxide  $[CO_2]$ , Methane  $[CH_4]$  and Nitrous Oxide  $[N_2O]$ ) emitted from anthropogenic activities at national level from:

- Energy;
- Industry;
- Agriculture;
- Waste; and
- Land Use Land Use Change & Forestrmy (LULUCF).

The distribution of GHG emissions by sector are shown in Figure ES1. Detailed emissions estimates are provided in Annexure.

#### A. KEY RESULTS

 The net Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions from India, that is emissions with LULUCF, in 2007 were 1727.71 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (eq) of which

- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were 1221.76 million tons;
- CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were 20.56 million tons; and
- N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were 0.24 million tons
- GHG emissions from Energy, Industry, Agriculture, and Waste sectors constituted 58%, 22%, 17% and 3% of the net CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions respectively.
- Energy sector emitted 1100.06 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq, of which 719.31 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq were emitted from electricity generation and 142.04 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq from the transport sector.
- Industry sector emitted 412.55 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq.
- LULUCF sector was a net sink. It sequestered 177.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- India's per capita CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions including LULUCF were 1.5 tons/capita in 2007.



Note.

Other Energy: includes GHG emissions from petroleum refining, manufacturing of solid fuel, commercial & institutional sector, agriculture & fisheries and fugitive emissions from mining, transport and storage of coal, oil and natural gas.

Other Industry: includes GHG emissions from production of glass and ceramics, soda ash, ammonia, nitric acid, carbides, titanium dioxide, methanol, ethylene oxide, acrylonitrile, carbon black, caprolactam, ferro alloys, aluminium, lead, zinc, copper, pulp and paper, food processing, textile, leather, mining and quarrying, non specific industries and use of lubricants and paraffin wax.

Agriculture: includes GHG emissions from livestock, rice cultivation, agricultural soils and burning of crop residue.

Waste: includes GHG emissions from municipal solid waste (MSW), industrial and domestic waste water.

LULUCF: includes GHG emissions and removals from changes in forest land, crop land, grass land, wet land, settlements and combustion of fuel wood in forests.

**Figure ES1:** GHG emissions by sector in 2007 (million tons of  $CO_2$  eq). Figures on top indicate the emissions by sectors and in brackets indicate % of emission of the category with respect to the net  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions. See glossary for defination of  $CO_2$  equivalent.

### B. 1994 AND 2007 GHG EMISSIONS - A COMPARISON

The 1994 assessment is available in India's Initial National Communication to the UNFCCC. Both the 1994 and 2007 assessments have been prepared using the IPCC guidelines for preparation of national greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removal by sinks. The distinctive key features of the two assessments and the improvements in the 2007 assessments are indicated in Box ES1.

The total GHG emissions without LULUCF have grown from 1251.95 million tons in 1994 to 1904.73 million tons in 2007 at a compounded annual growth rate (CAGR) of 3.3% and with LULUCF the CAGR is 2.9%. Between 1994 and 2007, some of the sectors indicate significant growth in GHG emissions such as cement production (6.0%), electricity generation (5.6%) and transport (4.5%). A comparative analysis of GHG emissions by sector is shown in Table ES1.

### **C. IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENT**

This assessment has been prepared under the aegis of the Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA). An initiative being coordinated by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India. (Box ES2 & Figure ES2).

	19	994	200	7	CAGR (%)
Electricity	355.03	(28.4%)	719.30	(37.8%)	5.6
Transport	80.28	(6.4%)	142.04	(7.5%)	4.5
Residential	78.89	(6.3%)	137.84	(7.2%)	4.4
Other Energy	78.93	(6.3%)	100.87	(5.3%)	1.9
Cement	60.87	(4.9%)	129.92	(6.8%)	6.0
Iron & Steel	90.53	(7.2%)	117.32	(6.2%)	2.0
Other					
Industry	125.41	(10.0%)	165.31	(8.7%)	2.2
Agriculture	344.48	(27.6%)	334.41	(17.6%)	-0.2
Waste	23.23	(1.9%)	57.73	(3.0%)	7.3
Total without					
LULUCF	1251.95		1904.73		3.3
LULUCF	14.29		-177.03		
Total with					
LULUCF	1228.54		1727.71		2.9

**Table ES1:** A comparison of GHG emissions by sector between 1994 and 2007 in million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq.

Note: Figure in brackets indicate percentage emissions from each sector with respect to total GHG emissions without LULUCF in 1994 and 2007 respectively

#### 2007 Assessment **1994 Assessment** Estimates made using only revised 1996 IPCC guidelines. Estimates made using revised IPCC 1996 guidelines (1997), IPCC Good Practice Guidance (2000), the LULUCF Good Practice Guidance (2003). LULUCF included emissions from changes in forest land. Carbon pools in addition to forests have been considered in the LULUCF sector (crop land, grass land, settlements). Emission factors were a mix of default factors taken from Emission factors were also a mix of default and CS but IPCC and country specific (CS) emission factors. 26% leading to improved accuracy as more number of CSs of the source categories used CS factors. have been used in this assessment (35% of the source categories used CS factors). • The 1994 assessment splits the emissions from industry The 2007 assessment reports both fossil fuel related and in to two parts - fossil fuel and process. The fossil fuel process based emissions from Industry as a part of the emissions are reported in Energy and process emissions Industry sector. in Industry. In 1994, 7% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions were made In 2007, 12% of the emissions are made using Tier III using Tier III approach. approach, implying greater accuracy.

#### Box ES1: 2007 and 1994 - Key Methodological Features and Improvements

#### Box ES2: Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA)

Launched on October 14, 2009, the network comprises of 127 institutions and 228 scientists across India **Role** 

- Assess the drivers and implications of climate change through scientific research
- Prepare climate change assessments once every two years (GHG estimations and impacts of climate change, associated vulnerabilities and adaptation)
- Develop decision support systems
- Build capacity towards management of climate change related risks and opportunities



**Figure ES2:** INCCA and Network for preparing the Greenhouse Gas Emissions – 2007. For the complete list of institutions participating in INCCA, see Annexure 4.

### D. SECTORAL DESCRIPTION OF THE EMISSIONS

**Energy:** The energy sector emitted 1100.06 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq due to fossil fuel combustion in electricity generation, transport, commercial/Institutional establishments, agriculture/fisheries, and energy intensive industries such as petroleum refining and manufacturing of solid fuels, including biomass use in residential sector. Fugitive emissions from mining and extraction of coal, oil and natural gas are also accounted for in the energy sector. The distribution of the emissions across the source categories in energy sector is shown in Figure ES3.

**Electricity Generation:** The total greenhouse gas emissions from electricity generation in 2007 was 719.31 million tons  $CO_2$  eq. This includes both grid and captive power. The  $CO_2$  eq emissions from electricity generation were 65.4% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emitted from the energy sector. Coal constituted about 90% of the total fuel mix used.

**Petroleum Refining and Solid Fuel Manufacturing:** These energy intensive industries emitted 33.85 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007. The solid fuels include manufacturing of coke & briquettes.

**Transport:** The transport sector emissions are reported from road transport, aviation, railways and navigation. In 2007, the transport sector emitted 142.04 million

tons of  $CO_2$  eq. Road transport, being the dominant mode of transport in the country, emitted 87% of the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from the transport sector. The aviation sector in comparison only emitted 7% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emissions. The rest were emitted by railways (5%) and navigation (1%) sectors. The bunker emissions from aviation and navigation have also been estimated but are not counted in the national totals. (Figure ES4).

**Residential & Commercial:** The residential sector in India is one of the largest consumers of fuel outside the energy industries. Biomass constitutes the largest portion of the total fuel mix use in this sector. Commercial and institutional sector uses oil & natural gas over and above the conventional electricity for its power needs. The total  $CO_2$  eq emission from residential & commercial/institution sector was 139.51 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007.

**Agriculture & Fisheries:** The agriculture/ fisheries activities together emitted 33.66 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq due to energy use in the sector other than grid electricity.

**Fugitive Emissions:**  $CH_4$  escapes into the atmosphere due to mining of coal, and due to venting, flaring, transport and storage of oil and natural gas. The total  $CO_2$  eq emissions from this source category in 2007 was 31.70 million tons  $CO_2$  eq.



Figure ES3: GHG emissions from Energy Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).



**Figurse ES4:** GHG emissions from Transport Sector by mode of transport in 2007 (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

**Industry:** Industrial activities together emitted 412.55 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq of GHG in 2007. Industry sector emissions have been estimated from manufacturing of minerals, metals, chemicals, other specific industries, and from non-energy product use. The emissions covered in the industry sector include fossil fuel combustion related emissions as well as the process based emissions. (Figure ES5).

**Cement and Other Minerals:** The cement industry emitted 129.92 million tons of  $CO_2$ , which is 32% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emissions from the Industry sector. The emissions cover the entire technology mix for manufacturing of cement in the country covering large, medium and white cement plants. The other minerals like glass and ceramic production and soda ash use together emit 1.01 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Iron and Steel and Other Metals:** The iron and steel industry emitted 117.32 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq. The estimate covers integrated and mini steel plants. The production of other metals, namely, aluminum, ferroalloys, lead, zinc and copper production lead to an emission of 5.42 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Chemicals:** The chemical industries together emitted 8.1% of the total GHG emissions from the industry sector (33.50 million tons). See figure ES5 and glossary for sub categories included.

**Other Industries:** Other industries comprising of pulp/ paper, leather, textiles, food processing, mining and quarrying, and non specific industries comprising of



Note:

Other Metals: includes GHG emissions from production of ferroalloys, aluminium, lead, zinc and copper.

*Chemicals:* includes GHG emissions from production of ammonia, nitric acid, adipic acid, caprolactam, carbide, titanium dioxide, petrochemicals and black carbon, methanol, ethylene, ethylene oxide, acrylonitrile, ethylene diochloride and vinyl chloride, monomer and other chemicals (see glossary for details). *Other Industries:* includes GHG emissions from pulp and paper, food processing, textile and leather, mining and quarrying and non specific industries. It also includes emissions from non-energy product use.

### Figure ES5: GHG emissions from Industry Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

rubber, plastic, watches, clocks, transport equipment, furniture etc., together emitted 124.53 million tons. The rest of the emissions in the Industry sector came from the non-energy product uses and this sector emitted 0.85 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq, and was mainly from use of oil products and coal-derived oils primarily intended for purposes other than combustion.

**Agriculture:** The agriculture sector emitted 334.41 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007. Estimates of GHG emissions from the agriculture sector arise from enteric fermentation in livestock, manure management, rice paddy cultivation, agricultural soils and on field burning of crop residue. (Figure ES6)

**Livestock:** Enteric fermentation in livestock released 212.10 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq (10.1 million tons of  $CH_4$ ). This constituted 63.4% of the total GHG emissions ( $CO_2$  eq) from agriculture sector in India. The estimates cover all livestock, namely, cattle, buffalo, sheep, goats, poultry, donkeys, camels, horses and others. Manure management emitted 2.44 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Rice Cultivation:** Rice cultivation emitted 69.87 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq or 3.27 million tons of CH<sub>4</sub>. The



**Figure ES6:** GHG emissions from Agriculture Sector (million tons of  $CO_2$  eq).

emissions cover all forms of water management practiced in the country for rice cultivation, namely, irrigated, rainfed, deep water and upland rice. The upland rice are zero emitters and irrigated continuously flooded fields and deep water rice emit maximum methane per unit area.

#### Agricultural Soils and Field Burning of Crop Residue:

Agricultural soils are a source of  $N_2O$ , mainly due to application of nitrogenous fertilizers in the soils. Burning of crop residue leads to the emission of a number of gases and pollutants. Amongst them,  $CO_2$ is considered to be C neutral, and therefore not included in the estimations. Only  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  are considered for this report. The total  $CO_2$  eq emitted from these two sources were 50.00 million tons.

Land Use Land Use Change and Forestry: The estimates from LULUCF sector include emission by sources and or removal by sinks from changes in forest land, crop land, grassland, and settlements. Wet lands have not been considered due to paucity of data. The LULUCF sector in 2007 was a net sink. It sequestered 177.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>. (Figure ES7)

**Forest Land:** This includes estimates of emissions and removal from above and below ground biomass in very dense, moderately dense, open forests, and scrub lands. Estimates indicate that forest land sequestered 67.8 million tons of  $CO_2$  in 2007. However, fuel wood extracted non-sustainably from forests lead to an emission of 67.80 million tons of  $CO_2$  in 2007.



Figure ES7: GHG emissions and removals from LULUCF sector (million tons of CO, eq).

**Crop Lands:** The emission estimates have been made from net sown area as well as fallow land. The crop land sequestered 207.52 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> in 2007.

**Grassland:** Changes in Grassland resulted in the emission of 10.49 million tons of  $CO_2$  due to decrease in grass land area by 3.4 million ha between the two periods.

**Settlements:** Land converted to settlements though increased by 0.01 million ha during the period, however, the conversions did not lead to an emission but a net removal of 0.04 million tons.

**Waste:** The waste sector emissions were 57.73 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq from municipal solid waste management, domestic waste water and industrial waste water management. (Figure ES8)

**Municipal Solid Waste (MSW):** Systematic disposal of solid waste is carried out only in the cities in India resulting in  $CH_4$  emissions due to aerobic conditions generated due to accumulation of waste over the years. It is estimated that the MSW generation and disposal resulted in the emissions of 12.69 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007.

**Waste Water:** The waste water generation emissions are the sum total of emissions from domestic waste water and waste water disposal in industries. Waste water management in both these categories together emitted 45.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>



Figure ES8: GHG emissions from waste (million tons of CO, eq).

#### **E. FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

The robustness of the GHG inventory making process is dependent on the Tier of methodology used. Higher the Tier, more representative is the emission estimated of the actual emissions. Of the total 1727.71 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from India in 2007, 21% of the emissions have been estimated using Tier I methodology, 67% by Tier II and 12% by Tier III.

**Riding the Tier Ladder:** For improving the inventory estimations of key categories using Tier II and Tier I methodologies, there is a need to move up the Tier ladder. Strategies needed include improvement in assimilation of activity data representing national circumstances, bridging data gaps, and eliminating uncertainties by developing country specific GHG emission factors.

**Capacity Building and National Greenhouse Gas Inventory Management System:** Capacity building is essential at institutional and individual levels. Capacity at the institutional level addresses the needs of inventory preparation at national, sectoral and point source level that requires collection and archiving of data on a continuous basis. Establishment of a National Inventory Management System is therefore necessary. It is also important to involve additional institutions with varied research experience, to widen the pool of researchers and enable the integration of latest practices.

#### **ANNEXURE**

Greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removal by sinks from India in 2007 (thousand tons)

	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	CO <sub>2</sub> removals	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
GRAND TOTAL	1497029.20	275358.00	20564.20	239.31	1727706.10
ENERGY	992836.30		4266.05	56.88	1100056.89
Electricity generation	715829.80		8.14	10.66	719305.34
Other energy industries	33787.50		1.72	0.07	33845.32
Transport	138858.00		23.47	8.67	142038.57
Road transport	121211.00		23.00	6.00	123554.00
Railways	6109.00		0.34	2.35	6844.64
Aviation	10122.00		0.10	0.28	10210.90
Navigation	1416.00		0.13	0.04	1431.13
Residential	69427.00		2721.94	36.29	137838.49
Commercial / Institutional	1657.00		0.18	0.04	1673.18
Agriculture/ Fisheries	33277.00		1.20	1.15	33658.70
Fugitive emissions			1509.40		31697.30
INDUSTRY	405862.90		14.77	20.56	412546.53
Minerals	130783.95		0.32	0.46	130933.27
Cement production	129920.00				129920.00
Glass & cermic production	277.82		0.32	0.46	427.14
Other uses of soda ash	586.12				586.12
Chemicals	27888.86		11.14	17.33	33496.42
Ammonia production	10056.43				10056.43
Nitric acid production				16.05	4975.50
Carbide production	119.58				119.58
Titanium dioxide production	88.04				88.04
Methanol production	266.18		0.91		285.37
Ethylene production	7072.52		9.43		7270.64
EDC & VCM production	198.91				198.91
Ethylene Oxide production	93.64		0.19		97.71
Acrylonitrile production	37.84		0.01		37.98
Carbon Black production	1155.52		0.03		1156.07
caprolactum				1.08	336.22
Other chemical	8800.21		0.56	0.20	8873.97
Metals	122371.43		0.95	1.11	122736.91
Iron & Steel production	116958.37		0.85	1.09	117315.63
Ferroalloys production	2460.70		0.08		2462.29
Aluminium production	2728.87		0.01	0.00	2729.91
Lead production	84.13		0.00	0.01	86.38
Zinc production	76.11		0.00	0.01	77.99
Copper	63.25		0.01	0.00	64.70
Other Industries	123969.17		2.37	1.65	124530.44
Pulp and paper	5222.50		0.05	0.08	5248.35
Food processing	27625.53		1.12	0.22	27717.25
Textile and leather	1861.11		0.03	0.02	1867.94
Mining and qurrying	1460.26		0.06	0.01	1464.62
Non-specific industries	87799.77		1.11	1.32	88232.28

(contd...)

	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	CO <sub>2</sub> removals	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
Non energy product use	849.49				849.49
Lubricant	776.75				776.75
Paraffin wax	72.75				72.75
AGRICULTURE			13767.80	146.07	334405.50
Enteric fermentation			10099.80		212095.80
Livestock Manure management			115.00	0.07	2436.70
Rice cultivation			3327.00		69867.00
Soils				140.00	43400.00
Burning of crop residue			226.00	6.00	6606.00
LULUCF	98330.00	275358.00			-177028.00
Forestland		67800.00			-67800.00
Cropland		207520.00			-207520.00
Grassland	10490.00				10490.00
Settlement		38.00			-38.00
Wetland	NE				NE
Other land	NO				NO
Fuel wood use in forests	87840.00				87840.00
Waste			2515.58	15.80	57725.18
Municipal Solid waste			604.51		12694.71
Domestic waste water			861.07	15.80	22980.47
Industrial waste water			1050.00		22050.00
Bunkers*	3454		0.03	0.10	3484.45
Aviation Bunkers	3326		0.02	0.09	3355.31
Marine bunkers	128		0.01	0.003	129.14

Note: LULUCF: Land Use Land Use Change & Forestry \*Not included in the national totals. NE: Not estimated; NO: Not occuring

# 1

### **Context and Relevance**

Climate change is recognized both as a threat and a challenge. The impact of human activities on climate and climate systems is unequivocal. Climate has a significant role in the economic development of India. Many sectors of the economy are climate sensitive. Climate change has origins in anthropogenic activities and is engaging the attention of planners, governments, and politicians worldwide. It is no longer a scientific question as to whether the climate is changing, but the question is the timing and magnitude of Climate Change. The governments of the countries across the world are engaged in working out the impacts and associated vulnerabilities of their economies to impending projected climate change.

In India, the meteorological records indicate rise in the mean annual surface air temperature by 0.4°C with not much variations in absolute rainfall. However, the rates of change in temperatures and precipitation have been found to be varying across the region. The intensity and frequency of heavy precipitation events have increased in the last 50 years. The tide gauge observations in the last four decades across the coast of India also indicate a rise in sea level at the rate of 1.06-1.25 mm/year. Further, some preliminary assessments point towards a warmer climate in the future over India, with temperatures projected to rise by 2-4°C by 2050s. No change in total quantity of rainfall is expected, however, spatial pattern of the rainfall are likely to change, with rise in number and intensity of extreme rainfall events.

The sea level is also projected to rise with cyclonic activities set to increase significantly with warmer oceans. The continuous warming and the changing rainfall pattern over the Indian region may jeopardize India's development by adversely impacting the natural resources such as water forests, coastal zones, and mountains on which more than 70% of the rural population is dependent.

The physiographic features and the geographic location, which control the climate of the country, bestows it with great wealth of its natural resources, surface and ground water availability, forestry and vegetation. The region abounds in very rich collection of flora and fauna, and some of these locations exhibit a high degree of species endemism and constitute biodiversity hotspots of the world. There is an ever increasing recognition of the need for national level assessments which provides an opportunity to enhance our knowledge and understanding about the implication of both the current climate variability as well as the projected adverse impacts of climate change.





### **Climate Change Assessments in India**

Recognition of the need for assessing the implications of Climate Change in India coincides with the emergence of the issue of global warming in late eighties and early nineties. Globally, the decade of 1990's which saw the adoption of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the publication of the update on Climate Change 1992 by the Inter Governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) could be taken as the beginning of preparation of the dedicated assessments of climate change. In the Indian context, researchers initiated work in their own limited fields. By all means the information was scattered, diffused and fragmented on various aspects of Climate Change. The only source of information on climate was available through India's Meteorology Department (IMD) and the Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology (IITM) and certain premier institutes such as Indian Institute of Science (IISc) and the Indian Space Research Organization (ISRO) and its associated institutions.

For the first time information on Climate Change was consolidated for the preparation of India report of the Asian development Bank's study on Climate Change (ADB 1994). The study was limited to the compilation of literature and certain studies on impacts of Climate Change on Agriculture, Water and Forests besides sea level rise. During this period a nation wide campaign was instituted by MoEF to access the emission of CH<sub>4</sub> from rice paddy cultivation in India. The study had an international impact on the global as well as national emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> (Parashar et al., 1994). The Asian

Development Bank study: Asia Least Cost Greenhouse Gas Abatement Strategy (ALGAS) was yet another important assessment on Greenhouse Gases at the 1990 level (ALGAS, 1998). These studies in effect provided the impetus to the work relating to impacts of Climate Change in the country. Publications such as Climate Change and India in 2002, 2003 and 2004 (Shukla et al., 2002 and 2003; Mitra et al., 2004) documented a consolidated picture on Climate Change Assessments. The chronology of greenhouse gas emission estimates made in the country is shown in Table 2.1.

