Proceedings of the workshop on Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat Centre on 20th May 2015

Prepared for
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change
New Delhi



Proceedings of the workshop on "Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat Centre on 20th May 2015

For more information

Dr J V Sharma

T E R I Tel. 2468 2100 or 2468 2111

Darbari Seth Block E-mail pmc@teri.res.in

IHC Complex, Lodhi Road Fax 2468 2144 or 2468 2145

New Delhi – 110 003 Web www.teriin.org

India +91 • Delhi (0)11

Table of Contents

Proceedings of the workshop on "Economics of Desertification Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat C	•
20 TH MAY 2015	
Background and context	1
Objectives of the study	2
Terms of Reference	2
Session I: Inaugural session	2
Session II: Initiatives by Ministries for addressing the DLDD challenge	3
Session III: An overview of the issues of Desertification, Land Degradation and (DLDD)	
Session IV: Discussion on study methodology	5
Session V: Criteria and Indicators for Site Selection	6
Session VI: Concluding remarks	8
Annexure I Agenda	11
ANNEXURE II LIST OF PARTICIPANTS	13
ANNEXURE III PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EVENT ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEI	FINED.

Proceedings of the workshop on "Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat Centre on 20th May 2015

Background and context

Land is a vital resource for producing food, preserving biodiversity, facilitating the natural management of water systems and acting as a carbon store. Appropriate land management can protect and maximize these services for society. Conversely, desertification, along with climate change and the loss of biodiversity were identified as the greatest challenges to sustainable development during the 1992 Rio Earth Summit. The United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) is one of 3 Rio Conventions and focuses upon Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD). The Convention addresses specifically the issue of land degradation in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid areas of, drylands, which are home to some of the most vulnerable people and ecosystems in the world. Scientific studies indicate that around 12 million hectares of land are transformed into new man-made deserts every year and that one quarter of the world's agricultural land is highly degraded. The underlying biophysical and anthropogenic causes of land degradation are multiple and overlapping.

The status of land degradation and desertification in India has been assessed by several organizations, providing various estimates. As per a 2007 study carried out by Space Application Centre, India has a total geographic area (TGA) of 328 million ha, out of which drylands constituted an area of 228.3 million hectares (about 69.6 %). The drylands comprising of Arid areas covered 50.8 million hectares (15.8 %), Semi-Arid areas covered 123.4 million hectares (37.6 %) and Dry sub-humid areas covered 54.1 million hectares (16.5 %). The total area undergoing the process of land degradation in India is estimated at 105.48 million hectares, which constituted 32.07 percentage of India's total land area. The area undergoing desertification is 81.45 million ha, which constituted 24.78% of country's geographical area.

India is in the process of aligning its New National Action Programme to Combat Desertification (NNAP-CD) with the UNCCD 10 Year Strategy. The NNAP-CD will strive toward achieving the aspirational goal of land degradation neutral India by 2030. An interministerial effort, this will help mainstream DLDD issues in the national development priorities and be incorporated in relevant sectoral planning, budgeting and implementation frameworks. A major constraint in balancing trade-offs between competing land uses and designing interventions to combat DLDD is the lack of adequate understanding of the economics of desertification, or of land degradation in general. To address this deficiency, the Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change has engaged TERI to undertake a

¹SAC 2007.Desertification and land degradation: Atlas of India. Space Application Centre. Indian Space Research Organization.Government of India

[.]http://www.moef.nic.in/sites/default/files/desert_atlas.pdf

study on the economics of desertification with the following objectives and terms of reference.

Objectives of the study

- Assess the scale of land degradation in the country with the economic impacts.
- Assess the quantum, along with the sources, of investment required for undertaking preventive and restorative measures which can help achieve the aspirational goal of land degradation neutral India by 2030

Terms of Reference

- Examine economic valuation studies and data available from secondary literature and published sources.
- Review Government's programmes and schemes relating to DLDD issues, targets, financial allocations and achievements.
- Select six case study sites for micro-economic assessment in arid, semi-arid and dry sub-humid regions of the country, identify the data requirements and sources of information.
- A macro-economic assessment for the entire country and scenario development (till 2030).
- A micro-economic assessment for six case study sites for full economic assessment and scenario development (till 2030).

An inception workshop was organized on 20 May 2015 with the following objectives:

- Deliberate on and finalize the broad methodology for the study
- Short-list states for the six case studies

In the following, we sum up the key points that were raised and discussed during the meeting.

