

Decision of 84th Meeting of the Expert Committee (EC) for Appraisal of Proposals for Import/Export of Hazardous and Other Wastes held on 27th and 28th February 2018 in the Ministry

AGENDA NO.2.1 : IMPORT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ASSEMBLIES ETC. (BASEL No. B1110)

2.1.1 M/s ICT Service Management Solutions(India) Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-161/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the equipment along with cable accessories is being imported from the parent company in Taiwan and will be used in the assembly of smart phones. The year of manufacture is 2014 and the residual life is 11 years as per CEC. The equipment will be exported back within three years. The Committee therefore recommended the import.

2.1.2 M/s Freescale Semiconductor India Pvt. Ltd., Noida, U.P. (presently known as M/s NXP India Pvt. Ltd.) (F. No. 23-136/2013-HSMD) (For extension of period of the previous permission letter):

Decision: The applicant had earlier been given permission for the import of testing equipment Tektronix DSA72504D from their parent company vide permission dated 17.11.2014 with the stipulation that the equipment will be exported back within 3 years. Now the applicant has sought extension of two years. The Committee noted that the applicant in the mean time has changed name from M/s Freescale Semi-conductor to M/s NXP India Private Limited and has submitted the necessary document. The Committee recommended the extension of previous permission upto 17.11.2019. No Further extension will be granted by this Ministry.

2.1.3 M/s IBM India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, Karnataka (F. No. 23-30/2011-HSMD) (For extension of period of the previous permissions):

Decision: The Committee suggested that the applicant may furnish information on the no. of defective parts which were exported during the last three years before their application for extension of the period of the previous permission is considered.

2.1.4 M/s Nokia Solutions and Networks India Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, Karnataka (F. No. 23-69/2011-HSMD) (For extension of period of the previous three permissions):

Decision: With regard to the three extensions sought, the Committee recommended the following:

- i. The applicant had imported 822 nos. of used telecom test equipment against permission dated December 2015 and were supposed to export them back within 2 years. The applicant has now requested extension of permission for retaining the items for another 3 years. The Committee recommended the extension of permission for re-export upto December 2020.
- ii. The applicant had imported 300 items against the permission granted in April 2015 of 338 items of used hardware from Czech Republic for testing purpose with the condition that the items will be re-exported within 3 years. The applicant has now requested extension of permission for another three years. The Committee recommended the extension of the previous permission for re-export upto April 2020(extension of two years).
- iii. The applicant had imported 3 nos of used hardware from USA against the permission granted in January 2015 for testing purpose with the condition that items will be re-exported back within 3 years. The applicant has now sought for extension of the previous permission for another three years. The Committee recommended the extension of the previous permission for re-export upto January 2020(extension of two years).
- iv. No further extension will be granted by this Ministry.

2.1.5. M/s Lahore Hospital Society, BLK Memorial Hospital, New Delhi (New application):

Decision: The applicant has sought permission for the import of 4 nos. of Refurbished robotic surgical equipment (Intutive surgical IS 4000 DA. VINCI X) from USA for reuse purpose. The Committee noted that the robotic surgical equipment was manufactured in 2010 and refurbished after 7 years in 2017. As per the guidelines finalized in the Technical Review Committee in respect of used electronic equipment, the equipment without refurbishment could be permitted for import within 5 years from the year of manufacture and if refurbished could be imported within 7 years from the year of manufacture. Thus the equipment does not conform to the guidelines and therefore the Committee did not recommend the import.

2.1.6. M/s Dr. Balabhai Nanavati Hospital, Mumbai, Maharashtra (New application):

Decision: The Committee noted that the robotic surgical equipment was manufactured in 2011 and refurbished in 2017. As per the

guidelines finalized by the Technical Review Committee, any refurbished electronic equipment could be imported if the year of manufacture was within 7 years. The Committee therefore recommended the import. The residual life of the equipment is 10 years as per CEC.