In 2004, for the first time in a well coordinated and dedicated effort was made to produce assessing Greenhouse Gases of anthropogenic origin from sectors such as Energy, Agriculture, Industry, Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry and Waste and efforts were also made to assess the climate change Impacts and vulnerability of key sectors of economy in India's Initial National Communication to the UNFCCC (NATCOM, 2004).

Currently, 127 institutions are working on different aspects of climate change. The National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPC, 2008) calls for launch on missions on Agriculture, Water, Solar, Energy, Forestry, Himalayan Ecosystems and Strategic Knowledge on Climate Change. The mission programmes are at advanced stages of preparation and would contribute to advancing the state of knowledge in the various aspects of Climate Change.

Gases	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub>	CH₄	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O, NO <sub>x</sub> , CO, NMVOC	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O
Sectors	Fossil fuel Rice Animals	Transport Coal mines Rice Livestock	All India Campaign Rice - seasonally integrated approach and water regimes defined	Biomass Cement Oil & natural gas, manure Crop residue, soils, MSW	Rice – extended campaign (organic and non organic soils)	All sources (1996 guidelines)	All sources (1996 guidelines)
Emission Factors	Published Emission Factors	Used published Emission Factors	Developed	Default and developed	Developed	Default IPCC	30% Country Specific and 70% Default
Base Year Reference	1990 Mitra et al., 1991	1990 Mitra et al, 1992	1992 Parashar et al, 1994, 1997	1990 ALGAS India, 1998	1998 Gupta et al., 1999	1990-1995 Garg , Bhattacharya & Shukla, 2001	1994 NATCOM 2004

Table 2.1: Chronology of greenhouse gas assessments carried out in India

### **Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment**

A national workshop towards preparation of a Comprehensive Climate Change Assessment was organized by the Ministry of Environment & Forests at New Delhi on October 14th, 2009. The workshop was chaired by Hon'ble Minister of Environment & Forests and attended by nearly 200 scientists/ experts representing premier institutions such as IIT, IIM, IISc, Universities, and research development institutions under the Council of Industrial Research, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, government Ministries / Departments, autonomous institutions, Non-governmental Organizations and private companies. The workshop was also attended by representatives of the media. Scientists presented their work on multidisciplinary aspects of Climate Change presently supported by the MoEF. Principal Scientific Adviser to the Government of India addressed the workshop and released the document titled, 'Towards Comprehensive Climate Change Assessment'. The workshop was also addressed by Secretary, Environment & Forests.

During this workshop, Hon'ble Minister Jairam Ramesh announced the establishment of Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA). Emphasizing the need for INCCA, Minister underscored the significance of availability of authentic national data for analysing the implications of Climate Change vis-a-vis the understanding of Science of Climate Change, Impacts, Vulnerability, Adaptation and Mitigation of Climate Change. In this context, it was emphasized that the '3 Ms - Measuring, Modelling and Monitoring" are the hallmarks of the initiatives relating to Climate Change.

The Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment (INCCA) has been conceptualized as a network based scientific programme designed to:

- Assess the drivers and implications of climate change through scientific research
- Prepare climate change assessments once every two years (GHG estimations and impacts of climate change, associated vulnerabilities and adaptation)
- Develop decision support systems
- Build capacity towards management of climate change related risks and opportunities

It is visualized as a mechanism to create new institutions and engage existing knowledge institutions already working with the Ministry of Environment and Forests as well as other agencies. Currently, the institutions of the various Ministries such as that of Ministry of Environment & Forests, Ministry of Earth Sciences, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Science & Technology, Defence Research and Development Organisation etc., along with the research institutions of the Indian Space Research Organisation, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, Department of Science & Technology, Indian Council of Medical Research, Indian Institute of Technology, Indian Institute of Managements and prominent state and central Universities, and reputed Non Governmental Organisations and Industry Associations are working in the various studies on Climate Change

The scope of the programmes under INCCA has been developed on the basis of the fundamental questions that we ask ourselves for climate proofing systems and the society dependent on climate and include, inter alia:

- Short, medium and long-term projections of climate changes over India at sub regional scales
- The impacts of changes in climate on key sectors of economy important at various regional scales
- The anthropogenic drivers of climate change i.e. greenhouse gas and pollutants emitted from various sectors of the economy

 The processes through which GHGs and pollutants interact with the climate system and change the biophysical environment

The mandate of INCCA would continue to evolve to include the new science questions that confront humanity including the population living within the Indian region. The aim of scientific research under INCCA is envisaged to encompass research that will develop understanding on the regional patterns of climate across India, how it is changing over time and likely to behave in the future. Consequently, INCCA will also focus on the impacts of the changing climate on regional ecosystem hotspots, human systems and economic sectors. The following programmes are initially contemplated to be carried out under the aegis of INCCA:

- A provisional assessment of the Green House Gas emission profile of India for 2007 by sources and removal by sinks presented in this document;
- An assessment of the impacts of climate change on water resources, agriculture, forests and human health in the Himalayan region, North eastern region, Western ghats and Coastal regions of India;
- Undertake an assessment of black carbon and its impact on ecosystems;
- Undertake a long-term ecological, social, and economic monitoring of ecosystems to identify

patterns and drivers of change that influences the sustainability of livelihoods dependent on these systems across India;

- Build capacity through thematic workshops and training programmes; and
- Synthesize information thus generated in appropriate communication packages for informed decision making

A schematic representation of the programmes in INCCA are shown in figure 3.1.

The approaches of the scientific programmes under INCCA would be to further develop network of Indian institutions drawing upon knowledge institutions that have so far contributed towards scientific knowledge and expand the same encompassing more number of institutions in the country. Besides, INCCA would harness Involvement of Indian as well as Indian expertise abroad and would focus on four zones, namely, the Himalayan region, the North eastern plains, the Western Ghats & the Coastal region. The assessment would stress to develop climate projection scenarios and their impacts on systems to evaluate the associated vulnerabilities for developing adaptation strategies.

The Ministry of Environment and Forests, would coordinate the activities under INCCA, taking advantage



Figure 3.1: Programmes envisaged under INCCA

of the wide spread network of knowledge institutions established by the Ministry for carrying out various projects in areas related to climate change such as the science and impacts of climate change and associated policy issues.

The MoEF, through a system of a wide consultative process with scientists and experts, envisages formulating the emerging scientific questions in the area of climate change research that will govern the development of the programmes. A more comprehensive implementation arrangement will be put in place during the operational phase of the programme which will include a scientific advisory committee for guidance and review of the activities of the various programmes.

### THE 2007 ASSESSMENT & IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENT

The official Greenhouse gas Emission Profile of India at 1994 level was prepared for the India's Initial National Communication submitted to the UNFCCC in June, 2004. The reason for restricting the estimation upto 1994 was in pursuance of the requirement of reporting towards implementation of the obligations under the UNFCCC and the guidelines enjoining upon all the developing countries to provide information on Greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks at 1994 level using Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change guidelines 1996 (Revised). This is for reasons of comparability of data across countries for calculation of global emission trends. The next level of common year of reporting is the year 2000.

This assessment of greenhouse gas profile 2007 has been worked out by a number of scientists/ experts drawn from the institutions which were involved in previous estimation as well as currently engaged in the preparation of inventories of greenhouse gases (see Annexure 3). These estimates though provisional fill the long felt need for the latest emission data. For preparing the GHG emission inventory estimates presented in this document, expertise of a number of institutions has been pooled in across the country and a network has been created that can generate information on a regular basis. The network includes institutions that have been working in the area of greenhouse gas emission inventory development including the process of generation of country specific emission factors of GHGs for various anthropogenic activities. It indeed makes the inventory scientifically robust. The network of institutions is drawn from a diverse mix of premier national institutions currently working under various aspects of Climate Change with MoEF. (figure 3.2)



#### 2007 Assessment

- BCKV Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya
  CII Confederation of Indian Industries
  CIMFR Central Institute of Mining & Fuel Research
  CLRI Central Leather Research Institute
  CMA Cement Manufacturing Association
  CRRI Central Road Research Institute
  FSI Forest Survey of India
- IARI Indian Agricultural Research Institute

IISc Indian Institute of ScienceIVRI Indian Veterinary Research InstituteNDRI National Dairy Research Institute

IGFRI Indian Grass & Fodder Research Institute

- NEERI National Environment Engineering Research Institute
- NPL National Physical Laboratory
- NRCS National Remote Sensing Centre
- PPAC Petroleum Planning and Analysis Cell
- TERI The Energy and Resources Institute

Figure 3.2: INCCA and Network for preparing the Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007.



### **Greenhouse Gas Estimation - 2007**

Towards fulfillment of its obligations of furnishing information relating to implementation of the Convention in accordance with Article 4.1 and 12(1) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, India has communicated its first national communication to the UNFCCC in 2004 with GHG emission data for the year 1994. Currently, India is preparing its second national communication for the base year 2000. However, there is a need for latest data on GHG emissions from the country, especially for informed decision making. In this direction a network of institutions have been put in place to prepared the 2007 GHG inventory (Refer to Figure 3.1).

#### 4.1 COVERAGE

The 2007 assessment presents the estimates of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emitted as a result of anthropogenic activities from various sectors of the economy at national level for

the year 2007. The sectors included are Energy, Industry, Agriculture, Land Use Land Use Change & Forestry and Waste. A schematic representation of the sectors, source categories and the gases included in the present assessment is shown in Figure 4.1.

An assessment of the collective emissions of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ and  $N_2O$  expressed as Carbon Dioxide equivalent  $(CO_2 eq)$  has also been presented here, wherein  $CO_2$ equivalent is the sum total of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emitted in terms of their respective global warming potentials (GWP). Relative values of GWP of  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4 & N_2O$  are presented in Table 4.1. For definition of GWP, see glossary.

By assigning a GWP value to a GHG, allows scientists and policy makers to compare the potency of each gas to trap heat in the atmosphere relative to other gases. The heat trapping potential of other greenhouse gases are measured and compared with  $CO_2$ . The GWP of  $CO_2$ 

Industrial Designation or Common Name (years)	Chemical Formula	Lifetime (years)	Radiative Efficiency (W m <sup>-2</sup> ppb <sup>-1</sup> )	Global Warming Potential for Given Time Horizon(100 yr)
Carbon dioxide	CO <sub>2</sub>	Upto 100 yrs	1.4x10 <sup>-5</sup>	1
Methane	CH <sub>4</sub>	12	3.7x10 <sup>-4</sup>	21
Nitrous oxide	N <sub>2</sub> O	114	3.03x10 <sup>-3</sup>	310

#### Table 4.1: Global Warming Potential (GWP) of the GHGs

Source: IPCC AR4, 2007a

SECTOR	EMISSION CATEGORY	GAS
	Electricity Generation         Other energy industries         Transport         Road         Aviation	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O
ENERGY	Residential   Commercial/ institutional   Agriculture/ fisheries   Fugitive     Coal mining   Oil & Natural gas	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O
	Minerals Cement, Lime, glass, ceramics, soda ash	
Industry	Metals Iron, steel, Ferro alloys, zinc, aluminum, magnesium, lead Chemicals Chemicals Textiles, leather, paper, food Dther industries	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O
	Other industries       processing, rood & beverages, non specified industries, mining & quarrying         Non energy products       Lubricant use, Paraffin wax use	CO <sub>2</sub>
Agriculture -	Enteric fermentation in livestock Manure management Rice cultivation Agricultural soils Burning of crop residue	CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O CH <sub>4</sub> N2O CH4, N <sub>2</sub> O
Land Use, Land Use Change & Forestry	Forest land Crop land Grass land Settlements	CO <sub>2</sub>
Waste	Municipal Solid Waste	$CH_{4'} N_2 O$

Figure 4.1: Sectors, emission categories and emissions presented in this assessment (Also see glossary for details)

is taken as one and accordingly  $CH_4$  has a GWP of 21 and  $N_2O$  has a GWP of 310.

#### 4.2 METHODOLOGY, ACTIVITY DATA AND EMISSION FACTORS

*Methodology:* The estimates presented here have been calculated using standard methodologies contained in the Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC, 1997), the IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC 2000), and the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry (IPCC 2003).

The simplest representation of the methodology used for estimating particular GHG emission from each source category is when activity data for a source category is multiplied by respective emission factor to obtain emissions from that source category for a specific gas. To calculate the total emissions of a gas from all its source categories, the emissions are summed over all source categories (see equation below).

$$Emissions_{Gas} = \sum_{Category} A \times EF$$

Here Emissions<sub>Gas</sub> is the emissions of a given gas from all its source categories, A is the amount of individual source category utilized that generates emissions of the gas under consideration, EF is the emission factor of a given gas by type of source category (emissions per unit of activity data utilized).

Activity data: Activity data for 2007 have been primarily derived from the published documents of the various ministries and organizations of the Government of India, from industry associations (such as the Cement Manufacturers' Association (CMA) of India) and from reputed data organizations such as the CMIE. See Annexure 1 for a comprehensive list of activity data sources.

*Emission factors*: The emission factors used in this report are a mix of default emission factors available in IPCC publications (1997, 2000, 2003 and 2006) and country specific emission factors. Default emission factors have been used for gases and categories where country specific factors are not available. Some of the country specific emission factors used in this document include emissions factors of CO<sub>2</sub> from coal (Choudhury et al., 2004), CH<sub>4</sub> from coal mining (Singh A K, 2004), N<sub>2</sub>O from nitric acid production (Rao et al., 2004), CO<sub>2</sub> from cement (Rao et al., 2006), CH<sub>4</sub> from rice (Gupta et al., 2004), CH<sub>4</sub> from enteric fermentation in livestock (Swamy et al., 2006), N<sub>2</sub>O from soils (Pathak et al., 2002); CH<sub>4</sub> from Municipal solid waste (Jha et al., 2007) amongst others. See Annexure 2 for complete list of references.

Tier of estimation: Tiers of estimation of GHGs is an IPCC parlance suggesting the level of complexity applied in estimating the GHG emissions from a particular source category. The Tiers of estimate range between Tier I. II, & III. Higher Tier implies a more data intensive effort (see box 4.3). For example, CH<sub>4</sub> from rice cultivation is estimated by using Tier III approach, where by the total rice area is divided into areas characterizing different water management practices in the country. The GHG emission factors used for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> from these areas are actual measurements carried out that represent CH<sub>4</sub> emission/unit area covering a each different water management practice. Efforts are generally made to use a Tier II or III (i.e a data intensive approach) for categories that are identified as key emissions categories (see Chapter 11, for more details on key categories).



#### Box 4.3: Methodology Tiers

**Tier I** approach employs activity data that are relatively coarse, such as nationally or globally available estimates of deforestation rates, agricultural production statistics, and global land cover maps.

**Tier 2** use the same methodological approach as Tier 1 but applies emission factors and activity data which are defined by the country.

**Tier 3** approach uses higher order methods are used including models and inventory measurement systems tailored to address national circumstances, repeated over time, and driven by disaggregated levels.

In this report, Tier III approach has been applied to estimate  $CH_4$  from enteric fermentation in livestock,  $CH_4$ from rice paddy cultivation,  $CO_2$  from cement, and  $CH_4$ from coal mining. Tier II approach has been used for estimating  $CO_2$  from coal combustion for electricity generation,  $CO_2$  from iron and steel production,  $CO_2$ from road transport sector,  $N_2O$  from soils, GHGs from crop residue burning, and  $CH_4$  from industrial waste water and municipal solid waste. Rest of the emission categories use Tier I methodology.

## 5

### Energy

The energy systems of most economies are largely driven by the combustion of fossil fuels, namely, coal, oil and natural gas and are the major sources of emissions amongst all other sectors. Fossil fuel combustion oxidizes the carbon in the fuel and it is emitted as  $CO_2$ . Some C is also released in the form of CO,  $CH_{4'}$  and non-methane hydro carbons which is oxidised to  $CO_2$  in 10-11 years. Also emitted are  $N_2O$ ,  $SO_2$ , and black carbon. This document includes  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emitted from fossil fuel combustion in

- Electricity generation;
- Transportation including road, rail, aviation & navigation;
- Commercial, institutional, residential, agriculture and fisheries and;



Fugitive emissions from coal mining & handling, and from exploration of oil and natural gas and their transport and storage are also accounted for in this sector.

### 5.1 METHODOLOGY AND CHOICE OF EMISSION FACTORS

The IPCC 1996 revised guidelines (IPCC, 1997) methodology has been used for estimating the GHG emission from various types of fossil fuel combusted in the energy sector. The general equation representing the emissions is shown in the box below.

The emission factors of the fossil fuels such as coal, oil and natural gas are the most important considerations in estimating the GHG emissions from combustion of these fuels. In India, coal as a fuel constitutes more than 50% of the total fossil fuel mix of the country used for energy related activities. This document uses the country specific CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors derived on the basis of Net Calorific Values (NCVs) of different types of coal produced in the country, namely, coking, non coking and lignite (NATCOM, 2004; Choudhry et al., 2006). See Table 5.2 for the list of NCVs and CO<sub>2</sub> emission factors used for various fuels for the present estimations. The non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have been estimated using non-CO<sub>2</sub> default emission factors for different fuel types published in IPCC, 1997 & 2006.

Carbon emissions	=	$\Sigma$ fuel consumption expressed in energy units for electricity generation Fuel type x carbon emission factor - carbon stored x fraction oxidised
Non CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	=	$\Sigma$ fuel consumption x Net Calorific value of Fuel x gas-specific emission factors

#### **5.2 OVERVIEW OF GHG EMISSIONS** FROM THE ENERGY SECTOR

In 2007, the energy sector in India emitted 1100.06 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. Out of this 992.84 million tons were emitted as  $CO_{2'}$  4.27 million tons as  $CH_4$  and 0.057 million tons as N<sub>2</sub>O (Table 5.3). About 65.4% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions from the energy sector was from the electricity generation. This includes emission from electricity produced for distribution through grids as well as for captive generation of electricity in various industries (Figure 5.1). The transport sector emitted 12.9% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions in 2007. The residential sector has a rural and urban spread, and therefore it combusts both fossil fuel as well as biomass which together emitted 12.6% of the total GHG emitted from the energy sector. Rest of the 9.2% GHG emissions were from fuel combusted in the commercial and residential sector, in agriculture and fisheries, the fugitive emissions from coal mining, and from extraction, transport and storage of oil and natural gas. (figure 5.1)

#### 5.3 ELECTRICITY GENERATION

The Total installed capacity for electricity generation from thermal power plants in India in 2007 was 89275.84 MW

Table 5.2: NCV and CO, emission factors of different types of fuel used for estimation

	NCV (Tj/kt)	CO <sub>2</sub> EF (t/Tj)
Coking coal	24.18	93.61
Non-coking Coal	19.63	95.81
Lignite	9.69	106.15
Diesel	43	74.1
Petrol	44.3	69.3
Kerosene	43.8	71.9
Fuel oil	40.4	77.4
Light distillates	43.0	74.1
CNG	48	56.1
LPG	47.3	63.1
Lubricants	40.2	73.3
ATF	44.1	71.5

Note: NCV- Net Calorific Value; EF- Emission Factor; Tj = 10<sup>12</sup> Joule; 1 Joule = 2.39x 10-4Kcal

(CEA, 2008). Additionally captive power generation, especially used in the industries for dedicated power supply was around 11600 MW. For electricity generation in 2007, coal utilization was 90% of the total fuel mix. Natural gas and oil constituted 8% and 2% of the fuel mix respectively. It is estimated that in 2007, the total GHG emissions from electricity generation was 719.31 million tons CO<sub>2</sub> eq of which 715.83 million tons was

	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH₄	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub>
GRAND TOTAL	1497029.20	20564.20	239.31	172

Table 5.3: GHG emissions in '000 tons (or Giga gram) from the energy sector in 2007

	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	$N_2O$	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
GRAND TOTAL	1497029.20	20564.20	239.31	1727706.10
ENERGY	992836.30	4266.05	56.88	1100056.89
Electricity generation	715829.80	8.14	10.66	719305.34
Other energy industries	33787.50	1.72	0.07	33845.32
Transport	138858.00	23.47	8.67	142038.57
Road transport	121211.00	23.00	6.00	123554.00
Railways	6109.00	0.34	2.35	6844.64
Aviation	10122.00	0.10	0.28	10210.90
Navigation	1416.00	0.13	0.04	1431.13
Residential	69427.00	2721.94	36.29	137838.49
Commercial / Institutional	1657.00	0.18	0.04	1673.18
Agriculture/ Fisheries	33277.00	1.20	1.15	33658.70
Fugitive emissions		1509.40		31697.30
Bunkers*	3454	0.03	0.10	3484.45
Aviation Bunkers	3326	0.02	0.09	3355.31
Marine bunkers	128	0.01	0.003	129.14

Note: '000 tons= 1Giga Gram =  $10^9$  grams and 1 million ton =  $10^{12}$  grams

\*Bunkers not added to the total emissions from the energy sector nor to the national totals



**Figure 5.1:** GHG emission distribution from the energy sector (million tons of CO, eq)

emitted as  $CO_{2'}$  8.14 thousand tons as  $CH_4$  and 10.66 thousand tons as  $N_2O$ . The distribution of the emissions by fuel type are shown in figure 5.2. It is clear that 90% of the emissions of  $CO_{2'}CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  were due to coal combusted in this activity.