Session I: Inaugural session

The meeting began with a welcome address by Dr Leena Srivastava, Acting Director General, TERI. She recalled some of the pioneering work that TERI had done in the early 1990s in the area of resource assessment and emphasized the importance of economics in understating both the drivers of resource change and the management of natural resources.

Mr A K Mehta, Joint Secretary, MoEFCC, Government of India provided an overview of the project objectives and expected outcomes. He highlighted the relevance of the study in the broader socio-economic context of food security, poverty and political unrest in some parts of the country. Mr Mehta stressed on the fact that the issue of DLDD comes under the ambit of multiple ministries and departments in the Government of India including rural development, agriculture, water resources, and panchayati raj institutions, thus underscoring the involvement and participation of these agencies in the study. He noted deficiencies in data and analysis in this area and emphasized on the importance of this study in creating new knowledge and providing direction on the goal of a land degradation neutral India by 2030. He also noted that the study would serve as an important input to

international negotiations on the Sustainable Development Goals post 2015 and relevant policy interventions at national level.

Session II: Initiatives by Ministries for addressing the DLDD challenge

Mr. Sushil Kumar, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Panchayati Raj, highlighted the importance of bottom up planning and resource management, which has been more successful in some states (e.g. Kerala, Gujarat, West Bengal) than in others. He said that while the Ministry of Panchayati Raj does not have any scheme that directly deals with the issue of land degradation, substantial funds are available through 14th Finance Commission at panchayat level that can be utilized to take up some of the issues of land degradation at village level. There are 29 lakh elected representatives of panchayat across the country and the results of the study can be used for apprising and educating them about these issues.

Dr. C M Pandey, Additional Commissioner, Ministry of Agriculture, enumerated the many schemes and programmes that have been initiated by the Ministry to tackle land degradation. These programmes, inter alia, aim to address land degradation and make agriculture productive and sustainable by improving the management of its underlying resources such as water and soils as well as by developing capacity amongst the farmers and stakeholders. In particular, he highlighted the increasing focus on crop diversification and improving the productive potential of crops and on developing a policy on agroforestry for treatment of cultrable wastelands.

Dr. S.K. Gangwar, Sr. Joint Commissioner, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, spoke of river development and interlinking of rivers and pointed out that degradation in command areas of large projects is a major issue. The Ministry is focusing on Command Area Development to address the issue of land degradation. He also added that migration from village to urban area is also contributing to land degradation as the fertile and good land is not being looked after. Finally, he noted that the NITI Ayog and National Rainfed Authority also have a role in dealing with this issue.

Dr. G.N. Sharma, Irrigation and Soil Engineering, Expert, Ministry of Rural Development pointed out that more that 60% of the works under MGNREGA are on natural resource management to mitigate DLDD in India through various public works and by creating individual/community assets. These include water conservation and water harvesting structures to augment and improve groundwater with special focus on recharging ground water including drinking water sources, watershed management works, and renovation of traditional water bodies, afforestation, tree plantation and horticulture in common and forest lands, road margins, canal bunds, tank foreshores and coastal belts and land development works in common land. At the community/individual level, initiatives include land development provision of suitable infrastructure for irrigation including dug wells, farm ponds and other water harvesting structures, improving livelihoods through horticulture, sericulture, plantation, and farm forestry, and development of fallow or waste lands of households.

Under MGNREGS it is now mandatory to take up at least 60% work in a district for creation of productive assets directly linked to agriculture and allied activities through development of land, water and trees. An assessment of projects shows that 42% of works under MGNREGS are related to water conservation, 13% to land development and another 13% to

individual land. Joint convergence guidelines have been issued for convergence with other schemes like Green India mission and Ministry of Agriculture and allied sector schemes.

Detailed presentations made by each of these panelists is enclosed.

Session III: An overview of the issues of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)

Dr Pia Sethi, Fellow TERI made a presentation on the various biophysical estimates that are available on land degradation in the country. The session spelled out the definitions adopted by the UNCCD on land, land degradation and desertification. These definitions are broad ranging encompassing all land uses apart from soil including loss of productivity in forests, rangelands, agricultural areas due to a number of anthropogenic and natural causes. These include wind and water erosion, waterlogging, salinisation and alkalinity. Apart from detrimental land use practices resulting from overgrazing, deforestation, degradation, indiscriminate use of fertilisers, pesticides, depletion of ground water, etc., the role of industrial development and mining in causing degradation was emphasized. The underlying or distal causes of degradation in terms of inappropriate policies and ineffective institutions, and the need to address these issues becomes important for the study, given their role in influencing policies at the national level.