2.1.7 M/s Alcatel-Lucent India Ltd., Bangalore (F. No. 23-68/2011-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that for all these items permission was granted for import in May, 2014 and Nov, 2014 with the stipulation that these items will be re-exported within 3 years from the date of import. The items (42+21=63 nos.) against the permission in May, 2014 were imported during June-October 2014 and they were supposed to be re-exported during the corresponding months in 2017. Instead the applicant has approached the Ministry for extension of the period of retention by 4 years in Dec, 2017. The applicant has therefore been asked during presentation to write to the Ministry explaining the circumstances under which they did not apply for extension in time and for condoning this lapse.

In respect of item(106+132=238 nos.) imported against permission dated 18.11.2014 and imported during Dec 2014- April 2015 and based on the presentation by the applicant, the Committee recommended extension of 2 years for retaining the items before re-export. It was also recommended that no further extension may be granted by this Ministry.

AGENDA NO.2.2 IMPORT OF LEAD SCRAP (BASEL no. A1160, B 1020)

2.2.1. M/s SVMS Alloys Dindigul Distt., Tamilnadu (F. No. 23/3/2018-HSMD)(new application):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.2.2. M/s Diach Chemicals & Pigments Pvt. Ltd., Howrah Distt., West Bengal (F. No. 23-292/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that site visit has not been executed yet therefore recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.2.3. M/s Kaycee Batteries & Alloys Pvt. Ltd., Jalandhar, Punjab (F. No. 23-167/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that site visit has not been executed yet therefore recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.2.4. M/s Singodaa Industries, Bharuch, Gujarat (F. No. 23/5/2018-HSMD)(new application):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.2.5. M/s Pilot Industries Ltd., Alwar, Rajasthan (F. No. 23-213/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission for the import of 7500 MT of lead scrap (ISRI code:Radio, Rakes, Relays, Ropes, Rails, Rains and Rents) against the earlier capacity of 20,000 MTA. The applicant has now expanded the capacity of the plant which today as per the modified CTO is 45,800 MTA as per the passbook issued by the SPCB. The Committee therefore recommended import of another 8,000 MT (i.e. a total of 15,500 including 7500 MT permission given earlier) of lead scrap (ISRI code:Radio, Rakes, Relays, Ropes, Rails, Rains and Rents).

2.2.6. M/s Gravita India Ltd., Chittoor Distt., Andhra Pradesh (F. No. 23/53/2017-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant had been given permission for the import of 6,500 MT of lead scrap (Rains) against its earlier capacity of 20,000 MTA. The applicant has now enhanced the capacity to 45,500 MTA. The Committee therefore recommended import of a total of 15,500 MT of lead scrap (Rains and Radio) including 6,500 MT's permission earlier.

2.2.7. M/s Nile Limited, Chittoor, Andhra Pradesh (F. No. 23-139/2014-HSMD) (addition of HS code) :

Decision: The Committee recommended the addition of ISRI Code: Rents Radio, Relay and Ropes as requested by the applicant.

2.2.8. M/s S&F Alloys Industry, Samba, Jammu & Kashmir (F. No. 23-41/2017-HSMD):

Decision: Since the site visit is yet to be conducted by CPCB the committee recommended site visit before the case is considered for import.

2.2.9. M/s Chambal Alum Ltd., Kathua, J&K (F. No. 23-76/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the site visit took place in September, 2016 and subsequent to the submission of evidence by the applicant of improvements made, the Committee recommended 5,000 MT of lead scrap (ISRI: Radio/Racks/Relay/Ropes/Rails/Rents/Rakes) for recycling purpose.

2.2.10 M/s Chloride Metals Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-212/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The applicant has been given permission for import of 24,000 MT of lead battery scrap based on their capacity of 72,000 MT/annum. The Committee noted that the site visit was conducted in 2014 and as such the next site visit is due during this year. Taking into consideration the fact that the unit is also manufacturing batteries the Committee recommended permission for import of 7200 MT (10% of the capacity) in the interim and the balance quantity of 16,800 MT will be considered after the site visit by CPCB.