#### 5.4 PETROLEUM REFINING & SOLID FUEL MANUFACTURING

All combustion activities supporting the refining of petroleum products is included here. Does not include evaporative emissions occurring at the refinery. These emissions are reported separately under fugitive emissions. It also includes emissions arising from fuel combustion for the production of coke, brown coal briquettes and patent fuel. The total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from solid fuel manufacturing and petroleum refining in 2007 was 33.85 million tons, and out of this 97% of the emissions were from solid fuel manufacturing.

#### 5.5 TRANSPORT

The transport sector emissions include all GHG emissions from road transport, railways, aviation and navigation. Due to rapid economic growth in India over the last two decades the demands for all transport services, particularly road transport and aviation has increased manifold, it has a share of 4.5% in India's GDP. The total number of registered vehicles in the country has increased from 5.4 million in 1981 to 99.6 million in 2007 (figure 5.3). Two wheelers and cars constitute nearly 88% of the total vehicles at the national level (MoRTH, 2008).

The total commercial energy consumption in the



Figure 5.2: Fuel mix and GHG emissions in million tons from electricity generation

transport sector in 2007 is estimated to be 1766.6 PJ, that includes an array of fuels, such as diesel, petrol, coal, ATF, kerosene, LDO, FO, CNG, and LPG. Diesel comprises 65% of total energy used in the road transport sector, followed by petrol (24%) and ATF (7%) respectively. The rest (4%) constitute of coal, LDO, FO, CNG & LPG (Figure 5.4).

Consequently, it is estimated that the transport sector emitted 142.04 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007, of which 138.86 million tons were emitted as  $CO_2$ , 0.023 million tons as  $CH_4$  and 0.009 million tons as  $N_2O$  (refer to table 5.1). The road transport sector emitted 123.55 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq, which is 87% of the total emissions from the transport sector. In terms of specific gases, the road transport sector emitted, 121.21 million tons of  $CO_2$ ,



Figure 5.3: Growth in transport sector ('000 number of vehicles)



Figure 5.4: Distribution of fuel use in the transport sector in 2007 (in PJ)

0.023 million tons of  $CH_4$  and 0.006 million tons of  $N_2O$ . Aviations emitted 10.21 millions of  $CO_2$  equivalent in 2007 and is the second largest emitter in transport sector. Almost the entire emissions from aviation sector was emitted as  $CO_2$  (10.12 million tons). The railways emission are mostly driven by diesel, with very small use of other liquid fuels. The coal use in railways has become minimal. The railways emitted 6.84 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007, and again more than 90% of the emissions were in the form of  $CO_2$ . The navigation emitted 1.43 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent and out of this 1.41 million tons were emitted as  $CO_3$ . (Figure 5.5).



**Figure 5.5:** CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emission distribution from various modes of transport within the transport sector

### 5.6 RESIDENTIAL/ COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE/FISHERIES

Energy consumed in the residential sector is primarily used for cooking, lighting, heating and household appliances. Usage of LPG as the primary source of cooking by households in urban India exceeded consumption of the same by rural households by 48%. Biomass fuels such as fuel wood, crop residues, and animal dung continue to be the dominant fuels used by rural households. In the commercial sector, key activities include lighting, cooking, space heating/cooling, pumping, running of equipments and appliances. Sources of energy for the sector are grid based electricity, LPG, kerosene, diesel, charcoal and fuel wood. Data for LPG and Kerosene have been obtained from Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG).

Commercial and institutional sector also sees extensive use of captive power generation across the country due to frequent power shortages in various seasons. These power generation units generally run on diesel. In urban sector the important sources of energy are kerosene (10%), firewood & chips (22%) and LPG (57%). Biomass fuels such as fuel wood, crop residue and animal dung continue to be the dominant fuel used by rural households.

In 2007, the residential sector emitted 137.84 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent, of which 69.43 million tons were in the form of  $CO_2$  emissions, mainly from fossil fuel use in the residential sector (refer to table 5.3). The  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions were 2.72 million tons and 0.036 million

tons of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  respectively. The  $CH_4$  emissions are driven by the biomass consumption in the residential sector.

The commercial/institutional sector used fossil fuel for its energy needs and emitted 1.67 million tons of  $CO_2$ eq, of which more than 99% was  $CO_2$  (1.65 million tons). The agriculture and fisheries sector emitted 33.7 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent, and again more than the 99% of the emissions were in the form of  $CO_2$ .

#### 5.7 FUGITIVE EMISSIONS

Fossil fuels such as coal, or natural gas when extracted, produced, processed or transported, emit significant amount of methane to the atmosphere. The total emission from these two sources, comprise only of  $CH_4$  emission and India emitted 31.69 million tons  $CO_2$  eq. It constitutes 97.8% of the total  $CH_4$  emitted from the energy sector.  $CH_4$  emissions from both surface mining

and underground mining of coal have been estimated by using country specific emission factors measured in sample coal mines of different gassiness across the India. Further the emission estimates combine the emissions during mining and post mining activities. (Table 5.4) : coal mining lead to 0.73 million tons

 $CH_4$  emission from oil and natural gas industries occur due to leakage, evaporation and accidental releases from oil and gas industry. Emissions from venting and flaring are activities that are managed as part of normal operations at field processing facilities and oil refineries. Each of these three major categories is in turn divided into several subcategories. Venting and flaring emissions occur at several stages of the oil and gas production process. The structure of the categories means that a single process can contribute greenhouse gas emissions to two or more categories of emissions. Emission factors for estimating CH4 from oil and natural gas systems is given in Table 5.5.

			Emission Factor (m <sup>3</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> / tons)
<b>Underground Mines</b>	Mining	Deg. I	2.91
		Deg. II	13.08
		Deg.III	23.64
	Post-Mining	Deg. I	0.98
		Deg. II	2.15
		Deg.III	3.12
Surface Mines	Mining		1.18
	Post-Mining		0.15

#### Table 5.4: Country specific emission factors for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emission from coal mining activities

#### Table 5.5: CH<sub>4</sub> emission from Oil and natural gas systems

	Emission factor/ unit of activity		
No. of Wells	0.003 Gg/well		
Oil Production	0.000334 Gg/'000 tons		
Refinery Throughput	6.75904×10 <sup>-5</sup>		
	Gg/million tons		
Gas Production	0.003556 Gg/MMCM		
Gas Processing	0.010667 Gg/MMCM		
Gas distribution	0.010667 Gg/MMCM		
Leakage	0.006482 Gg/MMCM		
Flaring	0.000641 Gg/MMCM		

Source : IPCC (2000,2006)

## 6

### Industry

The industry sector includes emissions from fossil fuel combustion and the emissions related to various process to manufacture industrial goods. The categories covered under this sector are:

- Minerals Cement, glass production, ceramics;
- Chemicals Ammonia, nitric acid, Carbides, Titanium Oxide, Methanol, Ethylene, EDC and VCM production, Carbon black, and Caprolactam etc.;
- Metal Iron and steel, Ferro alloys, Aluminum, lead, zinc & copper;
- Other industries textiles, leather, food & beverages, food processing paper & pulp, non specified industries and mining and quarrying;
- Non energy product uses of Lubricant and paraffin wax.

While the GDP has increased in India, the share of industry in the increased GDP has remained constant at 27% between 1990 and 2007. The annual growth of the overall Index of Industrial Production (IIP), a measure of the absolute level and percentage growth of industrial production, has shown a steady increasing trend between 2000 and 2007. The growth rate has doubled with growth rate increasing from 5% to 10.6% (Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation, 2009), a sign of a fast emerging economy.

### 6.1 METHODOLOGY AND CHOICE OF EMISSION FACTORS

For estimating the GHG emissions from the Industry sector, the IPCC 1996 revised guidelines (IPCC, 1997) have been used for each of the categories. The activity data for the various industries are sourced from national statistical organizations, from listed companies, the annual reports of ministries of the Government of India, research organizations, trade magazines and other publications of the sector associations (see Annexure 1).



The energy conversion units and the emission factors used for fossil fuel combustion related to fossil and biomass are same as indicated in table 5.2 in the energy sector. The emission factors used for the process part of the emissions are presented in Table 6.1. For cement and nitric acid production the  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  are based on country specific circumstances (Rao et al., 2004).

### 6.2 OVERVIEW OF GHG EMISSIONS FROM INDUSTRY

The summary of GHG emissions from the Industry sector is given in Table 6.2. In 2007, the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emission from this sector was 412.55 million tons. It emitted 405.86 million tons of  $CO_2$ , 0.15 million tons of  $CH_4$  and 0.21 million tons of N<sub>2</sub>O. 31.7% of the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from Industry sector were from mineral industries where as 28.4% of the total GHG emissions were from metal industries. About 8.1% of the

Category	Gas	Emission factor	Source
Cement production	CO,	0.537 t CO <sub>2</sub> /t Clinker produced (incorporates CKD)	CMA, 2010
Glass production	CO <sub>2</sub>	0.21 t CO <sub>2</sub> /t glass (Container Glass); 0.22 t CO <sub>2</sub> /t glass	IPCC 2006
	2	(Fibre Glass); 0.03 t CO <sub>2</sub> /t glass (speciality glass)	
Other sources of	CO <sub>2</sub>	0.41492 t CO <sub>2</sub> /t carbonate	IPCC 2006
soda ash	-	-	
Ammonia	CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon content of natural gas has been taken as 99.5% and	NATCOM 2004
production	_	carbon oxidation factor has been taken as 14.4 kg C/GJ	
		Fuel requirement = Middle point value of the range	Oral communication
		7.72 - 10.5 million Kcal/tonne of Ammonia	by Fertilizer
			Association of India
Caprolactam	N <sub>2</sub> O	9 kg N <sub>2</sub> O/t chemical produced	IPCC 2006
production			
Carbide production	$CO_2$	1.1 t $CO_2/t CaC_2$ produced	IPCC 2006
Titanium dioxide	$CO_2$	1.385 tons CO <sub>2</sub> /tons TiO2 produced	IPCC 2006
production			(Avg. of EFs)
Methanol	$CO_2$	0.67 tons $CO_2$ /tons methanol produced	IPCC 2006
	$CH_4$	2.3 kg $CH_4$ /tons methanol produced	IPCC 2006
Ethylene	$CO_2$	1.73 t $CO_2$ /tons ethylene produced	IPCC 2006
	$CH_4$	3 kg $CH_4$ /t ethylene produced	IPCC 2006
EDC & VCM	$CO_2$	0.296 t $CO_2$ /tons EDC produced;	IPCC 2006
		0.47 tons CO <sub>2</sub> /tons VCM produced	
Ethylene Oxide	$CO_2$	0.863 tons $CO_2/t$ Ethylene oxide produced	IPCC 2006
	CH <sub>4</sub>	1.79 kg $CH_4$ /tons Ethylene oxide produced	IPCC 2006
Acrylonitrile	$CO_2$	1 ton $CO_2$ /ton acrylonitrile produced	IPCC 2006
	$CH_4$	0.18 kg CH <sub>4</sub> /ton acrylonitrile produced	IPCC 2006
Carbon black	$CO_2$	2.62 ton $CO_2$ /ton carbon black produced	IPCC 2006
	$CH_4$	0.06 kg $CH_4$ /ton carbon black produced	IPCC 2006
Iron & Steel	$CO_2$	1.46 ton $CO_2$ /ton production (BOF); 0.08 ton $CO_2$ /ton	IPCC 2006
production		production (EAF); 1.72 ton CO <sub>2</sub> /ton production (OHF);	
		0.7 ton $CO_2$ /ton production (DRI)	
Ferroalloys	$CO_2$	4.8 ton $CO_2$ / ton ferrosilicon produced; 1.5 ton $CO_2$ /ton	IPCC 2006
production		ferromanganese produced; 1.1 kg $CH_4$ / t ferrosilicon	
		produced	
	$CH_4$	1.1 kg $CH_4$ /ton ferrosilicon produced	IPCC 2006
Aluminium	$CO_2$	1.65 ton $CO_2$ /ton aluminium produced	IPCC 2006
		production	(Avg. of EFs)
Lead production	$CO_2$	0.58 ton $CO_2$ /ton lead produced (Imperial smelting	IPCC 2006
		furnace); 0.25 t CO <sub>2</sub> /ton lead produced (direct smelting);	
		0.2 ton $CO_2$ /ton lead produced (secondary production)	
Zinc production	CO <sub>2</sub>	0.53 ton CO <sub>2</sub> /ton zinc produced (pyro-metallurgical process)	IPCC 2006
Lubricant use	CO <sub>2</sub>	20 ton-C/TJ (Carbon content); 0.2 (ODU factor -oxidised	IPCC 2006
	during	use factor)	
Paraffin wax use	$CO_2$	20 ton-C/TJ (Carbon content); 0.2 (ODU factor -oxidised	IPCC 2006
		during use factor)	

 Table 6.1: Emission factors used for estimating process emissions
Table 6.2: GHG emissions from the Industry sector in '000 tons (or Giga Gram)

	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH4	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> eq
INDUSTRY	405862.90	14.77	20.56	412546.53
Minerals	130783.95	0.32	0.46	130933.27
Cement production	129920.00			129920.00
Glass & ceramic production	277.82	0.32	0.46	427.14
Other uses of soda ash	586.12			586.12
Chemicals	27888.86	11.14	17.33	33496.42
Ammonia production	10056.43			10056.43
Nitric acid production			16.05	4975.50
Carbide production	119.58			119.58
Titanium dioxide production	88.04			88.04
Methanol production	266.18	0.91		285.37
Ethylene production	7072.52	9.43		7270.64
EDC & VCM production	198.91			198.91
Ethylene Oxide production	93.64	0.19		97.71
Acrylonitrile production	37.84	0.01		37.98
Carbon Black production	1155.52	0.03		1156.07
caprolactam			1.08	336.22
Other chemical	8800.21	0.56	0.20	8873.97
Metals	122371.43	0.95	1.11	122736.91
Iron & Steel production	116958.37	0.85	1.09	117315.63
Ferroalloys production	2460.70	0.08		2462.29
Aluminium production	2728.87	0.01	0.00	2729.91
Lead production	84.13	0.00	0.01	86.38
Zinc production	76.11	0.00	0.01	77.99
Copper	63.25	0.01	0.00	64.70
Other Industries	123969.17	2.37	1.65	124530.44
Pulp and paper	5222.50	0.05	0.08	5248.35
Food processing	27625.53	1.12	0.22	27717.25
Textile and leather	1861.11	0.03	0.02	1867.94
Mining and quarrying	1460.26	0.06	0.01	1464.62
Non-specific industries	87799.77	1.11	1.32	88232.28
Non energy product use	849.49			849.49
Lubricant	776.75			776.75
Paraffin wax	72.75			72.75

total GHG emissions were from chemical industries. The other industries consisting of pulp and paper, food & beverage, non-specific industries, textile & leather, and mining/ quarrying together constituted 30.4% of the total GHG emission from the energy sector. Absolute values of the emissions by sub category in the energy sector is shown in Figure 6.1.

### 6.3 MINERALS

Minerals like, cement, glass, ceramics and soda ash use emitted 130.78 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq of which the cement production lead to an emission of 129.9 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq., glass & ceramics production emitted 0.43 million tons and soda ash use emitted 0.59 million tons



Figure 6.1: CO<sub>2</sub> emission in Million tons from Industry

### 6.4 CHEMICALS

Emission estimates have been made on account of combustion of fossil fuel and processes involved in the production of chemicals such as ammonia, nitric acid, carbide, methanol, titanium dioxide, adipic acid, ethylene, carbon black and caprolactam. The total amount of GHG emitted from this sector in 2007 was 33.50 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent. Total amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced from this sector was 27.89 million tons. CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were 0.11 & 0.17 million tons respectively.

### 6.5 METALS

The metal production emissions are estimated from production of iron and steel, aluminum and from other metals such as zinc, lead, magnesium, ferro alloys and copper. The total GHG emission from this sector was 122.74 million tons which constituted 29.7% of the total GHG emitted from this category in the industry sector. The total amount of  $CO_2$  emitted from this category is 122.37 million tons; miniscule emissions are emitted in the form of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$ .

### 6.6 OTHER INDUSTRIES

These include emissions from pulp and paper production, food and beverage, textile & leather, non-specified industries, and mining/quarrying activities. The nonspecified industries include Manufacture of rubber and plastics products, medical, precision and optical instruments, watches and clocks, other transport equipment, furniture, recycling etc. for which data is not available separately. Other industries emitted together 124.5 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalents in 2007, of which 123.9 million tons were emitted as  $CO_2$ . Miniscule amounts of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  were also emitted from this sector, which constituted less than 1% of the total GHG emission from this sector.

### 6.7 NON-ENERGY PRODUCT USE

Includes emission of GHGs due to use oil products and coal-derived oils primarily intended for purposes other than combustion. This category includes  $CO_2$  emissions from use of paraffin wax and lubricant and together they emitted 849.5 thousand tons of  $CO_2$  which is 0.2% of the total GHG emission from this sector.

### 6.8 A DESCRIPTION OF FOSSIL FUEL AND PROCESS BASED EMISSIONS

This section highlights the differences in process and fossil fuel combustion related  $CO_2$  emissions from industries. As an example, the major industries such as cement, iron and steel, chemicals and non-ferrous metal production have been included. Figure 6.2 depicts the relative emissions due to fossil fuel combustion and process emissions in these industries.





**Cement industry -** emitted 129.92 million tons of  $CO_2$ . 56% of these emissions were from process and 44% from fossil fuel combustion. These emissions are from diverse types technologies for manufacturing cement in India. See Table 6.3 for the technological status of Indian cement industry. (Figure 6.2)

**Iron and steel -** Emits 116.96 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>. The fossil fuel combustion and process related emissions constitute 59% and 41% of the total emissions related from this industry respectively, (Figure 6.2)

**Chemicals -** All chemicals, together emit 37.9 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>. Out of this 49.6% is from process related emissions and 50.4% of the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are due to fossil fuel combustion. (figure 6.2)

**Non-Ferrous metals -** Constituting of aluminium, zinc, lead and copper together emitted 2.95 million tons of CO . 45% of these emissions were from process and 55% from fossil fuel combustion in non-ferrous metal industries. (Figure 6.2)

	Mini-Vertical Shaft Kiln	Mini-Rotary kiln	Wet Process	Semi-Dry	Dry	Grinding Units
No of Plants	193	17	26	4	107	29
Total Capacity						
(million tones)	1.51	3.11	5.71	1.80	146.56	20.3
Percent of total cement	0.84	1.73	3.18	1.00	81.87	11.34
capacity						
Average kiln	30 -75	200-800	150-900	600-1300	2400-10,000	**600-2500
Capacity [TPD]						
Fuel consumption	850-1000	900-1000	1200-1400	900-1000	670- 775	Nil (except
(Kcal/kg. Clinker)						for captive
						power plants)
Power Consumption	110-125	110-125	115-130	110-125	85-92	**35-45
(Kwh/tonne of cement)						

### Table 6.3: Technological Status of Indian Cement Industry as of Dec, 2007

\*\*Grinding capacity

Source: CMA Basic Data, Annual Publication – 1994 to 2009

### Agriculture

The GHG emissions from the agriculture sector are emitted mainly in the form of  $CH_4$ . These are due to enteric fermentation and from rice paddy cultivation.  $N_2O$  is also emitted from this sector and is mainly from the agricultural fields due to application of fertilizers. The sources of emissions included in the agriculture sector are:

- Livestock Enteric fermentation
- Animal manure Rice cultivation
  - Upland
  - Irrigated continuously flooded, singular aeration, multiple aeration
  - Rainfed drought prone, flood prone
- Deep waterAgriculture soilsDirect emissions
  - Indirect emissions
- Field burning of agriculture crop residue



# 7.1 OVERVIEW OF THE AGRICULTURE SECTOR EMISSIONS

Agriculture sector emitted 334.41 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent, of which 13.76 million tons is  $CH_4$  and 0.15 million tons is  $N_2O$ . Enteric fermentation constituted 63% of the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from this sector, 21% of the emissions were from rice cultivation. Crop soils emitted 13% of the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions are attributed to Livestock manure management and burning of crop residue (Table 7.1 and Fig. 7.1).

# **Table 7.1:** Summary of GHG emissions from the agriculture sector in thousand tons

	CH <sub>4</sub>	$N_2O$	CO <sub>2</sub> eq.
	13767.80	146.07	334405.50
Enteric fermentation	10099.80		212095.80
Manure management	115.00	0.07	2436.70
Rice cultivation	3327.00		69867.00
Soils		140.00	43400.00
Crop residue	226.00	6.00	6606.00



**Figure: 7.1:** CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions from Agriculture sector (million tons)

### 7.2 ENTERIC FERMENTATION

In India, livestock rearing is an integral part of its culture and is an important component of the agricultural activities. Although the livestock includes cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goat, pigs, horses, mules, donkeys, camels and poultry, the bovines and the small ruminants are the most dominant feature of Indian agrarian scenario, and constitute major source of methane emissions. Traditionally cattle are raised for draught power for agricultural purposes, and cows and buffaloes for milk production. The cattle and buffaloes provide economic stability to farmers in the face of uncertainties associated with farm production in dry land/rain-fed cropped areas. Currently, most of the cattle are lowproducing non-descript, indigenous breeds and only a small percentage (5-10 per cent) is of a higher breed (cross-bred and higher indigenous breeds). Even in the case of buffaloes, there are very few high yield animals (10–20 per cent). Sheep rearing is prevalent in many areas because of smaller herd sizes, which are easy to raise and manage, providing year-round gainful employment to the small and marginal farmers.

Cattle and buffalo, which are the main milk-producing animals in the country, constitute 61 per cent of the total livestock population in India. The average milk produced by dairy cattle in India is 2.1 kg/day, Whereas, buffaloes produce 3.5 kg/day (MOA, 2004), which is much less than the milk produced by cattle in the developed countries (IPCC Revised Guidelines, 1997). This is mainly due to the poor quality of feed available to the cattle, specially domesticated in rural households, in spite of the low-energy value of feed intake.

The livestock census is carried out every 5 years. The last census data is available for 2003. To estimate the livestock population for 2007, the 2003 data is extrapolated using the compounded annual growth rate of each type of livestock between 1997 and 2003 (see table 7.2).

In order to estimate the CH<sub>4</sub> emission from livestock, at a higher tier, the cattle population has been divided into dairy and nondairy categories, with sub classification into indigenous and cross-bred types for different age groups (MOA, 2005). The dairy cattle has been characterized as cross bred, it has high milk yield and calves once in a year. The indigenous cattle have the typical characteristic of having lower body weights as compared to cross breds and they have lower milk yield and also calve once a year. The Lactating buffalo are classified as dairy buffalo. Non dairy cattle & buffalo include calves below one year, adults beyond calving age, and those within one to two years of age.

Species	Livestock population (in '000)					
	1997	2003	CAGR	2007		
Crossbred cattle	20099	24686	3.48	28306.0		
Indigenous cattle	178782	160495	-1.7	148348.2		
Total cattle	198881	185181	-1.2	176654.2		
Buffaloes	89918	97922	1.4	103522.0		
Yaks	59	65	1.6	69.3		
Mithuns	177	278	7.8	176136.0		
Total bovines	289035	283446	-0.3	279727.1		
Sheep	57494	61469	1.1	64320.0		
Goats	122721	124358	0.2	125546.0		
Pigs	13291	13519	0.3	13635.0		
Horses & ponies	827	751	0.01	800.0		
Mules	221	176	-0.1	200.0		
Donkeys	882	650	-6.5	458.0		
Camels	912	632	-6.2	465.0		
Total livestock	485385	485002	-0.01	484733.5		

Table 7.2: Livestock population estimates for 2007

Source: MOA, 2005

Using the emission factors provided in the report (NATCOM, 2004), it is estimated that the Indian livestock emitted 9.65 million tons in 2007 (see table 5.10). This constitutes 96% of the total  $CH_4$  released from this sector. Buffalo is the single largest emitter of  $CH_4$ , as it constitutes 60% of the total  $CH_4$  emission from this category, simply because of its large number compared to any other livestock species and also because of the large  $CH_4$  emission factor with respect to others.

Using the same approach for extrapolating the population of other livestock, namely, goats, sheep, chicken, camels, and others, and using the IPCC default  $CH_4$  emission factors for these species (IPCC, 2002), it is estimated that the total  $CH_4$  emitted from these categories is 36 thousand tons. The total  $CH_4$  emitted from enteric fermentation in livestock is thus 10.09 million tons.

### 7.3 MANURE MANAGEMENT

Not much systematic management of manure from livestock is done in India. It is mainly converted into dung cakes and is used for energy purposes in rural areas. It is estimated that about 0.115 million tons of  $CH_4$  and 0.07 thousand tons of  $N_2O$  are emitted from this source.

Methodology and emission factor choices: The dung management practices vary in different regions depending upon the need of the fuel and manure as well as the available fuel resources and climatic conditions of the regions. Dung management systems, generally followed in India, are as follows:

### Dung cakes

The dung of stall fed cattle and buffaloes, irrespective of their age, production status, and feeding is collected and on an average 50% is converted in dung cake daily in the morning mainly by the women folk of the household in India. The collected dung is mixed with the residual feed (mainly straws) of animals and dung cake of circular shape (weighing 0.5 to 2.5 kg) is prepared by hand and put out in the sun for drying. Drying is generally completed within 3-5 days during summer season and 7-10 days during winter season. After drying, the dung cakes are staked in to a conical structure, which is plastered with dung on the upper surface before on setting the monsoon season. Some farmers store this



source of fuel in the closed rooms. Under the prevailing situation methane emission is not expected from the dung cake. It is contrary to the IPCC (1997) which indicates 5-10% methane emission during the course of drying of dung cake.

Dung cake making is practiced almost in all the states in India except in Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir and North-Eastern regions. Fuel requirements in these states are generally met through fuel wood. Dung of all other species such as pigs, camel, goat, sheep etc. is not utilized for making the dung cake. Manure: Indian farmers still depend upon organic manure for maintaining the soil fertility as this system is sustainable for the economy of the farmers. To convert the cattle and buffalo dung into manure, excess dung remaining from dung cake making is collected on the heap nearby to the cattle shed. The residual feed (unfit for mixing in dung cake) and ash (available due to the use of dung cake as source of fuel) are also put on the heap. However during monsoon season when dung cake making practice is stopped due to the rains, whole quantity of daily collection of dung goes to this heap. The dung, thus collected is exposed to the weather conditions and methane emission is expected from the inner core of the heap due to the anaerobic fermentation of organic matter. IPCC (1997) also attributed this fact. The manure thus prepared is generally carted to the fields at the time of soil preparations after the monsoon season or at the time of need.

### Daily deposition on the soil

Part of the dung of cattle and buffaloes goes directly to the soil and deposited on the soil during the course of their grazing. Though grazing practice in major part of country is decreasing due to the shrinking of community lands and natural pastures. However, animals are allowed to graze on road side, canal bunds, fellow lands and harvested fields. In states/regions have forest areas and natural pastures, animals still survive on grazing as mentioned earlier. The excreta of grazing animals dry up quickly due to the mixing with soil during the trampling by the animals and do not produce methane as suggested by IPCC (1997).

The dung of goat and sheep goes directly to the soil and Indian farmer's value for this source of Nitrogen (N), Phosphorous (P), and Potassium (K) for their soil. In certain areas, farmers invite the nomadic shepherds along with their flock after the harvesting is over so that the flock can sit on the harvested field and consume the stubble and provide the nutrients from their dung and urine to the field. Shepherds are obliged with money, food and shelter till their flock sits on the field. The dung of other species such as donkey, horses, camel etc. directly goes to the soil deposition due to their daily mobility. The pig excreta are not utilized for manure purposes as pigs are being maintained under scavenging system.

### Other systems

Efforts were made to develop the technology for biogas production from dung and popularize it the as it is a renewable source of energy but the farmers due to some inherent problems do not accept it. Therefore, only negligible part of the dung is utilized for biogas production.

According to the livestock census, the total amount of dung produced in 1997 and 2003 was 270 and 268 million tons respectively. Methane production from dung cake is taken as zero, only 50% of total dung therefore is considered for estimating methane and nitrous oxide emissions (Mahdeswara swami, 2004).

### 7.4 RICE CULTIVATION

India emitted 3.3 million tons of  $CH_4$  in 2007 from 43.62 million ha cultivated for this purpose (MOA, 2008). Of

the total rice area cultivated, 52.6% was irrigated 32.4% was rain-fed lowland, 12% was rain-fed upland and 3% was deepwater rice (Huke at el., 1997; WRS, 2008). The annual amount of  $CH_4$  emitted from a given area of rice is a function of the crop duration, water regimes and organic soil amendments. The  $CH_4$  emissions from rice cultivation have been estimated by multiplying the seasonal emission factors by the annual harvested areas. The total annual emissions are equal to the sum of emissions from each sub-unit of harvested area using the following equation.

$$CH_4 Rice = \sum_{i,j,k} (EFi, j, k \times Ai, j, k \times 10-6)$$
  
i,j,k

Where  $CH_4$  Rice = annual methane emissions from rice cultivation, Gg  $CH_4$  /yr; EFijk = a seasonal integrated emission factor for i, j, and k conditions, kg  $CH_4$  /ha; Aijk = annual harvested area of rice for i, j, and k conditions, ha /yr; i, j, and k = represent different ecosystems, water regimes, type and amount of organic amendments, under which  $CH_4$  emissions from rice may vary. Separate calculations were undertaken for each rice ecosystems (i.e., irrigated, rainfed, and deep water rice production).

In 2007, 43.62 million ha area was cultivated for rice using various water management practices, where by the rice fields are either continuously flooded with water received from irrigation canals, or they are at times aerated - singular aeration and multiple aerations. Rice also grows in upland areas in the country, as well as in deep water where the depth of the water may be more than or equal to half a meter. The distribution of rice area in India is shown in figure 7.2. It is seen that maximum land are (9640000 ha is under rainfed flood prone conditions,





Figure 7.2: Distribution of rice area under various water management practices in India in 2007. Here MA- Multiple aeration, SA- Single aeration and CF- Continuously flooded

Table 7.3: Methane emission from rice cultivation

Ecosystem	Water regime	Rice Area 2007 (000' ha)	Emission Coeff. 2007 (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Methane ('000 tons)
Irrigated	CF*	6427	162	1042
	SA	8517	66	562.1
	MA	8898	18	160.1
Rainfed	DP	3577	70	635
	FP	9640	190	679
Deep water	DW	1309	190	249
Upland		5234	0	0
Total				3327

Note: CF - Continuously flooded

SA - Single Aeration

MA - Multiple Aeration

DP - Drought Prone FP - Flood Prone

F - HOUU FIUNE

and 20% each of the area are cultivated under irrigated multiple aeration or single aeration condition. The continuously flooded land is only 15% of the total area available for rice cultivation. The upland rice area is 5234000 ha and is a net sink of  $CH_{4'}$  as no anaerobic conditions are generated at these heights.

Table 7.3 gives the  $CH_4$  emission estimates made and details the emission factors used and area covered under each water management regime. Irrigated flood prone emissions constituted 45% of the total  $CH_4$  emission from



**Figure 7.3:** CH<sub>4</sub> emission distribution in million tons from rice cultivation in 2007

this category. The next highest emitting source was irrigated continuously flooded (26%) and irrigated single aeration constituted 14% of the emission. Rainfed drought prone, deep water and irrigated multiple aeration contributed 6%, 5% and 4% of the  $CH_4$  emitted from this source (see figure 7.3).

### 7.5 AGRICULTURE SOILS

Nitrous Oxide is produced naturally in soils through the processes of nitrification and denitrification. Nitrification is the aerobic microbial oxidation of ammonium to nitrate, and denitrification is the anaerobic microbial reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas  $(N_2)$ . Nitrous oxide is a gaseous intermediate in the reaction sequence of denitrification and a by-product of nitrification that leaks from microbial cells into the soil and ultimately into the atmosphere. One of the main controlling factors in this reaction is the availability of inorganic Nitrogen (N) in the soil. This methodology, therefore, estimates N<sub>2</sub>O emissions using human-induced net N additions to soils (e.g., synthetic or organic fertilizers, deposited manure, crop residues, sewage sludge), or of mineralization of N in soil organic matter following drainage / management of organic soils, or cultivation/land-use change on mineral soils (e.g., Forest Land/Grassland/Settlements converted to Cropland).

The emissions of  $N_2O$  that result from anthropogenic N inputs or N mineralization occur through both a direct pathway (i.e., directly from the soils to which the N is

added/released), and through two indirect pathways: (i) following volatilization of  $NH_3$  and  $NO_x$  from managed soils and from fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning, and the subsequent redeposition of these gases and their products  $NH_4$  + and  $NO_3$  - to soils and waters; and (ii) after leaching and runoff of N, mainly as  $NO_3$  - from managed soils. Therefore total  $N_2O$  emitted from soils can be represented as:

 $N_2O-N_{TOTAL} = N_2O-N_{DIRECT} + N_2O-N_{INDIRECT}$ 

Using the above methodology the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from India is estimated to be 0.14 million tons in 2007. With respect to 1994, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from this category have significantly reduced (by 16%). This is mainly due to the use of India specific emission factors that are lower by almost 30% than the IPCC default values. The previous emission factors were 0.93 kg ha<sub>1</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O-N for all types of crop regimes. The revised emission factors used for rice-wheat systems are 0.76 for rice and 0.66 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N<sub>2</sub>O -N for wheat for urea application without any inhibitors (Pathak et al., 2002).

### 7.6 BURNING OF CROP RESIDUE

Crop residue is burnt in the fields in many Indian states such as Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, West Bengal, Haryana, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, Tamil Nadu, Uttaranchal and Karnataka producing CO,  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ , NOx, NMHCs,  $SO_2$  and many other gases. In this report only the  $CH_4$ and  $N_2O$  emissions have been reported. Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from crop residue burning were calculated using the equation given below.

$$\mathsf{EBCR} = \sum \mathsf{crops} (\mathsf{A} \times \mathsf{B} \times \mathsf{C} \times \mathsf{D} \times \mathsf{E} \times \mathsf{F})$$

Where, EBCR= Emissions from residue Burning

- A = Crop production
- B = Residue to crop ratio
- C = Dry matter fraction
- D = Fraction burnt
- E = Fraction actually oxidized
- F = Emission factor

The estimation of emission of targeted species was arrived at by first estimating the amount of biomass actually burnt in the field using the IPCC revised inventory preparation guidelines (IPCC, 1996). Currently, wastes from nine crops viz., rice, wheat, cotton, maize, millet, sugarcane, jute, rapeseed-mustard and groundnut, are subjected to burning. The state-wise crop production figures for 2007 (MOA, 2008) were used as the basic activity data. The dry matter fraction of crop residue is taken as 0.8 (Bhattacharya and Mitra, 1998), 0.25 as fraction burned (IPCC, 1997) in field and 0.9 as the fraction actually oxidized (IPCC, 1997). Crop specific values of carbon fraction were as per IPCC default values. The default N/C ratios were taken from IPCC (2006). Further, the emission ratio was calculated using emission factors given by Andreae and Merlet (2001) which are the default factors mentioned in IPCC (2006) national inventory preparation guidelines. Using this methodology, 0.23 million tons of CH<sub>4</sub> and 0.006 million tons of N<sub>2</sub>O was emitted from burning of crop residue in India in 2007.

### Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry

Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) is a key component of the Greenhouse Gas Emission Profile. It involves estimation of carbon stock changes,  $CO_2$  emissions and removals and non- $CO_2$  GHG emissions. The IPCC has developed three GHG inventory guidelines for land use sector viz. Revised 1996 Guidelines for LUCF (IPCC, 1997); IPCC Good Practice Guidelines for LULUCF (IPCC, 2003); and the latest IPCC, 2006 guidelines which includes Agriculture Forest and Other Land Categories (AFOLU).

India used the *Revised 1996 Guidelines for LULUCF sector* for preparation of GHG inventory information for its Initial National Communication. The inventory showed that LUCF sector was a marginal source of GHG



emissions (14.2 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq) for the inventory year 1994. The revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines has many limitations and the inventory estimation is incomplete since all land categories are not included and the uncertainty of GHG inventory is estimated to be high. Thus IPCC developed Good Practice Guidance (GPG) for the land use sectors covering all the land use categories for the inventory. The developed countries (Annex I Countries in UNFCCC) are required to use the GPG approach for LULUCF sector. Further, the developing countries (Non-Annex I countries) such as India are encouraged to use the GPG approach.

India has an option of using the *Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines* or the IPCC – 2003 *GPG* approach or the *IPCC* – 2006, *AFOLU Guidelines*. Even though India has a choice to use the elements of *IPCC* – 2006 *AFOLU Guidelines*, however, the reporting tables are not yet developed for the *IPCC 2006 Guidelines* by the UNFCCC. India has decided to shift to *IPCC* – 2003 *GPG* approach since the reporting tables are available for the LULUCF sector.

### 8.1 METHODOLOGY – GPG APPROACH

*IPCC GPG2003* adopted three major advances over *IPCC 1996 Guidelines*. They include:

- Introduction of three hierarchical tiers of methods that range from default data and simple equations to use of country-specific data and models to accommodate national circumstances
- Land use category based approach for organizing the methodologies
- Provides guidelines for all the 5 carbon pools.

*IPCC GPG2003* adopted six land categories to ensure consistent and complete representation of all land

categories, covering the total geographic area of a country. The land use categories and the sub-categories, and the relevant gases and C-pools used in the GPG2003 are given below:

- Land Categories
  - o Forest land, crop land, grassland, wetland, settlements and others.
  - o Sub-categories:
- Land remaining in the same category (eg. Forest land remaining forest land)
- Land converted to other category (eg. Crop land converted to Forest land)
- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and removal is estimated for all the Carbon-pools namely;
  - o Above ground biomass (AGB), below ground biomass (BGB), soil carbon, dead organic matter (DOM) and woody litter

Non-CO<sub>2</sub> gases estimated include;
 OH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CO and NO<sub>x</sub>

Table 8.1 highlights the differences between the GPG 2003 and IPCC 1996 Guidelines. The description of the carbon pools is given in the Table 8.2.

# 8.2 ESTIMATING CARBON STOCK CHANGES

 $CO_2$  emissions and removals or the Carbon stock change is the dominant source of GHG in LULUCF sector. Carbon stock change is the sum of changes in stocks of all the carbon pools in a given area over a period of time, which could be averaged to annual stock changes. A generic equation for estimating the changes in carbon

Gł	PG 2003	IPCC 1996
•	Land category based approach covering forest land, cropland, grassland, wetland, settlement and others	<ul> <li>Approach based on four categories namely 5A to 5D (refer to Section 5.1of IPCC 1996) All land categories not included such as coffee, tea, coconut etc. Lack of clarity on agro-forestry</li> </ul>
•	These land categories are further sub divided into; - land remaining in the same use category - other land converted to this land category	<ul> <li>Forest and grassland categories defined in 5A and 5B differently</li> </ul>
	Methods given for all carbon pools; AGB, BGB, dead organic matter and soil carbon and all non-CO <sub>2</sub> gases	<ul> <li>Methods provided mainly for above ground biomass and soil carbon.</li> <li>Assumes as a default that changes in carbon stocks in dead organic matter pools are not significant and can be assumed to be zero, i.e. inputs balance losses.</li> <li>Similarly, below ground biomass increment or changes are generally assumed to be zero</li> </ul>
•	Key source/sink category analysis provided for selecting significant - land categories - sub-land categories - C-pools - CO <sub>2</sub> and non-CO <sub>2</sub> gases	<ul> <li>Key source/sink category analysis not provided</li> </ul>
	Three tier structure presented for choice of methods, Activity Data and Emission Factors	<ul> <li>Three tier structure approach presented but its appli- cation to choice of methods, AD and EF not provided</li> </ul>
	Biomass and soil carbon pools linked particularly in Tier 2 and 3	<ul> <li>Changes in stock of biomass and soil carbon in a given vegetation or forest type not linked</li> </ul>

### Table 8.1: Methods adopted in GPG2003 and IPCC 1996

Table 8.2:	Definition	of carbon	pools	according	to	GPG	(2003	)
							•	

Carbon Pool		Description
Living biomass	Above-ground biomass	All biomass of living vegetation, both woody and herbaceous, above the soil including stems, stumps, branches, bark, seeds and foliage.
	Below-ground	All biomass of live roots. Fine roots of less than 2 mm diameter (the suggested
	biomass	empirically from soil organic matter.
Dead organic	Deadwood	All non-living woody biomass not contained in the litter, either standing, lying
matter		on the ground, or in the soil. Deadwood includes wood lying on the surface,
		dead roots, and stumps larger than or equal to 10 cm in diameter.
	Litter	All non-living biomass with a size greater than the limit for soil organic matter
		(the suggested minimum is 2 mm) and less than the minimum diameter
		chosen for deadwood (e.g. 10 cm) lying dead and in various states of
		decomposition above or within the mineral organic soil. This includes the
		litter layer as usually defined in soil typologies. Live fine roots above the
		mineral or organic soil (of less than the suggested minimum for below-ground
		biomass) are included whenever they cannot be empirically distinguished
		from the litter.
Soil	Soil organic	Organic carbon in mineral soils to a specified depth chosen and applied
	matter	consistently through a time series. Live and dead fine roots within the soil (of
		less than the suggested minimum for below-ground biomass) are included
		wherever they cannot be empirically distinguished from the soil organic matter.

stock for a given land-use category or project is given below:

Where:

Annual carbon stock change for a land-use category is the sum of changes in all carbon pools

$$\Delta C_{LUI} = \Delta C_{AB} + \Delta C_{BB} + \Delta C_{DW} + \Delta C_{LI} + \Delta C_{SC}$$

Where:

 $\Delta C_{LUi}$  is carbon stock change for a land-use category, AB = above-ground biomass, BB = below-ground biomass, DW = deadwood, LI = litter and SC = soil carbon

The equation requires the stock change to be estimated for each of the pools. The changes in the carbon pool could be estimated using the two approaches based on IPCC guidelines (IPCC 2003 and IPCC 2006).

**Carbon 'Gain–Loss':** Annual carbon stock change in a given pool as a function of gains and losses ('Gain–Loss' Method)

$$\Delta C = \Delta C_{G} - \Delta C_{L}$$

 $\Delta C$  is annual carbon stock change in the pool,  $\Delta C_{G}$  is the annual gain of carbon and  $\Delta C_{L}$  is the annual loss of carbon.