2.2.11 M/s Jain Metal Rolling Mills, Gummidipoondi, Tamilnadu (F. No. 23-130/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted the permission has been given to applicant for the import of 18,000 MT of lead Scrap (ISRI Code: Radio, Rakes, Relays, Ropes, Rails, Rains) for FY 2017-18. The application for further import could be considered in the next meeting for the next financial year 2018-19.

AGENDA NO. 2.3. :IMPORT OF USED RUBBER TYRES SCRAP(BASEL No. 3080, 3140)

2.3.1. M/s Sagitta, Wada, Palghar, Maharashtra (F. No. 23/4/2018-HSMD)(new application):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import. The applicant has to confirm that the chips he proposes to

make can be used for making reclaim rubber and he will undertake to supply only to rubber reclaimers.

2.3.2. M/s Icon Industries, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh (F. No. 23/10/2018-HSMD(New application)):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.3.3. M/s Sri Sai Ram Industry, Mahaboobnagar Distt., Telangana(F. No. 23/7/2018-HSMD) (New application):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.3.4. M/s Nine Corporation, Gandhinagar, Gujarat(F. No. 23/8/2018-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit of CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 16,000 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/tyre scrap for making crumb rubber for use in reclaimed rubber.

2.3.5. M/s Satyam Rubber Co., Rajkot, Gujarat (23/11/2018-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 5,000 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/tyre scrap for manufacturing crumb rubber.

2.3.6. M/s Valencia Rubber Tech LLP, Morbi, Gujarat (New file):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB , the Committee recommended import of 8,000 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/tyre scrap for manufacturing crumb rubber.

2.3.7. M/s EPS International, Surat, Gujarat (F. No. 23-5/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the site has been visited by CPCB and has been recommended for permission for import. However, the Committee observed that the list of buyers of the crumb rubber includes industries which seem to produce or deal in fuels or oil and some seem to be traders. The Committee therefore recommended that the applicant may be asked to give details of

buyers who have been supplied crumb rubber made out of the earlier imported waste rubber tyre as per permission dated march 2015 before considering the case for import.

2.3.8. M/s Tranformex Ferrous Pvt. Ltd., Vadodara, Gujarat(F. No. 23/6/2018-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the unit has not provided requisite facilities for recycling of whole tyre like de-beading strip cutting etc. as per SOP. The Committee recommended that the applicant may submit evidence to the Ministry and CPCB with regard to aforesaid for further consideration of case for import.

2.3.9. M/s Shital Rubber, Sanand, Ahmedabad, Gujarat(F. No. 23-98/2013-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that in the site visit report of CPCB a no. of improvements have been suggested, however from the letter of the applicant enclosing photographs does not make clear that improvements have been incorporated, the Committee therefore recommended that the applicant may be asked to come for presentation regarding the improvements carried out before the case is considered.

2.3.10. M/s Markap Resources Pvt. Ltd., Abu Road, Rajasthan (F. No. 23-259/2014-HSMD):

Decision : Based on the site visit report of CPCB and the subsequent presentation made by the applicant, the Committee recommended import of 15,000 MT of waste rubber tyres for making crumb rubber and reclaim rubber for use in rubber product subsequent to submission of fire clearance certificate.

2.3.11. M/s Radhe Exim Pvt. Ltd., Dhilwara, Rajasthan (F. No. 23-286/2014 -HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB and the subsequent presentation made by the applicant, the Committee recommended import of 12,000 MT of waste rubber tyres for making crumb rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.12. M/s Sasa Rubbers Pvt. Ltd., Morbi, Gujarat(F. No. 5-17/2012-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 10,000 MT of waste rubber tyres for making crumb rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.13. M/s Greencell Rubber India Pvt. Ltd., Maliya, Morbi, Gujarat(F. No. 23/9/2018-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 2500 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/tyre scrap for making crumb rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.14. M/s Western India Oil Company, Raigad, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-126/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the report of site visit by CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 30,000 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/tyre scrap for making crumb rubber

2.3.15. M/s SE Power Ltd., Vadodara, Gujarat (F. No. 5-36/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the site visit was conducted in Feb, 2015 and as such the next site visit is due during this year. The Committee recommended permission for import of 1,200 MT (10% of the capacity) in the interim and the balance quantity of 2,800 MT will be considered after the site visit by CPCB.