**Carbon 'Stock–Change' or 'Stock–Difference':** Carbon stock change in a given pool as an annual average difference between estimates at two points in time (Stock-Difference method)

$$\Delta C = \frac{(C_{t2} - C_{t1})}{(t_2 - t_1)}$$

Where:

 $\Delta C$  is the annual carbon stock change in the pool,  $C_{t_1}$  the carbon stock in the pool at time  $t_1$  and  $C_{t_2}$  the carbon stock in the same pool at time  $t_2$ .

### 8.3 INVENTORY ESTIMATION

India has estimated GHG inventory for the year 2007. India has adopted Tier – II approach, where much of the activity data and emission and removal factors were obtained from national sources.

Main land categories	Sub-categories (based on transformation)	Disaggregated level	C-pools	Non-CO <sub>2</sub> gases
Forest land	Forest land remaining forest land	- Tropical Wet Evergreen - Tropical Dry Deciduous	Above ground	
	Land converted to forest land	- Iropical Thorn Forest - Plantations - etc	biomass, Below ground	
Crop land	Crop land remaining crop land	- Irrigated, unirrigated - Annual crops	biomass, and Dead	$CH_{4'}N_2O$
	Land converted to crop land	- Plantation; Coconut, coffee, tea, etc.	organic matter,	
Grassland	Grassland remaining grassland	- Climatic regions	litter and	
	Land converted to grassland		soil carbon	
Wetland	Wetland remaining wetland	- Wetland, Peat land		
	Land converted to wetland	- Flooded land		
Settlements	Settlement remaining settlement	- Rural		
	Land converted to settlements	- Urban		

Table 8.3: Main and sub land categories, carbon pools and non-CO<sub>2</sub> gases for GHG inventory for LULUCF sector

Activity Data: GHG inventory is estimated for the year 2007, by taking the activity data for area for 2007-08. Land use change matrix is prepared using land use data for 2006-07 and 2007-08. Area under forest is obtained from FSI, 2009 and area under other land categories for years 2006-07 and 2007-08 is obtained from NRSC land use data. Approach -2 of IPCC GPG-2003 was adopted. Activity data is required for the land categories, subcategories and final disaggregated land use systems according to Table 8.3. The crucial data required for estimating inventory is the land use change matrix that provides data on area remaining in the same category and area converted from one land use category to the other during the inventory year.

The activity data for the land use categories is given in Table 8.4. Cropland dominates the land use system in India followed by forestland.

### 8.4 LAND USE CHANGE MATRIX

GHG inventory is estimated for the land use category remaining in the same category as well as land use category subjected to land use change. Table 8.5 provides the land use change matrix for the inventory year 2007, based on data from Forest Survey of India (FSI, 2009) and National Remote Sensing Centre (NRSC, 2008). It can be observed that forest area has marginally increased,

### Table 8.4: Land use pattern of India in 2007

Land use	Sub-category	Area (M ha)
Forest	Very dense	8.35
	Moderately dense	31.90
	Open	28.84
	Land converted	
	to forest	0.07
	Sub total	69.16
Cropland	Net sown area	139.72
	Fallow	41.29
	Sub total	181.01
Grassland	Grazing land	8.05
	Scrub	21.12
	Other wasteland +	
	Gullied / Ravines	31.85
	Shifting cultivation	0.26
	Sub total	61.28
Wetland /		
Flooded Land	Wetland	6.08
Settlement	Settlement	2.07
Other land	Other land	9.05
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>		328.65

whereas the net sown (cropped) area has declined. The grassland area has also decreased. Figure 8.1 shows the land use map of India generated from AWiFS.

Land-use	Sub-category/strata	2006	2007	Change in area
Forest	Very dense <sup>1</sup>	8.35	8.35	0.00
	Moderately dense <sup>1</sup>	31.99	31.90	-0.09
	Open <sup>1</sup>	28.68	28.84	0.16
	Land converted to forest			0.07
	Sub total Forest area	69.02	69.16	0.14
Cropland	Net sown area	141.06	139.72	-1.34
	Fallow (current fallow)	40.84	41.29	0.45
	Sub total	181.9	181.01	-0.89
Grassland	Grazing land	8.06	8.05	-0.01
	Scrub	21.31	21.12	-0.19
	Other wasteland + Gullied / Ravines	30.73	31.85	1.12
	Shifting cultivation	0.20	0.26	0.06
	Land converted to grassland			0.98
	Sub total	60.30	61.28	0.98
Wetland	Wetland (flooded land)	6.28	6.08	-0.20
Settlement	Settlement <sup>2</sup>	2.06	2.07	0.01
	Land converted to Settlements			0.01
Other land	Other land	9.09	9.05	-0.04
	Land converted to other land			-0.04
GRAND TOTAL		328.65	328.65	—

### Table 8.5: Land-use change matrix for 2007 (Area in Mha)

<sup>1</sup>FSI area for 2005 and 2007 is used; <sup>2</sup>Built-up lands, including urban and rural

### 8.5 AREA UNDER FORESTS

FSI has stratified the area under forests based on ecological features using the Champion and Seth (1968) classification system. The forest area under each stratum is further stratified into dense and open forests. Table 8.6 provides the area under different forest types and according to crown density.

### Forest cover

The remote sensed data of IRS PC LISS-3 at 1:50,000 scale for the period November 2006 to March 2007 has been used to arrive at the forest cover mosaic at the starting of 2007. The forest cover data is grouped into two canopy density classes, namely, open forests (10%-40% crown cover) and dense forests (more than 40% crown cover). Forest cover includes patches of tree cover up to the size of 1 ha. Figure 8.2 show the forest cover as observed from satellite and its interpretation in terms of open and dense forests. The country wide forest cover

mosaic has been prepared especially for the purpose of this study.

### Forest type

The detailed classification of forest types of India has been given by Champion and Seth (1968). This classification categorizes forests of India into 16 type groups and 200 types. Recently, Forest Survey of India has completed mapping of forest types on 1: 50,000 scale. For the purpose of this study merging as well as splitting of type groups has been done in an analytical manner taking consideration of the species types, terrain, region, climate etc. to arrive at an appropriate number of strata (as indicated below) for estimating Carbon stock in India's forests.

The forests in India constitute Tropical wet evergreen forests, tropical semi evergreen forests, tropical moist deciduous forests, Littoral and swamp forests, tropical dry deciduous forests, tropical thorn forests, tropical dry ever green forests, Sub tropical broad leaved hill forests,





Sub tropical pine forests, Sub tropical Dry evergreen forests, Montane wet temperate forests, Himalayan Moist temperate forests, Himalayan dry temperate forests, Sub alpine forests, Moist Alpine scrub, Dry Alpine scrub, Plantations and tree outside forests.

### Strata

Stratification for this study has been done taking the above two layers, namely, forest cover and forest type. The stratification was done in GIS framework by overlay of the two layers. Thus the forest types were further classified into two canopy density classes and each such class represented a strata. The total number of strata is 30. Figure 8.6 shows the distribution of these 30 forest strata spread across India in the beginning of 2007.

### Emission and removal factors

The rate of growth or change for different carbon pools for different land categories and land use change categories is obtained from literature and field measurements. The carbon stocks and rates of change values on an annual basis are likely to vary for different regions, management practices and land use systems. Very limited data is available for rates of change in different carbon pools for different land use categories. Some of the references used for this work are Puri 1950; Sekhar and Rawat, 1960; Pant, 1981; John, 2000; Dhand et al., 2003 and Gupta et al., 2003. Inventory is estimated largely using tier 2 approach using nationally available data.

### Assessment of Carbon stock from forests

For assessing the Carbon stock in forests of the country, data from 15439 sample plots have been analysed (see the spread of the plots in figure 8.4). For this, the sample plots were overlaid on the above strata layer in GIS. Through GIS, strata information of each plot was attached. In the sample plot layer, the information attached includes woody biomass, foliage, litter, humus, dead wood, climbers, shrubs, herbs and Soil Carbon.

The equations used for estimating growing stock, biomass and the C stock are as follows:

Growing Stock = Total area of each strata x Average volume of the corresponding stratum

Here growing stock refers to woody volume that includes all trees above 10 cm DBH.

Further Biomass of the woody growing stock is estimated using the following equation:

Biomass = Growing stock x Specific Gravity where Specific gravity = Oven dry weight/ Green volume

and

C stock = Biomass x Carbon fraction Where the carbon fraction corresponds to the different types of biomass in the forest.

The Carbon stock has been estimated for the following levels:

The total Carbon stock estimates have been made in terms of

- Above ground biomass
- Below ground biomass
- Soil Carbon

# 8.6 CARBON STOCK CHANGE IN FOREST LANDS

In order to estimate the change in C stock in Indian forests during 2007 a comparison with C stock in 2005 has been made by distributing the forest cover in 2005 into the different 30 strata in the same ratio as in 2007. A net  $CO_2$  removal during 2007, based on 2005 and 2007 stock changes, is estimated to be 67.8 million tons (or Tg) by Indian forests, (Table 8.6).

### 8.7 CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS AND REMOVAL FROM NON-FOREST LAND CATEGORIES

The net emissions/removals from non-forest land categories are given in Table 8.7 The emissions and removals are estimated using nationally available data CO<sub>2</sub> stock change on per hectare basis in land remaining



Tropical Wet Evergreen-North East-DF
Tropical Wet Evergreen-North East-OF
Tropical Wet Evergreen-Western Ghats-DF
Tropical Wet Evergreen-Western Ghats-OF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-North East-DF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-North East-OF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-Eastern Deccan-DF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-Eastern Deccan-OF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-Western Ghats-DF
Tropical Semi Evergreen-Western Ghats-OF
Tropical Moist Deciduous Forests-DF
Tropical Moist Deciduous Forests-OF
Littoral & Swamp Forests-DF
Littoral & Swamp Forests-OF
Tropical Dry Deciduous Forests-DF
Tropical Dry Deciduous Forests-OF
Tropical Thorn Forest-DF
Tropical Thorn Forest-OF
Tropical & Subtropical Dry Evergreen Forests-DF
Tropical & Subtropical Dry Evergreen Forests-OF
Subtropical Pine Forests-DF
Subtropical Pine Forests-OF
Montane Moist Temperate Forest-DF
Montane Moist Temperate Forest-OF
Sub Alpine & Temperate Forest-DF
Sub Alpine & Temperate Forest-OF
Alpine Scrub -DF
Alpine Scrub -OF
Plantation/TOF-DF
Plantation/TOF-OF
Non Forest

Figure 8.3: Distribution 30 forest strata across India





Figure 8.4: Spread of forest sample plots

Source: Forest Survey of India

in the same category. Grassland remaining grassland is a net source of about 10 Mt  $CO_2$ , whereas cropland and settlements land categories are a net sink.

# 8.8 NET GHG REMOVAL FROM LULUCF SECTOR

The net  $CO_2$  emission / removal for LULUCF sector is given in Table 8.8. This includes  $CO_2$  net emissions and removals from land categories. The net  $CO_2$  emissions

include gain and loss of  $CO_2$ . The loss of  $CO_2$  is largely due to extraction and use of fuelwood from felling of trees. Thus the net  $CO_2$  emissions / removal estimate shows that the sector is a net sink of 177.03 million tons  $CO_2$ . The sector is a net sink due to uptake of  $CO_2$  by the cropland followed by forest land.

This is a preliminary estimate, likely to be subjected to high uncertainty, and may change with improved activity data and emission factor estimates.

#### **Carbon pools** C stock in million C stock in million **Change in C stock** CO<sub>2</sub> removal in million tons 2005 tons 2007 in million tons tons during 2007 (2005 - 2007)A B C=A-B $D=C^{*}44/12$ Above ground biomass 2337 2349 22.0 6 1.5 Below ground biomass 682 685 5.5 Soil Carbon 4270 4292 11 40.3 Total 7289 7326 18.5 67.8

### Table 8.6: Change in C stock between 2005 and 2007 in forest land category

Net change in carbon stock of 37 Mt during 2005 and 2007 is divided by two years to get 18.5 Mt for the year 2007, which is further multiplied by 44/12 to convert to  $CO_2$ 

**Table 8.7:** CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and removals for biomass and soil carbon for land categories with land remaining in the same categories

Land use categories	MAI in perennial aboveground biomass (t/ha/y) A	MAI in perennial belowground biomass (t/ha/y) <sup>1</sup> B	MAI in total perennial biomass (t/ha/y) A+B	MAI in soil carbon (t/ha/y) C	MAI in total carbon (t/ha/y) D = (A +B)/2+C	Net DC (Mt C) E = D x Area	Net change in CO <sub>2</sub> (Mt) F = E x 3.6666 [+ is emission; - is removal]
Cropland–Cropland	0.130	0.046	0.176	0.220	0.308	56.60	- 207.52
Grassland–Grassland	0.003	0.001	0.004	-0.056	-0.054	-2.86	+10.49
Settlement–Settlement	0.008	0.002	0.010	0.000	0.005	0.01	- 0.038
Wetland–Wetland	_	—	_	_	_	_	_
Other land	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

<sup>1</sup>Below ground biomass was calculated as a fraction (0.26) of the total biomass: IPCC default conversion factor MAI: Mean Annual Increment

### Table 8.8: Total GHG emissions from LULUCF for 2007 in Gg

Land use categories	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions/ removals (Gg CO <sub>2</sub> )	CO <sub>2</sub> loss due to fuelwood use (GgCO <sub>2</sub> ) leading to net CO <sub>2</sub> emission	Net CO <sub>2</sub> emissions/ removal (GgCO <sub>2</sub> )
Forestland	-67,800		
Cropland	-207,520		
Grassland	+10,490	+87,840	
Wetland			
(Flooded land)	NE		
Settlement	-38		
Other land	NO		
TOTAL	-264,868	+87,840	-177,028

Removal (-) Emission (+)

Source of fuelwood is not known, so assumed to come from all land categories. About 8.7% of the fuelwood consumption is estimated to come from felling of trees leading to net CO<sub>2</sub> emission.

Non-CO<sub>2</sub> estimates are not reported due to absence of activity data and emission data. Non-CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from crop residue burning is reported in Agriculture sector.



### Waste

The main greenhouse gases emitted from waste management is  $CH_4$ . It is produced and released into the atmosphere as a by-product of the anaerobic decomposition of solid waste, where-by methanogenic bacteria break down organic matter in the waste. Similarly, wastewater becomes a source of  $CH_4$  when treated or disposed anaerobically. It can also be a source of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions as well due to protein content in domestically generated waste water .

The greenhouse gases and their source categories considered in this document include:

- Municipal solid waste disposal resulting in CH<sub>4</sub> emission
- Domestic waste water disposal emitting CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O
- Industrial waste water disposal leading to CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

# 9.1 SUMMARY OF GHG EMISSIONS FROM WASTE

The total GHG released from waste sector in 2007 was 57.73 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent, of which, 2.52 million tons was emitted as  $CH_4$  emitted and 0.16 million tons as N<sub>2</sub>O (table 9.1). Domestic waste water is the dominant source of  $CH_4$  emission in India, it emitted 40%

## Table 9.1: GHG emissions from waste sector (thousand tons)

	CH <sub>4</sub>	$N_2O$	CO <sub>2</sub> eq
	2515.58	15.8	57725.18
Municipal Solid waste	604.51		12694.71
Domestic waste water	861.07	15.8	22980.47
Industrial waste water	1050		22050



Figure 9.1: GHG emission from waste sector in thousand tons and its distribution across sub categories

of the total  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from the waste sector. 38% of the emissions came from disposal and treatment of Industrial waste water and 22% of the emissions were from Municipal solid waste disposal. Figure 9.1 shows the absolute values of GHG emission from this sector and also the emission distribution across its sub categories.

### 9.2 MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE

In India, waste is only systematically collected and disposed at waste disposal sites in cities, resulting in  $CH_4$  emission from anerobic conditions. In rural areas, waste is scattered and as a result the aerobic conditions prevail with no resulting  $CH_4$  emission. MSW in Indian cities is

disposed in landfills by means of open dumping, however a small fraction is used for composting in some of the cities. In the mega cities such as Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata and Chennai the MSW generation rate is over riding the population growth rate. As an example of  $CH_4$  emissions from solid waste management in a mega city is given in Box 9.1. The rate of disposal of MSW varies from city to city therefore the estimation of  $CH_4$  generated from MSW at a national level becomes highly uncertain unless year wise data on MSW generation is incorporated in the estimates. In the present estimate IPCC 2000 guidelines have been used and an average  $CH_4$  emission factor derived from a study by NEERI in 69 cities (NEERI, 2005) has been applied. Methodology, choice of emission factors and  $CH_4$ emission: The first order decay methodology has been used for estimating  $CH_4$  from land fills (IPCC, 2002). According to this methodology  $CH_4$  generated in the disposal sites is represented as

Methane emitted<sub>T</sub> = ( $\Sigma CH_4$  generated –  $R_T$ ) x (1 –  $OX_T$ )

 $R_T$  = Methane recovered in year T, Gg OX<sub>T</sub> = Oxidation factor in year T (fraction)

For all practical purposes the methane recovered from waste is taken to be zero as methane recovery is almost non-existent, except for in few (2-3) small pilot projects being carried out in metro cities.

### Box 9.1: Estimation of CH<sub>4</sub> generated from MSW – A Case Study of Chennai

Greenhouse gas emission inventory from two landfills of Chennai has been generated by measuring the site specific GHG emission factors in conjunction with relevant activity data as well as using the IPCC methodologies for  $CH_4$  inventory preparation. Chamber technique was used for GHG flux sampling. Ambient and MSW temperatures at the study sites were also recorded. MSW soil samples were analyzed for moisture contents. Gas samples were analyzed for  $CH_4$ and  $CO_2$  by Gas Chromatograph.

In Chennai, emission flux have been found to be ranged from 1.0 to 23.5 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, 6 to 460 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup> and 39 to 906 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at Kodungaiyur (KDG) landfill and 0.9 to 433 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, 2.7 to 1200 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> and 12.3 to 964.4 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> at Perungudi (PDG) landfill. Total annual CH<sub>4</sub> emission has been estimated, based on these measurements, to be about 0.12 Gg in Chennai from municipal solid waste



Source: Jha et. al., 2007

management for the year 2000 which is lower than the value computed using IPCC, 1996Tier 2 methodologies.

The GHG emission fluxes showed wide variations within each site and between the KDG and PGD dumping grounds although the composition of MSW was largely similar. This may be due to the heterogeneous nature of landfill and uneven height and compaction across the landfill areas. Other reasons for variation in fluxes at different points within a site (KDG or PGD) may be attributed to the changes in moisture content, compaction and age of the MSW. Maximum  $CH_4$  flux was observed at the locations with 1.5-2.5 m of top layer containing wastes dumped over a period of 1 to 3 years. Lower emission of  $CH_4$  has been attributed to lower height of MSW deposits in the landfill area, uncontrolled leaching of organic matter, open burning of MSW in landfill and climatic conditions.



 $CH_4$  generation potential of the waste that is disposed in a certain year decreases gradually throughout the following decades. In this process, the release of  $CH_4$ from this specific amount of waste also decreases. The present estimates are based on the first order decay model which is an improvement over the mass balance approach used in earlier reports, and is based on an exponential factor that describes the fraction of degradable material which degrades into  $CH_4$  each year. One key input in the model is the amount of degradable organic matter (DDOCm) in waste. It is represented as

 $DDOCm = W \times DOC \times DOC_{t} \times MCF$ 

where

 $DDOCm = mass of decomposable DOC deposited_m Gg$ W = mass of waste deposited, GgDOC = degradable organic carbon in the year of

deposition, fraction, Gg C/Gg waste  $DOC_t$  = fraction of DOC that can decompose (fraction)  $MCF = CH_4$  correction factor for aerobic decomposition in the year of deposition (fraction)

The CH<sub>4</sub> potential that is generated throughout the years is estimated on the basis of the amounts and composition of the waste disposed and the waste management practices at the disposal sites. The basis for the calculation is the amount of Decomposable Degradable Organic Carbon (DDOCm) as defined above. DDOCm is the part of the organic carbon that degrades under the anaerobic conditions in solid waste disposal sites.

Thus methane generated in a year can be calculated as

Methane generated in year T

$$CH_4 = DDOC_m decopom_T x F x 16/12$$

where  $F = Fraction of CH_4$  by volume  $16/12 = molecular weight ratio, CH_4/C$ 

 $CH_4$  Emitted<sub>T</sub> = ( $\Sigma CH_4$  generated<sub>XT</sub> -  $R_T$ ) x (1 -  $OX_T$ )

where

$R_{\tau} =$	recovered $CH_4$ in year T, Gg
$OX_{\tau} =$	oxidation factor in year T, (fraction)

On an average for all cities waste generation rate is 0.55

Table 9.2: CH	, emitted from	land fill	sites in	India
---------------	----------------	-----------	----------	-------

Year	2007
Urban population (million)	352.8
Waste generation rate (kg/capita/day)	0.55
MSW generated ('000 tons)	70818
Quantity of waste reaching the landfill site	49572
('000 tons)	
DDOCm disposed ('000 tons)	1082.46
DDOCm Accumulated ('000 tons)	5843.00
DDOCm decomposed ('000 tons)	906.77
Methane emitted ('000 tons)	604.51

kg/capita/day and that 70% of the waste is reaching the landfill site (NEERI, 2005). Further IPCC default factors (IPCC, 2002) such as the methane correction factor of 0.4, fraction of degradable organic carbon that decomposes (DOCf) as 0.5, fraction of methane in landfill gas as 0.5, rate constant (K) as 0.17 year<sup>-1</sup> are used in the estimation. The factor related to degradable organic carbon fraction (DOC) in the waste disposed is taken as 0.11 (NEERI, 2005). Considering that the amount of recovered methane is zero and oxidation factor is zero, the total methane emitted in 2007 from solid waste disposal site is estimated to be 604.51 Gg (see table 9.2).

# 9.3 WASTE WATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL

CH<sub>4</sub>) is emitted from waste water when it is treated or disposed anaerobically. Wastewater originates from a variety of domestic, commercial and industrial sources and may be treated on site (uncollected), sewered to a centralized plant (collected) or disposed untreated nearby or via an outfall. In this document the following estimates have been made:

- CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from domestic waste water
- CH<sub>4</sub> from Industrial waste water

# Over view of GHG emission from waste water in India

Total CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions from waste water generating sources in India in 2007 was 45 million tons, which is 82% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions from the waste sector. The total methane emitted in 2007 was 1.9 million tons and N<sub>2</sub>O emitted was 15.8 thousand tons. See Table 9.3

**Table 9.3:** GHG emitted from waste water sector('000 grams)

Activity	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
Domestic	861	15.8	22979
Industrial	1050		22050
Total	1911	15.8	45029

# Methodology and choice of emission factors

This section describes the methodological aspects and choice of emission factors for estimating  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions from domestic and industrial waste water management.

### Domestic waste water

Emissions from domestic wastewater handling are estimated for both urban and rural centers. Domestic wastewater have been categorized under urban high, urban low & rural, since the characteristics of the municipal wastewater vary from place to place & depend on factors, such as economic status, food habits of the community, water supply status and climatic conditions of the area. In India, it is estimated that about 22,900 million liters per day (MLD) of domestic wastewater is generated from urban centers (class I and II cities) against 13,500 MLD industrial wastewater. The rural water generated is not handled in any way therefore as it decomposes in an aerobic condition, it is not a source of CH<sub>4</sub>. Waste water treatment and discharge pathways for the wastewater generated in the urban areas is substantial and about 49.2% of the wastewater generated from the urban centers is not collected and treatment is provided to only 72% of what is collected. Anaerobic route as a treatment is used in about a quarter of the wastewaters treated. It yields about 0.6 kg of methane per kg BOD (NSS. 2002) treated theoretically. Use of advanced technologies in wastewater treatment in India is still at infancy as wastewater treatment is provided only in Class I and II cities. Sewage contributes to 60% of the total pollution load in terms of biological oxygen demand which is beneficial if recovered through the anaerobic route.

CH<sub>4</sub> emissions estimates have been made using Tier II approach of IPCC by incorporating country specific emission factors and country specific data. Emission

estimates have been arrived at by using reliable and accepted secondary data generated by various Government and Private Agencies working in the respective areas in the country. The annual methane emissions from domestic wastewater can be expressed as (IPCC, 2002):

$$Td = \{ \Sigma (Ui \times Tij \times EFi) \} (TOW - S) - R$$

Where,

- Td Total domestic methane emission
- *Ui Fraction of population in income group i in inventory year*
- Tij Degree of utilization of treatment/discharge pathway or system
  - i Income group: rural, urban high income and urban low income.

- EFi Emission factor, kg  $CH_4$  / kg BOD.
- TOW-Total organics in wastewater in inventory year, kg BOD/yr
- S Organic component removed as sludge inventory year, kg BOD/yr
- R Amount of CH<sub>4</sub> recovered in inventory year, kg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr.

 $N_2O$  emissions occur as emission from wastewater after disposal of effluent into waterways, lakes, or the sea. The simplified general equation to estimate  $N_2O$  from wastewater is:

$$N_2O_{\text{Emissions}} = N_{\text{Effluents}} \times EF_{\text{Effluents}} \times 44/28$$

Where,

$N_{2}O$ emissions	$-N_{2}O$	emissions	in	inventory	year,	kg
	$N_{2}O$	/yr				

- N<sub>EFFLUENT</sub> Nitrogen in the effluent discharged to aquatic environments, kg N/yr
- EF<sub>EFFLUENT</sub> Emission factor for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from discharged to wastewater, kg N<sub>2</sub>O -N/ kg N

The factor 44/28 is the conversion of kg  $\rm N_2O$  -N into kg  $\rm N_2O$ 

Here the total nitrogen in the effluent is estimated by using the following equation

### Where,

NEFFLUENT	- Total annual amount of nitrogen in the wastewater effluent, kg N/yr
Р	- Human population
Pr	- Annual per capita protein consumption,
	kg/person/yr
F <sub>NPR</sub>	- Fraction of nitrogen in protein, default =
	0.16, kg N/kg protein
F <sub>NON-CON</sub>	- Factor for non-consumed protein added
	to the wastewater
F IND-COM	- Factor for industrial and commercial co-
	discharged protein into the sewer system
N <sub>sludge</sub>	- Nitrogen removed with sludge (default =
	zero), kg N/y.

Industrial waste water: The general equation to estimate  $CH_4$  emissions from industrial wastewater is presented in equation below:

 $Ti = \sum_{i} (TOWi - Si) EFi - Ri$ 

Where,

- Ti  $CH_4$  emission in inventory year, kg  $CH_4$ /yr; i Industrial sector.
- TOWi Total organically degradable material in waste water for industry i in inventory year, kg COD/ year.
- Si Organic component removed as sludge in inventory year, kg COD/year (Default Value 0. 35).
- EFi Emission factor for industry i, kg CH<sub>4</sub> kg/COD for treatment/discharge pathway or system used in inventory year.
- Ri Amount of CH<sub>4</sub> recovered in inventory year, kg CH<sub>4</sub>/year.

### Industrial waste water

 $CH_4$  emission from waste water has been estimated based on the waste water produced in Industries. Steel, fertiliser, beer, meat production, sugar, coffee, soft drinks, pulp and paper, petroleum refineries, rubber and tanney industries together emit 95% of the methane generated from waste water in India. These industries have been included for estimating  $CH_4$  from industrial waste water. Table 9.4 gives the waste water generated from these industries in 2007. In some industries,  $CH_4$  is recovered, and in the present calculations,  $CH_4$  recovered for energy purposes in sugar, beer and dairy industries has been subtracted from the total  $CH_4$  estimated to be emitted from these industries (recovery rate was 70%, 75% and 75% respectively).

Table 9.4: Waste water	generated	in major	indus-
tries in India			

Industry	Unit	Waste water generated
Iron & Steel	Million tons	76.55
Fertilizers	Thousand tons	23417
Beer	Thousand liters	560556.5
Meat	Million tons	3.5
Sugar	Thousand tons	38112
Coffee	Tons	386778.5
Soft Drink	Million bottles	2187.3
Pulp & Paper	Thousand tins	6242.5
Petroleum	Million tins	208.5
Rubber	Thousand tons	3015
Leathers	Thousand tons	104515

10

### **Greenhouse Gas Emission Profile: Key Features**

#### 10.1 OVERVIEW

India emitted 1727.71 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalents  $(CO_2 \text{ eq.})$  in 2007 with LULUCF.  $CO_2 \text{ eq.}$  is the sum total of  $CO_{2'}$   $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emitted in terms of their respective global warming potentials. See Box 10.1 for the key results. This section describes the emissions by gases, by sector and also compares 2007 emissions with the 1994 emissions that was published in India's Initial National Communication to the UNFCCC.

#### Box 10.1: Key Results

- The total net Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions from India in 2007 were 1727.71 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent (eq) of which
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were 1221.76 million tons;
  - $CH_4$  emissions were 20.56 million tons; and
  - $N_2O$  emissions were 0.24 million tons
- GHG emissions from Energy, Industry, Agriculture, and Waste sectors constituted 58%, 22%, 17% and 3% of the net CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions respectively.
- Energy sector emitted 1100.06 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq, of which 719.31 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq were emitted from electricity generation and 142.04 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq from the transport sector.
- Industry sector emitted 412.55 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq.
- LULUCF sector was a net sink. It sequestered 177.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- India's per capita CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions including LULUCF were 1.5 tons/capita in 2007.

### 10.2 GAS BY GAS EMISSIONS

**Carbon dioxide:** The total  $CO_2$  emitted from India was 1497.03 million tons. Of this the energy sector emitted 923 million tons. The industry sector emitted 405.9 million tons of  $CO_2$  and the land use land use change and forestry (LULUCF) sector emitted 98.3 million tons. The LULUCF sector also sequestered 27.5 million tons. (Figure 10.1)

**Methane:** Total  $CH_4$  emitted in 2007 was 20.5 million tons. The energy sector emitted 4.27 million tons of  $CH_4$ . The industry sector emitted 0.15 million tons of  $CH_4$ . 13.77 million tons and 2.52 million tons of  $CH_4$  were emitted from agriculture and waste sectors respectively.  $CH_4$  emissions from the agriculture sector is the largest and it is 77.1% of the total  $CH_4$  emitted in 2007 (figure 10.2). Within the agriculture sector  $CH_4$  emitted due to enteric fermentation in livestock constitutes more than half (56.6%) of the total of  $CH_4$  emitted in 2007.

**Nitrous oxide:** The total  $N_2O$  emissions from India in 2007 were 0.24 million tons. The energy sector emitted 0.06 million tons of  $N_2O$ . The industry sector emitted 0.02 million tons. The agriculture sector emitted 0.15 million tons and the waste sector contributed 0.02 million tons to the total  $N_2O$  emitted in 2007. The agriculture sector alone contributes more than half (60%) of the total  $N_2O$  emitted from the country.  $N_2O$  from agricultural soils alone constitute 58% of the total  $N_2O$  emitted in 2007 from all sectors. (Figure 10.3)

### **10.3 SECTORAL EMISSIONS**

**Energy:** The energy sector emitted 1100.06 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq due to fossil fuel combustion in electricity generation, transport, commercial/Institutional establishments, agriculture/fisheries, and energy intensive industries such as petroleum refining and manufacturing





\*Change between 2005-2007



**Figure 10.2:** CH<sub>4</sub> emission and distribution by sector in million tons



Figure 10.3: N<sub>2</sub>O emitted by sector in '000 tons in 2007

of solid fuels, including biomass use in residential sector. Fugitive emissions from mining and extraction of coal, oil and natural gas are also accounted for in the energy sector. The distribution of the emissions across the source categories in energy sector is shown in Figure 10.4.

**Electricity Generation:** The total greenhouse gas emissions from electricity generation in 2007 was 719.31 million tons  $CO_2$  eq. This includes both grid and captive power. The  $CO_2$  eq emissions from electricity generation were 65.4% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emitted from the energy sector. Coal constituted about 90% of the total fuel mix used.

**Petroleum Refining and Solid Fuel Manufacturing:** These energy intensive industries emitted 33.85 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007. The solid fuels include manufacturing of coke & briquettes.

**Transport:** The transport sector emissions are reported from road transport, aviation, railways and navigation. In 2007, the transport sector emitted 142.04 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq. Road transport, being the dominant mode of transport in the country, emitted 87% of the total  $CO_2$ equivalent emissions from the transport sector. The aviation sector in comparison only emitted 7% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emissions. The rest were emitted by railways (5%) and navigation (1%) sectors. The bunker emissions from aviation and navigation have also been estimated but are not counted in the national totals. (Figure 10.5).

**Residential & Commercial:** The residential sector in India is one of the largest consumers of fuel outside the energy industries. Biomass constitutes the largest portion of the total fuel mix use in this sector. Commercial and institutional sector uses oil & natural gas over and above the conventional electricity for its power needs. The total CO<sub>2</sub> eq emission from residential & commercial/institution sector was 139.51 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq in 2007.

**Agriculture & Fisheries:** The agriculture/ fisheries activities together emitted 33.66 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq due to energy use in the sector other than grid electricity.

**Fugitive Emissions:**  $CH_4$  escapes into the atmosphere due to mining of coal, and due to venting, flaring, transport and storage of oil and natural gas. The total  $CO_2$  eq emissions from this source category in 2007 was 31.70 million tons  $CO_2$  eq.



Figure 10.4: GHG emissions from Energy Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).



**Figure 10.5:** GHG emissions from Transport Sector by mode of transport in 2007 (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

**Industry:** Industrial activities together emitted 412.55 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq of GHG in 2007. Industry sector emissions have been estimated from manufacturing of minerals, metals, chemicals, other specific industries, and from non-energy product use. The emissions covered in the industry sector include fossil fuel combustion related emissions as well as the process based emissions. (Figure 10.6).

**Cement and Other Minerals:** The cement industry emitted 129.92 million tons of  $CO_2$ , which is 32% of the total  $CO_2$  eq emissions from the Industry sector. The emissions cover the entire technology mix for manufacturing of cement in the country covering large, medium and white cement plants. The other minerals



**Figure 10.6:** GHG emissions from Industry Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

like glass and ceramic production and soda ash use together emit 1.01 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Iron and Steel and Other Metals:** The iron and steel industry emitted 117.32 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq. The estimate covers integrated and mini steel plants. The production of other metals, namely, aluminum, ferroalloys, lead, zinc and copper production lead to an emission of 5.42 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Chemicals:** The chemical industries together emitted 8% of the total GHG emissions from the industry sector (33.50 million tons).

**Other Industries:** Other industries comprising of pulp/ paper, leather, textiles, food processing, mining and quarrying, and non specific industries comprising of rubber, plastic, watches, clocks, transport equipment, furniture etc., together emitted 124.53 million tons. The rest of the emissions in the Industry sector came from the non-energy product uses and this sector emitted 0.85 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq, and was mainly from use of oil products and coal-derived oils primarily intended for purposes other than combustion.

**Agriculture:** The agriculture sector emitted 334.41 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007. Estimates of GHG emissions from the agriculture sector arise from enteric fermentation in livestock, manure management, rice paddy cultivation, agricultural soils and on field burning of crop residue. (Figure 10.7)

**Livestock:** Enteric fermentation in livestock released 212.10 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq (10.1 million tons of  $CH_4$ ). This constituted 63.4% of the total GHG emissions ( $CO_2$  eq) from agriculture sector in India. The estimates cover all livestock, namely, cattle, buffalo, sheep, goats, poultry, donkeys, camels, horses and others. Manure management emitted 2.44 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq.

**Rice Cultivation:** Rice cultivation emitted 69.87 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq or 3.33 million tons of  $CH_4$ . The emissions cover all forms of water management practiced in the country for rice cultivation, namely, irrigated, rainfed, deep water and upland rice. The upland rice are zero emitters and irrigated continuously



**Figure 10.7:** GHG emissions from Agriculture Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

flooded fields and deep water rice emit maximum methane per unit area.

Agricultural Soils and Field Burning of Crop Residue: Agricultural soils are a source of  $N_2O$ , mainly due to application of nitrogenous fertilizers in the soils. Burning of crop residue leads to the emission of a number of gases and pollutants. Amongst them,  $CO_2$  is considered to be C neutral, and therefore not included in the estimations. Only  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  are considered for this report. The total  $CO_2$  eq emitted from these two sources were 50.00 million tons.

Land Use Land Use Change and Forestry: The estimates from LULUCF sector include emission by sources and or removal by sinks from changes in forest land, crop land, grassland and settlements. Wet lands have not been considered due to paucity of data. The LULUCF sector in 2007 was a net sink. It sequestered 177.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> in 2007. (Figure 10.8)

**Forest Land:** This includes estimates of emissions and removal from above and below ground biomass in very dense, moderately dense, open forests, and scrub lands. Estimates indicate that forest land sequestered 67.8 million tons of  $CO_2$  in 2007. However, fuel wood extracted non-sustainably from forests lead to an emission of 67.80 million tons of  $CO_2$  in 2007.

**Crop Lands:** The emission estimates have been made from net sown area as well as fallow land. The crop land sequestered 207.52 million tons of  $CO_2$  in 2007.



**Figure 10.8:** GHG emissions and removals from LULUCF sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).



**Figure 10.9:** GHG emissions from Waste Sector (million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> eq).

**Grassland:** Changes in Grassland resulted in the emission of 10.49 million tons of  $CO_2$  due to decrease in grass land area by 3.4 million ha between the two periods.

**Settlements:** Land converted to settlements though increased by 0.01 million ha during the period, however, the conversions did not lead to an emission but a net removal of 0.04 million tons.

**Waste:** The waste sector emissions were 57.73 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq from municipal solid waste management,

domestic waste water and industrial waste water management. (Figure 10.9)

*Municipal Solid Waste (MSW):* Systematic disposal of solid waste is carried out only in the cities in India resulting in  $CH_4$  emissions due to aerobic conditions generated due to accumulation of waste over the years. It is estimated that the MSW generation and disposal resulted in the emissions of 12.69 million tons of  $CO_2$  eq in 2007.

*Waste Water:* The waste water generation emissions are the sum total of emissions from domestic waste water and waste water disposal in industries. Waste water management in both these categories together emitted 45.03 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>

# 10.4 COMPARISON WITH 1994 GHG INVENTORY

The 1994 assessment is available in India's Initial National Communication to the UNFCCC. Both the 1994 and 2007 assessments have been prepared using the IPCC guidelines for preparation of national greenhouse gas inventories by sources and removal by sinks. The distinctive key features of the two and the improvements in the 2007 assessments are indicated in Box 10.2.

A gas by gas comparison indicates that the  $CO_2$  emissions have increased by 381.15 million tons between 1994 and

	logical i catal co ana improvonionto
1994 Assessment	2007 Assessment
<ul> <li>Estimates made using only revised 1996 IPCC guidelines.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Estimates made using revised IPCC 1996 guidelines (1997), IPCC Good Practice Guidance (2000), the LULUCF Good Practice Guidance (2003).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>LULUCF included emissions from changes in forest land.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Carbon pools in addition to forests have been considered in the LULUCF sector (crop land, grass land, settlements).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Emission factors were a mix of default factors taken from IPCC and country specific (CS) emission factors. 26% of the source categories used CS factors.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Emission factors were also a mix of default and CS emission factors but leading to improved accuracy as more number of CSs have been used in this assessment (35% of the source categories used CS factors).</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The 1994 assessment splits the emissions from industry in to two parts - fossil fuel and process. The fossil fuel emissions are reported in Energy and process emissions in Industry.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The 2007 assessment reports both fossil fuel related and process based emissions from Industry as a part of the Industry sector.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>In 1994, 7% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> eq emissions were made using Tier III approach.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In 2007, 12% of the emissions are made using Tier III approach, implying greater accuracy.</li> </ul>

### Box 10.2: 2007 and 1994 - Key Methodological Features and Improvements

2007 with LULUCF. Without LULUCF,  $CO_2$  emissions have increased from 817.02 million tons in 1994 to 1497.03 million tons in 2007. The CH<sub>4</sub> emissions have only grown by 2.48 million tons with respect to 1994. The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have grown by 0.061 million tons between 1994 and 2007, A comparative analysis of the gas by gas emissions is shown in Table 10.1

A Sectoral comparison of the emissions in 1994 and 2007 is provided in Table 10.2. Emissions from electricity generation, cement and waste are growing at a faster rate with respect to others. The compounded annual growth rates are 5.6%, 6.0% and 7.3%. These are mainly associated with the needs of the growing economy. The summary of the GHG emissions of India from all sectors in 2007 emissions is provided in Table 10.3.