2.3.16. M/s Shree Rubber Tech, Nagpur, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-45/2014 -HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that site visit has not been executed yet therefore recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.3.17. M/s RS Shreadon, Greater Noida, Uttar Pradesh (F. No. 23-181/2015-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission to import 20,000 MT of waste rubber tyres on 27/01/2017 based on sit visit report submitted by CPCB. The Committee therefore recommended import of 20,000 MT waste rubber tyres scrap for manufacturing of crumb rubber/rubber granules.

2.3.18. M/s GRP Ltd., Solapur, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-93/2014 -HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission to import 7000 MT of used and cut non-radial tyres/tubes in 11/06/2014. The Committee noted that the applicant is itself converting the crumb rubber into reclaim rubber and has 3 other plant in different locations. Some of the plants have earlier been visited by a sub-committee/CPCB. However, this plant has not been visited so far, the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB but in the interim recommended import of 10% of the CTO

i.e 1200 MT of used and cut non-radial tyres/ tubes and the balance 10, 800 MT would be considered after site visit by CPCB.

2.3.19. M/s AP Rubber Industries, haridwar, Uttrakhand(F. No. 23-91/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the site visit was conducted in 2014 and permission for import of used rubber tyres was given on 02/09/2016 for making crumb rubber. The Committee recommended permission for import of 400 MT (10% of the quantity requested) in the interim and the balance quantity of 3600 MT will be considered after the site visit by CPCB.

2.3.20. M/s Green Rubber Crumb Pvt. Ltd., Raigad, Maharashtra(F. No. 23-186/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission for the import of 20,000 MT of waste tyre scrap against the earlier capacity of 60,000 MTA. The applicant has now expanded the capacity of the plant which today is 98,550 MTA as per the CTO issued by the SPCB. The Committee therefore recommended import of another 13,000 MT (i.e. a total of 33,000 including 20,000 MT permission given earlier) of waste pneumatic tyres/ waste tyre scrap.

2.3.21. M/s A One Rubber Industries, Sonipat, Haryana (F. No. 23-67/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission for import of 9000 MT of scrap rubber tyre in July 2017 for making crumb rubber. The applicant has again applied for import and came for presentation. The application for further import could be considered in the next meeting for the next financial year 2018-19.

2.3.22. M/s Fishfa Rubbers Ltd., Rajkot, Gujarat (F. No. 23-80/2014-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB and the subsequent to submission of evidence and the fire clearance certificate by the applicant, the Committee recommended import of 6,000 MT of waste rubber tyres for making crumb rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.23. M/s Rubix International Pvt. Ltd., Rajkot, Gujarat. (No. 23/132018-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that in the site visit report of CPCB a no. of improvements have been suggested, however from the letter of the applicant enclosing photographs does not make clear that improvements have been incorporated, the Committee therefore recommended that the applicant may be asked to come for video presentation regarding the improvements carried out before the case is considered.

2.3.24. M/s SKVA Rubber Solutions, Valsad, Gujarat (F. No.23/12/2018-HSMD) (New application):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

2.3.25. M/s Kartar Crumbs Pvt. Ltd., Pune, Maharashtra (F. No. 23-133/2016-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee took note of the letter received from Shri Siddharth Kumar claiming to be a director and shareholder of the company. He also came to present the same information which he had given in the letter before the Committee. It is mentioned that the company instead of making crumb rubber the company has been selling the imported scrap tyre as such. The Committee took serious note of this and suggested the following course of action:

- i. The quantity of crumb rubber made out imported tyre scrap of which the quantity given in your application is 9129.88 MT.
- ii. The quantities supplied to different customers along with documentary evidence in the form of invoice.
- iii. The consumption of electricity for the period starting from January 2017 till date with copies of bills received from the State Electricity Board.

The Committee also suggested that a letter may be sent to CPCB for getting the unit inspected for its operational status.