# **Table 10.1:** Gas by gas comparison between 1994and 2007 in million tons of CO, eq

	1994		2	CAGR (%)	
CO <sub>2</sub> with					
LULUCF	793.49		1221.70		3.4
CO <sub>2</sub>					
without					
LULUCF	817.02	(65.3%)	1497.03	(74.6%)	4.8
CH <sub>4</sub>	18.08	(30.3%)	20.56	(21.5%)	1.0
N <sub>2</sub> O	0.178	(4.4%)	0.24	(3.9%)	2.3
CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
with					
LULUCF	1228.50		1727.70		2.9
CO <sub>2</sub> eq					
without					
LULUCF	1252.00		1904.70		3.3

Note: Figures in brackets represent percentage emissions with respect to total  $CO_2$  eq. emissions without LULUCF

### 10.5 PER CAPITA EMISSIONS

The population in India in 2007 was 1.15 billion approximately representing 17% of global population (UNSTAT, 2007). The per capita GHG emission without LULUCF is estimated to be 1.7 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent/ capita and with LULUCF it is 1.5 tons/capita. In terms of CO<sub>2</sub>, the per capita emission was 1.3 tons CO<sub>2</sub> per capita or 0.35 tons of C per capita. In comparison, in 1994, the population was 897 million, comprising 15.8% of world population. The per capita GHG emissions in 1994 were accordingly 1.4 t  $CO_2$  eq/ capita, 0.9 tons  $CO_2$ / capita or 0.24 tons C/capita. (Figure 10.10)

	1	994	2	007	CAGR (%)
Electricity	355.03	(28.4%)	719.30	(37.8%)	5.6
Transport	80.28	(6.4%)	142.04	(7.5%)	4.5
Residential	78.89	(6.3%)	137.84	(7.2%)	4.4
Other Energy	78.93	(6.3%)	100.87	(5.3%)	1.9
Cement	60.87	(4.9%)	129.92	(6.8%)	6.0
Iron & Steel	90.53	(7.2%)	117.32	(6.2%)	2.0
Other					
Industry	125.41	(10.0%)	165.31	(8.7%)	2.2
Agriculture	344.48	(27.5%)	334.41	(17.6%)	-0.2
Waste	23.23	(1.9%)	57.73	(3.0%)	7.3
Total without					
LULUCF	1251.95		1904.73		3.3
LULUCF	14.29		-177.03		
Total with					
LULUCF	1228.54		1727.71		2.9

Table 10.2: A comparison of emissions by sector	•
between 1994 and 2007 in million tons of CO, e	q

Note: Figure in brackets indicate percentage emissions from each sector with respect to total GHG emissions without LULUCF in 1994 and 2007 respectively



Figure 10.10: Comparison of per capita emissions (tons/capita)

Table 10.3: Greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removal by sinks from India in 2007 (thousand tons)

	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	CO <sub>2</sub> removals	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
GRAND TOTAL	1497029.20	275358.00	20564.20	239.31	1727706.10
ENERGY	992836.30		4266.05	56.88	1100056.89
Electricity generation	715829.80		8.14	10.66	719305.34
Other energy industries	33787.50		1.72	0.07	33845.32
Transport	138858.00		23.47	8.67	142038.57
Road transport	121211.00		23.00	6.00	123554.00
Railways	6109.00		0.34	2.35	6844.64
Aviation	10122.00		0.10	0.28	10210.90
Navigation	1416.00		0.13	0.04	1431.13
Residential	69427.00		2721.94	36.29	137838.49
Commercial / Institutional	1657.00		0.18	0.04	1673.18
Agriculture/ Fisheries	33277.00		1.20	1.15	33658.70
Fugitive emissions			1509.40		31697.30
INDUSTRY	405862.90		14.77	20.56	412546.53
Minerals	130783.95		0.32	0.46	130933.27
Cement production	129920.00				129920.00
Glass & ceramic production	277.82		0.32	0.46	427.14
Other uses of soda ash	586.12				586.12
Chemicals	27888.86		11.14	17.33	33496.42
Ammonia production	10056.43				10056.43
Nitric acid production				16.05	4975.50
Carbide production	119.58				119.58
Titanium dioxide production	88.04				88.04
Methanol production	266.18		0.91		285.37
Ethylene production	7072.52		9.43		7270.64
EDC & VCM production	198.91				198.91
Ethylene Oxide production	93.64		0.19		97.71
Acrylonitrile production	37.84		0.01		37.98
Carbon Black production	1155.52		0.03		1156.07
caprolactam				1.08	336.22
Other chemical	8800.21		0.56	0.20	8873.97
Metals	122371.43		0.95	1.11	122736.91
Iron & Steel production	116958.37		0.85	1.09	117315.63
Ferroalloys production	2460.70		0.08		2462.29
Aluminium production	2728.87		0.01	0.00	2729.91
Lead production	84.13		0.00	0.01	86.38
Zinc production	76.11		0.00	0.01	77.99
Copper	63.25		0.01	0.00	64.70
Other Industries	123969.17		2.37	1.65	124530.44
Pulp and paper	5222.50		0.05	0.08	5248.35
Food processing	27625.53		1.12	0.22	27717.25
Textile and leather	1861.11		0.03	0.02	1867.94
Mining and quarrying	1460.26		0.06	0.01	1464.62
Non-specific industries	87799.77		1.11	1.32	88232.28

(contd. on next page)

### (contd...)

	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	CO <sub>2</sub> removals	CH <sub>4</sub>	$N_2O$	CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent
Non energy product use	849.49				849.49
Lubricant	776.75				776.75
Paraffin wax	72.75				72.75
AGRICULTURE			13767.80	146.07	334405.50
Enteric fermentation			10099.80		212095.80
Livestock Manure management			115.00	0.07	2436.70
Rice cultivation			3327.00		69867.00
Soils				140.00	43400.00
Burning of crop residue			226.00	6.00	6606.00
LULUCF	98330.00	275358.00			-177028.00
Forestland		67800.00			-67800.00
Cropland		207520.00			-207520.00
Grassland	10490.00				10490.00
Settlement		38.00			-38.00
Wetland	NE				NE
Other land	NO				NO
Fuel wood use in forests	87840.00				87840.00
Waste			2515.58	15.80	57725.18
Municipal Solid waste			604.51		12694.71
Domestic waste water			861.07	15.80	22980.47
Industrial waste water			1050.00		22050.00
Bunkers*	3454		0.03	0.10	3484.45
Aviation Bunkers	3326		0.02	0.09	3355.31
Marine bunkers	128		0.01	0.003	129.14

Note: LULUCF: Land Use Land Use Change & Forestry \*Not included in the national totals. NE: Not estimated; NO: Not occuring

# 11

### **Future Perspective**

The robustness of an inventory making process is dependent on the tier of methodology used for estimating the same. Higher the tier, more representative is the emission estimated using the same with respect to the actual emissions. Of the total 1727.7 million tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent emissions from India in 2007, 21% of the emissions have been estimated using Tier I methodology, 67% by Tier II and 12% by Tier III methodology (see figure 11.1).

In the present work, Tier III methodology has been used for the categories relating to enteric fermentation in livestock, rice cultivation and cement production. This means that the estimates are data intensive and emission factors used are very closely representing the emissions per unit of activity. For example, in the case of cement production, 85% of the cement plants have been surveyed to collect the data on coal used by type, annual Clinker & Cement production by Variety and cogeneration data for large, medium and small plants to estimate the GHG emission from this source by process and from combustion of fuel. Similarly, in the case of enteric fermentation, the dairy cattle and buffalo being



Figure 11.1: Emissions and Tiers of methodology used for 2007 GHG emission profile

the dominating source of emission within this category have been classified according to age groups, to distinguish between, lactating cattle, old and calves which have different CH<sub>4</sub> emitting properties. Further new born emission factors have been estimated across these age groups through measurements and using representative feed intakes in the various agro-ecological zones.

Tier II approach has been used for electricity generation, road transportation, agricultural soils, industrial waste water and municipal solid waste. These estimates have been made using relatively detailed data on type of vehicles and country specific emission factors for some of their components. Wherever, the Tier I approach has been used for estimating GHG emissions, the emission factors are sourced from IPCC publications, and the activity data are less detailed with respect to Tier II and Tier III approaches.

Table 11.1 below identifies the Tier of methodology and the corresponding emission factors used to estimate the greenhouse gases from each category. The table also indicates the key categories identified on the basis of their relative emissions with respect to the total CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions from the country in 2007. This analysis does not include LULUCF categories, as per the GPG guidance 2000. A key category, essentially is the basis for planning improvements in the GHG emission inventory from various source categories.

### 11.1 RIDING THE TIER LADDER

About 17 categories in Table 11.1 have been identified as key categories. Of these 3 already use Tier III methodology. Six use Tier II and the rest use Tier I. It is apparent that all the key categories using Tier II and Tier I methodologies, need to move up the Tier ladder to increase the reliability of the 95% of the emissions from

### Table 11.1: Key category analysis

	CO <sub>2</sub> eq ('000 tons)	Cumulative CO <sub>2</sub> eq	% of total	Tier Used	Emission factors used
Electricity generation	719305.34	719305.34	37.12065	Tier II	CS+D
Enteric fermentation	212095.8	931401.14	48.06611	Tier III	CS+D
Residential	137838.487	1069239.627	55.17944	Tier I	D
Cement production	129920	1199159.627	61.88412	Tier III	CS+D
Road transport	123554	1322713.627	68.26028	Tier II	CS+D
Iron & Steel production	117315.631	1440029.257	74.3145	Tier II	CS+D
Non-specific industries	88232.28	1528261.537	78.86784	Tier I	CS+D
Rice cultivation	69384	1597645.537	82.44849	Tier III	CS
Soils	43400	1641045.537	84.6882	Tier II	CS+D
Other energy industries	33845.32	1674890.857	86.43483	Tier I	CS+D
Agriculture/ Fisheries	33658.7	1708549.557	88.17183	Tier I	CS+D
Fugitive emissions	31697.295	1740246.852	89.8076	Tier III	CS
Food processing	27717.25	1767964.102	91.23799	Tier I	CS+D
Domestic waste water	22980.47	1790944.572	92.42392	Tier I	D
Industrial waste water	22050	1812994.572	93.56184	Tier II	CS+D
Municipal Solid waste	12694.71	1825689.282	94.21697	Tier II	CS+D
Aviation	10210.9	1835900.182	94.74391	Tier I	D
Ammonia production	10056.4336	1845956.616	95.26289	Tier I	D
Other chemical	8873.9664	1854830.582	95.72084	Tier I	D
Ethylene production	7270.63715	1862101.219	96.09605	Tier I	D
Railways	6844.64	1868945.859	96.44928	Tier I	CS+D
Burning of crop residue	6606	1875551.859	96.79019	Tier I	D
Pulp and paper	5248.35	1880800.209	97.06104	Tier I	D
Nitric acid production	4975.5	1885775.709	97.3178	Tier I	CS
Aluminium production	2729.90853	1888505.618	97.45868	Tier I	D
Ferroalloys production	2462.2939	1890967.912	97.58575	Tier I	D
Livestock Manure management	2436.7	1893404.612	97.7115	Tier I	D
Textile and leather	1867.94	1895272.552	97.8079	Tier I	D
Commercial / Institutional	1673.18	1896945.732	97.89424	Tier I	D
Mining and quarrying	1464.62	1898410.352	97.96983	Tier I	D
Navigation	1431.13	1899841.482	98.04368	Tier I	D
Carbon Black production	1156.07397	1900997.556	98.10334	Tier I	D
Lubricant	776.746667	1901774.303	98.14343	Tier I	D
Other uses of soda ash	586.120901	1902360.423	98.17368	Tier I	D
Glass & ceramic production	427.144416	1902787.568	98.19572	Tier I	D
Caprolactam	336.218963	1903123.787	98.21307	Tier I	D
Methanol production	285.369075	1903409.156	98.2278	Tier I	D
EDC & VCM production	198.9093	1903608.065	98.23806	Tier I	D
Carbide production	119.5832	1903727.648	98.24423	Tier I	D
Ethylene Oxide production	97.714015	1903825.362	98.24928	Tier I	D
Titanium dioxide production	88.037525	1903913.4	98.25382	Tier I	D
Lead production	86.3830122	1903999.783	98.25828	Tier I	D
Zinc production	77.9890514	1904077.772	98.2623	Tier I	D
Paraffin wax	72.7466667	1904150.519	98.26606	Tier I	D
Copper	64.7	1904215.219	98.2694	Tier I	D
Acrylonitrile production	37.978538	1904253.197	98.27136	Tier I	D

D- Default Emission Factor; CS – Country Specific

the country. Further, an uncertainty analysis along with the key category analysis will together identify the categories that are most critical in terms of their contribution to the total GHG inventory from a sector or country itself. Once it is done, strategies need to be made to climb the tier ladder. The measures in place should be towards improving the assimilation of activity data, bridging data gaps, comparing data with all data information sources, and improving the emission factors which may be a function of several parameters contributing to the process of emission. Figure 11.2 indicates the steps necessary for improving the robustness of the inventory estimates as well as the inventory making process itself.

Some of the activities that can be carried out to make the improvements can be the following:

### **Energy sector**

- Continuous improvement of NCV of coal
- Sampling of coal at power plant for estimating NCV of different types of coal entering the plants
- On line measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> emission at each stack of large power plants that constitute 90% of the total emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from this source
- Estimating GHG emission factor by kilometer traveled by each vehicle type and using the same data in road transport GHG emission models (e.g. COPART)
- Bridging activity data gaps, especially for ascertaining energy use in commercial, residential, agriculture sectors. Therefore ascertaining the allocation of diesel

and biomass consumptions are key data requirements for these sector.

### Industry

- Measuring plant specific CO<sub>2</sub> emission in large steel plants
- Bridging data gaps in various industries, especially non specific industries amongst others - enhance role of industry associations
- Determining CO<sub>2</sub> emission factor for ammonia production and improving the activity data for the same through sample survey

### Agriculture

- Updating CH<sub>4</sub> emission factor from continuously flooded fields, by ascertaining area of flooding through remote sensing
- Focusing on measurement of CH<sub>4</sub> emission factor from prominent species of dairy cattle in India
- Updating N<sub>2</sub>O emission factors for crop soils in India -extending regional coverage

#### Waste

- Updating data for estimating CH<sub>4</sub> emission factors from waste water in industries
- Measuring CH<sub>4</sub> emission factors from MSW in metro cities in India (Delhi, Kolkata, Chennai & Mumbai)

### Land use land Use Change and Forestry

 Continuous categorisation of land use for 20 year previous to the year of estimate.



### Figure 11.2: Towards improvement in the estimates

### 11.2 CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity building is essential at two levels- one is building capacity at the institutional level and one at the individual level. Building capacity at the institutional level is essential to address the needs of inventory preparation for various purposes, be it at national scale, sectoral scale or at installation level. Therefore there is a need to have a National Inventory Management System. This will also include additional involvement of institutions with varied research experience to widen the pool of institutions that will look at the various aspects of inventory development. The individual capacity building is another aspect that needs improvement of the skills of individuals on a continuous basis to be in consonance with the latest developments in the process and subject of inventory preparation for various sectors, including energy, Industry, Agriculture, Land Use land use change and forests, and waste management.

#### Establishment of National Inventory Management

*System:* Building on the base of knowledge institutions already engaged in the preparation of a national assessment a National Inventory Management System (NIMS) under the MoEF can be developed. The NIMS may address the requirements of documentation, archiving and continuous updating of the databases as well as the QA/QC and uncertainty management issues of the GHG inventories being developed across the years. The NIMS mandate should address the development of Systemic tools and procedures for documenting methodologies, creation of databases of emission factors and activity data for each point source and the various disaggregated sources that add up to generate the national GHG Emission profiles across each year. The NIMS mandate may include:

- Undertake data management and collection on an annual basis;
- Devise strategies for data generation and improvement;
- Establish systems for data archiving and record keeping;
- Ensure mechanisms for synchronization and crossfeeding between emission inventories, national energy balances and relevant sector surveys;
- Provide guidance for technical peer reviews, procedures for QA/QC and uncertainty management.

A web based data base management system may further help in wider accessibility of data to concerned stakeholders and also towards visualisation of GHG data thus generated.

Enhanced networking and Individual capacity building: The present network of institutions involved in this assessment is only estimating the GHG emissions by sector. GHG inventory preparation is a much larger exercise, as it involves data generation, collection, archival, literature survey for emission factors, determination of country specific emission factors, undertaking QA/QC and uncertainty analysis amongst other activities. For each of these skilled approach is required and therefore trained manpower is necessary that will also improve methodologies of estimation with respect to the International methodologies available and may be develop new methodologies to reflect the national circumstances of specific activities that are key categories in the entire GHG inventory. This can be done by enlarging the base of institutions involved in this present activity which can skilfully steer each and every activity required for GHG inventory preparation. Other than the enhancement in the institutional base, it is also important to train manpower in the latest techniques of inventory preparation as well as bring in new capacity to carry forward the work.
### **Sources of Activity Data**

CEA, 2007. Public Electricity Supply, All India Statistics, General Review.

CEA, 2008. Annual Report 2007-2008, Central Electricity Authority, Ministry of Power, Government of India.

Census, 2001, Government of India

CMA, 2010. CMA Annual Report. Basic data, Annual publication - 1994 2009.

CMIE, 2009. Economic Intelligence Services: Energy. Published by Centre for Mintoring Indian Economy.

- CPCB, 1982. Minimal National Standards Oil Refineries, Central Board for the Prevention and Control of Water Pollution, New Delhi, 1981-82.
- CPCB, 1986. Pollution Control Handbook, 1986, Pp. 172.
- CPCB, 1992. Comprehensive Industry Document, on Slaughterhouse, Meat and seafood Processing, CPCB, New Delhi 1992, pp. 33-35, 47-48.
- CPCB, 1996. Comprehensive Industry Document for Soft Drink Manufacturing Units, Bakeries and Confectioneries, COINDS/52/1995-96, January 1996a, CPCB, New Delhi.
- CPCB, 1996. Comprehensive Industry Document on Natural Rubber Processing, CPCB, New Delhi 1995-96b, pp. 8-10, 24-33.
- CPCB, 2001. Comprehensive Industry Document series, Textile Industry, CPCB, 1999-2000, PP23-27.
- CPCB. 1981. Comprehensive Industry Document, Oil Refineries, Central Board for the Prevention and Control of Water Pollution, New Delhi, 1980-81, pp. 15, 65.
- CPCB., 1984. Comprehensive Industry Document series, Minimal National Standards Straight Nitrogenous Fertilizer Industry, Central Board for the Prevention and Control of Water Pollution, New Delhi, 1984-85, pp. 1, 8.
- CSO, 2008. Annual report 2007-08, Dept. of Chemicals & Petrochemicals; Central
- ES, 2009. Economic Survey 2008-09
- FAI, 2008. Fertilizer Statistics 2007-08, Fertilizer Association of India.
- FAO, 2008. Food and Agriculture Organisation, 2008 (http://www.fao.org/corp/statistics/en/)
- FSI, 2009. FSI survey on Assessing Forest cover, trees outside forests, forest strata, woody biomass and Soil Carbon of Indian Forests. Forest Survey of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, GOI.
- IISI, 2009. International Iron and Steel Institute Yearbooks, 2005-2008.
- MOA, 200 Livestock Census, 1997 and 2003
- MOA, 2005. 17th Indian Livestock Census, All India Summary Report. Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairing, Ministry of Agriculture
- MoA, 2008. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2008. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation (DAC), Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.

MOC, 2008. Coal Directory of India. - Coal Controller's Organisation, Ministry of Coal, Government of India.

MOPNG, 2007. Ministry of Power Notification dated 12th March, 2007 available at <u>http://www.bee-india.nic.in/</u> notifications/SO394(E)\_English.pdf.

- MoPNG, 2008a. Indian Petroleum & Natural Gas Statistics. 2007-2008, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Government of India.
- MoPNG, 2008b. Performance of Chemical & Petrochemical Industry at a Glance, 2001-07, Monitoring and valuation Division, Dept. of Chemicals and Petrochemicals, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Government of India.
- MoRTH, 2008. Motor Transport Statistics of India. Transport Research Wing, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Government of India
- SAIL, 2000. Statistics for Iron & Steel Industry in India 2000
- SFR, 2009. India State of Forest Report 2009. Published by the Forest Survey of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.
- UNSTAT, 2010. Population data, UN population (http://na.unep.net/datasets/mapservices.php)
- WRS, 2008. World Rice Statistics, 2008. IRRI, Manila.

#### References

- ADB. 1994. Climate Change in Asia: India Country Report. Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- ALGAS, 1998. Asia least cost greenhouse gas abatement strategy project, Country Case study India. Asian Development Bank, Manila.
- Bhattacharya, S. and Mitra, A.P. (1998) Greenhouse gas emission India for the base year 1990, Center for Global Change, National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi. pp. 118.
- Champion H G and Seth S K, 1968. A revised survey of the forest types of India. Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.
- Choudhury, A., Roy, J., Biswas, S., Chakraborty, C. C. and Sen, K., Determination of carbon dioxide emission factors from coal combustion. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2004.
- Dhand, Vivek, Tripathi, A.K., Manhas, R.K., Negi, J.D.S. and Chauhan, P.S., 2003. Estimation of Carbon Content in some Forest tree species, Indian Forester, Vol. 129, july 2003, No.7, Page No. 920.
- Francis, K. John, 2000. Estimating Biomass and Carbon Content Sampling in Puerto Rican Secondary Forests, Caribbean Journal of Science, Vol. 36 No. 3-4, 346-350, 2000, Page No. 348
- Gaikwad, S. A., Kumar, S., Devotta, S. and R. N. Singh, Methane emissions from solid waste management in India and its uncertainty analysis, 2004. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad.
- Garg Amit, Bhattacharya Sumana, Shukla P.R. and Dadhwal V.K., (2001). Sectoral and regional Greenhouse Gases Emissions for India. Atmospheric Environment 35, 2679-2695.
- Griggs DJ and BA Callender . IPCC/OECD/IEA. UK Meteorological Office, Bracknell.
- Gupta, Prabhat K, S N Das, T K Adya, H Pathak, R Ramesh, N Purkait, K K Baruah, L Venkataratnam, Gulab Singh, CSP Iyer, Vandana Gupta, C Sharma, Pratul Sharma, Vaishali Pradhan, Nahar Singh, S Koul, Khem Singh, P Johri, S C Garg and A P Mitra. 2004. Reducing uncertainties in methane emissions from rice cultivation, 2004. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds. Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K, Universities Press, Hyderabad.
- Gupta, Sangeeta and Uniyal B.M., 2003. Indian woods Their Medicinal importance and Identification Indian Forester vol. 129 October 2003 No.10, Page no. 1227-1239
- Huke, R.E., Huke, E.H., 1997. Rice Area by Type of Culture: South, Southeast, and East Asia . IRRI, Los Baños, Philippines, 59 pp
- IPCC AR4. 2007a. The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Report of the intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.. Editors: Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller . Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- IPCC, 1997. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Editors: JT Houghton, LG Meira Filho, B Lim, K Treanton, I Mamaty, Y Bonduki,
- IPCC, 2000. Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, editors: J Penman, D Kruger, I Galbally, T Hiraishi, B Nyenzi, S Emmanul, L Buendia, R Hoppaus, T Martinsen, J Meijer, K Miwa and K Tanabe. Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Japan ISBN 4-88788-000-6.
- IPCC, 2003. Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry. Edited by Jim Penman, Michael Gytarsky, Taka Hiraishi, Thelma Krug, Dina Kruger, Riitta Pipatti, Leandro Buendia, Kyoko Miwa, Todd Ngara, Kiyoto Tanabe and Fabian Wagner. Published by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES) for the IPCC ISBN 4-88788-003-7,
- Jha Arvind K., C. Sharma, Nahar Singh, R. Ramesh, R. Purvaja, Prabhat K. Gupta, 2007. Greenhouse gas emissions from municipal solid waste management in Indian mega-cities: A case study of Chennai landfill sites. Chemosphere 71 (2008) 750–758.
- Mitra A P, 1992. Greenhouse Gas Emission in India 1992 Update, Editor: A P Mitra. Global Change Scientific Rep. No.4, CSIR, August 1992.
- Mitra A P., 1991. Greenhouse Gas Emission in India A Preliminary Report. Editor: A P Mitra. Global Change Scientific Report number no. 1, CSIR, June 1991.
- Mitra A P., Subodh Sharma, Sumana Bhattacharya, Amit Garg, Sukumar Devotta, and Kalyan Sen. 2004. Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates. Editors: Mitra A P., Subodh Sharma, Sumana Bhattacharya, Amit Garg, Sukumar Devotta, and Kalyan Sen. Universities Press India.

NAPCC, 2008. National Action Plan on Climate Change, Government of India.

- NATCOM, 2004. India's Initial National Communication to the UNFCC. Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.
- NEERI, 2005. Assessment of status of Municipal Solid Waste Management in metro cities, state capitals, class -1 cities and class II towns.
- Pant, M.M, 1981. Wood to Alleviate India's energy crisis, Indian Forester, 1971-1985, Cd# 7, File No. 107, 107\_12\_8.pdf, page No. 800.
- Parashar D C, Prabhat K Gupta and Sumana Bhattacharya, 1997. Recent methane budget estimates from Indian rice paddy fields. Indian Journal of Radio and Space Physics., vol. 26, pp. 237-243.
- Parashar DC, A. P. Mitra, Prabhat K Gupta, J Rai, R C Sharma, N Singh, S Kaul, G Lal, A Chaudhury, H S Ray, S N Das, K M Parida, S B Rao, S P Kanungo, T Ramasami, B U Nair, M Swamy, G Singh, S K Gupta, A R Singh, B K Saikia, A K S Barua, M G Pathak, C S P Iyer, M Gopla Krishnan, P V Sane, S N Singh, R Banerjee, N Sethunathan, T K Adhya, V R Rao, P Palit, A K Saha, N N Purkait, G S Chaturvedi, S P Sen, M Sen, B Sarkar, A Banik, B H Subbaraya, S Lal, S Venkataramani, and S K Sinha. 1994. Methane Budget From Paddy Fields In India, Current Science, 66(12), P-938-941.
- Pathak, H., Bhatia, A., Shiv Prasad, Jain, M.C., Kumar, S., Singh, S. and Kumar, U., 2002. Emission of nitrous oxide from soil in rice-wheat systems of Indo-Gangetic plains of India. J. Environ. Monitoring Assessment 77(2):163-178.
- Prabhat K. Gupta, C. Sharma, Sumana Bhattacharya and A.P. Mitra, 2002. Scientific basis for establishing country greenhouse gas estimates for rice-based agriculture: An Indian case study. Nutrient Cycling in Agro-ecosystems, Springer Netherlands, Volume 64, Numbers 1-2 October, 2002. pp 19-31. Press, Hyderabad, 2004.
- Puri, G.S., 1950. The Ecology of the humus layer in some english forests, Indian forester, 1943-1952, Oct 1950, File No. 76, 76\_10\_4, CD#4, page no. 426.
- Rao, P. V., Qureshi, M. S. and Devotta, S., GHG emission measurements in industrial processes. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2004. 16. Singh, A. K., Methane emission from coal mining and handling activities in India. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2004.
- Sekhar, A.C. & Rawat, N.S., 1960. A note on Mechanical properties of Prosopis Juliflora, Indian Forester ,1953- 1960, File No.86, 86\_8\_5, CD# 5, Page No.486.
- Shukla P R, Subodh K Sharma, N H Ravindranath, Amit Garg, SumanaBhatacharya, 2003. Climate Change and India: Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation. Editors: Shukla P R, Subodh K Sharma, N H Ravindranath, Amit Garg, SumanaBhatacharya. Universities Press India.
- Shukla, P R., Subodh K Sharma, P Venkata Ramana, 2002. Climate Change and India: Issues concerns and opportunities. Editors: Shukla, P R., Subodh K Sharma, P Venkata Ramana. Published Tata Mcgraw Hill, India.
- Singh, A. K., Saxena, M. and Singhal, S. K., Uncertainties in emission estimates from the road transport sector. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2004.
- Swamy, M., Singhal, K. K., Gupta, P., Mohini, M., Jha, Arvind K. and Singh, N., 2004. Reduction in uncertainties from livestock emissions. In Climate Change and India: Uncertainty Reduction in Greenhouse Gas Inventory Estimates (eds Mitra, A. P., Sharma, S., Bhattacharya, S., Garg, A., Devotta, S. and Sen, K.), Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2004.

## Scientists/ Experts - India : Greenhouse Gas Emissions 2007

Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research Dhanbad, Jharkhand, India	Mr. Subhasis Biswas Dr. Ajay Kumar Singh Mr. Ashim Choudhury Dr. Pinaki Sarkar Mr. Santi Glopal Sahu Mr. A. K. Adak Dr. J. Roy Mr. John Kispotta Mr. Vinod Atmaram Mendhe Mr. Shyam Nath Hazari
The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI) Darbari Seth Block, India Habitat Place Lodhi Road, New Delhi	Dr. Pradeep Kumar Dadhich Mr. Prabhat Upadhyaya Dr. Heena Zia Dr. Atul Kumar Mr . Shashank Jain Mr. Abhishek Nath
Central Road Research Institute P.O. CRRI, Delhi-Mathura Road New Delhi	Dr. Anil Singh Dr. S. Gangopadhyay Mr. Chander Bhan
Petroleum Planning and Analysis Cell, New Delhi	Mr. Vijay Sethi
Cement Manufacturers' Association CMA Tower, Noida (U.P.)	Dr. S. P. Ghosh Mr. Jainender Kumar Mr. K.K. Roy Chowdhury Mr. Piyuesh Aggarwal Mr. Ashok Sharma Mr. C.S.Pant Mr. Srinivas Kasiraju Mr. Srinivas Kasiraju Mr. Harish Uniyal Mrs. Meenu Kalia Mr. Harish Papnai Dr. R. Bhargava Mr. B.K. Modi Mr. Naveen Sharma
Central Leather Research Institute, Chennai	Dr. T. P. Sastry Mr. D. Chandramouli Dr. A. B. Mandal Dr. Giriyappa Kollannavar Mr. S.Nithiyanantha Vasagam Ms. V. Shashirekha Dr. Mahadeswara Swamy

Confederation of Indian Industry CII-ITC Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Development New Delhi	Dr. Suman Majumdar Ms. Seema Arora Ms. Esha Sar Ms. Trayee Banerjee
Indian Agricultural Research Institute Pusa, New Delhi	Dr. Arti Bhati Dr. Niveta Jain Dr. Himanshu Pathak Dr. P K Aggarwal
Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal	Dr. Biswapati Mandal Mr. Kaushik Batabyal Mr. Nimai Senapati
National Dairy Research Institute Karnal, Haryana	Dr. Madhu Mohini K. K. Singhal A.K. Tyagi
Forest Survey of India, Kaulagarh Road Dehradun	Mr.Subhash Ashutosh Mr. Rajesh Kumar Raj Kumar Bajpai
Indian Institute of Science Bangalore	Prof. N. H. Ravindranath Ms. Shilpa Swarnim Ms. Nitasha Shrama
National Remote Sensing Centre Dept. of Space, GOI,Hyderabad	Dr. M. S. R. Murthy Dr. C. S. Jha
National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur, Maharastra	Mr. J.K. Bhattacharyya Mr. M. Karthik, Dr. S.P.M. Prince William Dr. Tapas Nandy Mr. Pawan Aswale
National Physical Laboratory Dr. K. S. Krishnan Marg, New Delhi	Dr. Prabhat Gupta Dr. C Sharma Mr. Manojeet Chakraborty Dr. Nahar Singh Mr. Shivraj Sahai
Indian Grassland & Fodder Research Institute	Dr. Sultan Singh Dr. S. K. Nag Dr. A. K. Misra Dr. B. P. Khushwaha
Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar	Dr. A.K. Verma Dr. Putan Singh Dr. V. B. Chaturvedi
Ministry of Environment and Forests Government of India	Dr. Subodh K Sharma Dr. Sumana Bhattacharya, NATCOM Cell Ms. Pooja Kotiyal, NATCOM Cell Ms. Sudatta Ray

## **INCCA Institutions**

#### GHG INVENTORY ESTIMATES

- 1. Advance Research Centre For Bamboo and Rattans, Aizawl
- 2. Alkali Manufacturers' Association of India, New Delhi
- 3. Arid Forest Research Institute, Jodhpur
- 4. Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Kolkata
- 5. Bureau of Energy Efficiency, New Delhi
- 6. Cement Manufacturers' Association, Noida
- 7. Central Glass and Ceramic Research Institute, Kolkata
- 8. Central Institute of Mining and Fuel Research, Dhanbad
- 9. Central Leather Research Institute, Chennai
- 10. Central Mines Planning & Design Institute, Dhanbad
- 11. Central Road Research Institute, New Delhi
- 12. Central Statistical Organization (CSO)
- 13. Centre for Forest Research and Human Resource development, Chhindwara
- 14. Centre for Social Forestry and Eco Rehabilitation, Allahabad
- 15. Coal India Ltd, Kolkata
- 16. Confederation of Indian Industry, New Delhi
- 17. Damodar Valley Corporation, Jharkhand
- 18. Eastern Coal Field Ltd, West Bengal
- 19. Fertiliser Association of India, New Delhi
- 20. Forest Research Centre, Hyderabad
- 21. Forest Survey of India, Dehradun
- 22. Himalayan Forest Research Institute, Shimla
- 23. Holtec Consulting Engineers Ltd, Gurgaon
- 24. India Semiconductors Association, Bangalore
- 25. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi
- 26. Indian Bureau of Mines, Nagpur
- 27. Indian Chemical Council, Mumbai
- 28. Indian Council of Forest Research and Education, Dehradun
- 29. Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi
- 30. Indian Institute of Petroleum, Dehradun

- 31. Indian Institute of Remote Sensing, Dehradun
- 32. Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
- 33. Indian Lead Zinc Development Association, New Delhi
- 34. Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar
- 35. Industries Association Of India, New Delhi
- 36. Institute of Forest Genetics and Tree breeding, Coimbatore
- 37. Institute of Forest Productivity, Ranchi
- Institute of Wood Science and Technology , Bangalore
- 39. Jadavpur University, Kolkata
- 40. Mahanadi Coal Field Ltd, Orissa
- 41. Ministry of New & Renewable Energy, New Delhi
- 42. Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas, New Delhi
- 43. Naively Lignite Corporation Ltd., Tamil Nadu
- 44. National Bureau of Soil Survey Land Use Planning, Nagpur
- 45. National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal
- 46. National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur
- 47. National Institute of animal nutrition and physiology, Bangalore
- 48. National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi
- 49. National Remote Sensing Centre, Hyderabad
- 50. Neyveli Lignit Corporation Ltd.
- 51. North Eastern Coal Field Ltd, Assam
- 52. Petroleum Conservation Research Association, New Delhi
- 53. Petroleum Conservation Research Association,
- 54. Petroleum Planning and Research Cell, New Delhi
- 55. Rain Forest Research Institute, Jorhat
- 56. South Eastern Coal Field Ltd, Chhattisgarh
- 57. Steel Authority of India, New Delhi
- 58. The Energy and Resources Institute, New Delhi
- 59. Tropical Forest Research Institute, Jabalpur
- 60. University of Agriculture Sciences, Bangalore

#### IMPACTS, VULNERABILITY & ADAPTATION ASSESSMENTS

- 1. Action for Food Production, Udaipur
- 2. Anand Agricultural University, Anand
- 3. Andaman & Nicobar Islands Forest & Plantation Corporation Limited, Port Blair
- 4. Arete Glaci-er & Water Consultants Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi
- 5. Central Inland Fisheries Research Institute, Barrackpore
- 6. Central Institute for Cotton Research, Nagpur
- 7. Central Marine Fisheries Research Institute, Kochi
- 8. Central Plantation Crop Research Institute, Kerala
- 9. Central Potato Research Institute, Jalandhar
- 10. Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad
- 11. Central Soil & Water Conserv. Res. & Trng. Institute, Dehradun
- 12. Central Soil Salinity Research Institute, RRS, Lucknow, UP
- 13. Central Water Commission, New Delhi
- 14. Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Shimla
- 15. Forest Survey of India, Dehradun
- 16. Global hydrological solution, New Delhi
- 17. Department of Science and Technology, Government of Sikkim
- 18. Himachal Pradesh Krishi Viswa Vidyalaya, Palampur
- 19. Himalayan Institute of Mountaineering, Darjeeling
- 20. ICAR Complex for NE Hill Region, Meghalaya
- 21. ICAR Institute of Eastern Region, Patna, Bihar
- 22. Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi
- 23. Indian Institute of Horticulture Research, Bangalore
- 24. Indian Institute of Management Ahmadabad
- 25. Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore
- 26. Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal
- 27. Indian Institute of Sugarcane Research, Lucknow
- 28. Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai
- 29. Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi
- 30. Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Pune
- 31. Indian Meteorological Department, New Delhi
- 32. Indian Mountaineering Foundation, New Delhi
- 33. INRM Pvt. Lmt, New Delhi
- 34. Institute of Economic Growth, New Delhi
- 35. Institute of Home Economics, New Delhi

- 36. Integrated Institute of Minerals & Materials Technology, Bhubaneshwar
- 37. Integrated Research and action for Development, New Delhi
- 38. Jadavpur University, Kolkata
- 39. Jawahar Institute of Mountaineering and Winter Sports, Pahalgam, Kashmir
- 40. Kalpana Kalyan Society, Bali
- 41. M.S. Swaminathan Research Foundation, Chennai
- 42. Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur
- 43. Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal
- 44. National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning, Nagpur
- 45. National Environmental Engineering Research Institute, Nagpur
- 46. National Institute of Malaria Research, New Delhi
- 47. National Institute of Oceanography, Goa
- 48. National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi
- 49. National Research Centre for Soybean, Indore
- 50. Navsari Agricultural University, Surat
- 51. NRC on Agroforestry, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh
- 52. Prayatna Samiti, Bedla Road, Udaipur
- 53. PROGRESS, Banswara
- 54. Project Directorate on Poultry, Hyderabad
- 55. Punjab Agricultural University, Jalandhar
- 56. Rajasthan Bal Kalyan Samiti, Udaipur
- 57. Reef Watch Marine Conservation, Mumbai
- 58. Regional Horticulture Fruit Station, Moshobra, Shimla
- 59. Regional Horticulture Research Station, Sharbo, Kinaur
- 60. Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore
- 61. The Energy and Resources Institute, New Delhi
- 62. Tocklai Experiment Station, Jorhat
- 63. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad
- 64. University of Kashmir, Department of Geology and Geophysics, Sri Nagar
- 65. Vallabhbhai Patel Chest Institute, New Delhi
- 66. Winrock International India, New Delhi.
- 67. Zoological Survey of India, Port Blair

## **Glossary of Key Terms**

- **Agriculture:** This includes emissions from enteric fermentation, manure management, rice cultivation, managed soils and burning of crop residue.
- **CAGR:** The compound annual growth rate is calculated by taking the n<sup>th</sup> root of the total percentage growth rate, where n is the number of years in the period being considered.
- **Chemicals:** In this document chemicals include production of ammonia, nitric acid, adipic acid, caprolactam, carbide, titanium dioxide, petrochemicals and black carbon, methanol, ethylene, ethylene oxide, acrylonitrile, ethylene dichloride and vinyl chloride, monomer and other chemicals (dyes and pigments, inorganic acids except nitric acid, acyclic hydrocarbons, basic organic chemicals, inorganic compounds, alkalies and other inorganic bases except ammonia, synthetic aromatic products, luminophores, etc).
- **CO**<sub>2</sub> **Equivalent:** It is the sum total of all Greenhouse Gases in terms of their global warming potential. In this document the CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent includes the sum of Carbon dioxide, Methane multiplied by its GWP (21) and Nitrous oxide multiplied by its GWP (310).
- **Country Specific Data:** Data for either activities or emissions that are based on research carried out on-site either in a country or in a representative country.
- **Emission Factor:** A coefficient that quantifies the emissions or removals of a gas per unit activity. Emission factor are often based on a sample of measurement data, averaged to develop a representative rate of emission for a given activity level under a given set of operating conditions.
- **Emissions:** The release of greenhouse gases and / or their precursors into the atmosphere over a specified area and a period of time.
- Energy: This category included all GHG emissions arising

from combustion of fossil fuel and fugitive release of GHG's. Emissions from the non-energy use are not included here and are reported under the industry sector. This category includes emissions due to fuel combustion from energy industries (electricity generation, petroleum refining, manufacturing of solid fuel), transport, commercial / institutional, residential, agriculture / forestry / fisheries, and fugitive emissions from coal mining and handling and from oil and natural gas.

- **Enteric Fermentation:** A process of digestion in herbivores (plant eating animals) which produces methane as a by-product.
- Estimation: The process of calculating emissions and / or removal
- Flaring: Deliberate of burning of natural gas and waste gas / vapour streams, without energy recovery.
- **Fossil Fuel Combustion:** Is the intentional oxidation of fossil fuel that provides heat or mechanical work to process.
- **Fugitive Emission:** Emission that are not emitted through an intentional release through stack or vent. This can include leaks from plants, pipelines and during mining.
- **Global Warming Potential (GWP):** GWPs are calculated as a ratio of radiative forcing of 1 kilogram greenhouse gas emitted to the atmosphere to that from 1 kilogram  $CO_2$  over a period of time (e.g.. 100 years).
- **Good Practice:** Is a set of procedures intended to ensure that GHG inventories are accurate, that neither over nor underestimated and that uncertainties are reduced as far as possible. It covers choice estimation methods, quality assurance and quality control, quantification of uncertainties and processes for data archiving and reporting.
- **INCCA:** Indian Network for Climate Change Assessment - an initiative being coordinated by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.

- Industry: This includes emissions from industrial processes and emissions due to fossil fuel combustion in manufacturing industries. The emissions are estimated from mineral industry (cement, lime, glass, ceramics, soda ash use), chemical industries (ammonia, nitric acid, adipic acid, caprolactam, carbide, titanium dioxide, petrochemicals and black carbon, methanol, ethylene, etc.), metal industry (iron and steel, ferroalloys, aluminium, magnesium, lead, sink, etc.), other industry and non-energy products from fuels and solvent use (paraffin wax and lubricants).
- Land Cover: The type of vegetation, rock, water, etc. covering the earth surface.
- Land Use: The type of activity being carried out by unit of land
- Land Use Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF): Includes emissions and removal from changes in areas of forest land, crop land, grass land, wet land, settlements and other lands.
- Million Tons: equal to 10<sup>6</sup> tons.
- **Non Energy Products:** Primary or secondary fossil fuels which act as diluent. Examples, lubricants, paraffin wax, bitumen, etc.
- **Non Energy Use:** Use of fossil fuels as feedstock, reductant or non-energy products.
- **Non-specific industries:** Includes rubber, plastic, medical precetion equipments, watches, clocks, other transport, furniture, re-cycling etc.
- **Other Energy:** Includes GHG emissions from petroleum refining, manufacturing of solid fuel, commercial & institutional sector, agriculture & fisheries and fugitive emissions from mining, transport and storage of coal, oil and natural gas.
- **Other Industry:** Includes GHG emissions from production of food processing, textile, leather, mining and quarrying, non specific industries and use of lubricants and paraffin wax.

- **Other Minerals:** In this document other minerals refer to glass and ceramics production and soda ash use.
- **Per Capita Emissions:** GHG emissions in CO<sub>2</sub> eq per person.
- **Removals:** Removal of greenhouse gases and or their precursors from the atmosphere by a sink
- **Sequestration:** The process of storing carbon in a carbon pool.
- **Sink:** Any process, activity or mechanism which removes greenhouse gases from the atmosphere.
- **Source:** Any process or activity which releases a greenhouse gas.
- **Tier I:** Its approach employs activity data that are relatively coarse, such as nationally or globally available estimates of deforestation rates; agriculture production statistics and global land cover maps.
- **Tier II:** It uses the same methodological approach as Tier 1 but it applies emission factors and activity data which are defined by the country
- Tier III: Applies higher order methods are used including models and inventory measurement systems tailored to address national circumstances, repeated over time, and driven by disaggregated levels.
- **Uncertainty:** Lack of knowledge of the true value of a variable.
- Waste: Includes methane emissions from anaerobic microbial decomposition of organic matter in solid waste disposal sites and methane produced from anaerobic decomposition of organic matter by



## Contact

Dr. Subodh K Sharma Adviser Ministry of Environment and Forests Room No. 112, Paryavaran Bhawan CGO Complex, Lodi Road New Delhi – 110003 Tel/Fax : 91-11-24360861 Email : subodh.kumar@nic.in