2.3.26. M/s Vinora Industries, Chennai, Tamilnadu (F. No. 23-136/2014-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant has been given permission for import of 7,000 MT of used rubber tyre scraps subsequent to site visit by CPCB. The Committee therefore recommended import

of 8000 MT of waste pneumatic tyres/ tyre scrap for manufacturing of crumb rubber.

2.3.27. M/s SNR Reclamations Pvt. Ltd., Ludhiana (F. No. 23-86/2015-HSMD)(addition of ICP, Attari, Amritsar Port and manufacturing the butyl reclaim rubber instead of crumb rubber in previous permission dated 5/1/2018))”:

Decision: The Committee recommended for the addition of ICP, Attari, Amritsar Port and manufacturing of butyl reclaim rubber instead of crumb rubber in the previous permission letter dated 05/01/2018.

2.3.28. M/s Shivalik Reclamations Pvt. Ltd., Ranchi, Jharkhand(F. No. 23-60/2009-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB and the clarification provided by the applicant during the presentation the Committee recommended import of 10,000 MT of used rubber tyres scrap for making crumb rubber powder and reclaim rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.29. M/s Tinna Rubber and Infrastructure Ltd.(For Panipat plant) (F. No. 5-22/2010-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the presentation provided by the applicant, the Committee recommended import of 5000 MT of used rubber tyres scrap for making crumb rubber powder and reclaim rubber for use in rubber products as well as to be used in bitumen modifier.

2.3.30. M/s Axtron Tex Chem(I) Pvt. Ltd., Sirohi, Rajasthan (New file):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB and the subsequent presentation made by the applicant explaining the improvements made as per the suggestion of the CPCB, the Committee recommended import of 15,000 MT of waste rubber tyres for making crumb rubber for use in rubber products.

2.3.31. M/s Shri Balaji Agro Products, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh (New file):

Decision: Being a first timer the Committee recommended site visit by CPCB to ensure compliance of Standard Operating Procedure as prescribed by this Ministry, before considering the case further for import.

AGENDA NO.2.4: IMPORT OF CLASS CULLET / MANGANESE SULPHATE/SPENT SOLVENT (B 2020)

2.4.1 M/s Piramal Glass Ltd., Bharuch, Gujarat (F. No. 23-109/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that applicant had earlier been given permission for 5000 MT of non-activated glass cullets. The Committee therefore recommended import of 10,000 MT of non-activated glass cullets.

2.4.2. M/s Krishna Raj Fertichem Pvt. Ltd., Gujarat. (23/30/2017-HSMD):

Decision: Based on the site visit report of CPCB, the Committee recommended the import of 1800 MT of Manganese concentrate.

2.4.3 M/s Saint Gobain India Pvt. Ltd., (F. No. 23-109/2012-HSMD)(Two applications):

Decision: - Based on the presentation by the applicant, the Committee recommended:

- a) Import of 7000 MT of Non-activated Glass cullets for Gujrat Unit.
- b) Import of 3000 MT of Non-activated Glass cullets for Rajasthan Unit.

2.4.4 M/s Abhijeet Ferro Tech Ltd., Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh (F. No. 23-48/2012-HSMD):

Decision: The Committee noted that the applicant had been given permission for import of 50,000 MT of Ferro manganese slag for production of 500 tonnes per day of silico-manganese. The applicant has now expanded the capacity to 650 tonnes per day of silico manganese. The Committee recommended permission for import of additional 20,000 Tonnes of ferro manganese slag making the total as 70,000 MT.

AGENDA NO. 2.5. EXPORT OF ASH CONTAINING PRECIOUS METAL (BASEL No. B1150)

2.5.1. M/s Johnson Mathey Chemicals Pvt. L td., Raigad, Maharashtra(F.No. 23-47/2012-HSMD):

Decision : The Committee noted that the applicant has been given permission earlier for the export of 20 MT of ash containing precious metals for recycling/recovery of metals from the spent catalyst , the Committee therefore recommended export of 20 MT of ash containing precious metals.

----X----