The session emphasized the need to use appropriate estimates for studies, given that studies on degradation over the years have resulted in highly variable results. For example, various studies have estimated land degradation in India as ranging between 53 mha to 188 mha and this in turn has produced economic estimates of land estimates to the tune of 75-499 billion rupees. A number of atlases have been developed over the years that estimate the extent of India's wastelands, degraded and desertified areas. Differential usage of spatial methods, definitions of land use and causal processes and coverage of issues under land degradation have produced very different figures from 105 mha to 120 mha that are degraded in the country. By and large, however, while India has almost 70% of its area in the drylands, the area under desertification is about a quarter of the land mass, while land degradation covers about a third of the land area.

The session emphasized the need for adopting one set of estimates and definitions for the country to ensure that methods were kept consistent across all the study sites and were comparable. The attempt at harmonizing these disparate estimates by ICAR and others which resulted in the harmonized atlas on land degradation in the country 2010 was mentioned, with the suggestion that perhaps these harmonized figures (or updated ones if any) could be used for this study.

Ms Divya Datt, Fellow TERI made a presentation on the valuation of impacts of DLDD both in India and internationally. The presentation stressed on the fact that the impacts of DLDD were direct and indirect as well as onsite and offsite and hence it was impossible to understand and capture all impacts in any single valuation attempt. Nevertheless a number of important studies have been undertaken to value some of these impacts. For India, most of these studies have focused on the loss of agricultural production while one study undertaken by TERI in 1997 also tried to estimate the offsite impacts in terms of loss of irrigation potential due to siltation of dams due to soil erosion. Several of these studies rely on the benefits transfer or value transfer method which uses results (typically factors linking a change in the resource to a per unit impact) and applies these in a similar context. Internationally, there have been some attempts to study the indirect impacts of soil erosion

(e.g. impacts of siltation of lakes on housing rentals, or impacts of siltation of reservoirs on costs of dredging) as well as economy-wide impact of soil erosion on income and poverty based on macro models that build in linkages between production and consumption across sectors. The methods underlying these studies were briefly discussed (e.g. productivity loss, replacement cost, travel costs, contingent valuation, choice experiments, hedonic pricing etc.) as well as the limitations and challenges of each of these.

Session IV: Discussion on study methodology

Dr J V Sharma, Senior Fellow, TERI made a presentation in which he emphasized that the study will estimate both direct and indirect costs of DLDD drawing on secondary literature, data and information from the relevant ministries and the in-depth analysis in six case studies. Broadly, the following methodology was proposed:

- Micro studies would assess both direct and indirect costs and development detailed cost-benefit analysis of restorative vs preventive measures to combat DLDD as relevant
- Macro analysis- will draw on the 6 case studies as well as other relevant literature to arrive at the cost of DLDD at the national level.

Dr Sharma emphasized the importance of the benefits transfer approach in undertaking the macro assessment. The method is used to estimate economic values for ecosystem services by transferring available information from studies already completed in another location and/or context. The basic goal of benefit transfer is to estimate benefits for one context by adapting an estimate of benefits from some other context. While the method has its limitations, it is often the best option when it is too expensive and/or there is too little time available to conduct an original valuation study.

Prof. Kanchan Chopra, Visiting Professor, TERI University emphasized that adoption of research findings or academic work into policy domain is important and the result of the study should make an impact on future policies. The present study will have to look at both biological and economic productivity of land resources. Land use undergoes change over time and its drivers could be anthropogenic or natural. The resulting degradation could be reversible or irreversible. The cause of land degradation and whether they are reversible or irreversible can help in determining the right methodology for assessment of costs on a particular site

She emphasized that land system characteristics must be assessed for determining the associated costs and interventions. Some of the important characteristics that need to be taken account of in this assessment are:

- Resilience
- Sensitivity
- Land ownership (public, private or community)
- Predominant land use of a land system

Dr. Gopal Prasad Juyal, Former Head, Division of Hydrology & Engineering, CSWCRTI, Dehradun emphasized that watershed approach best captures the situation of a site and social systems, livelihood practices etc. help in determining associated costs and benefits. These factors thus must be built into the study. He also reiterated the necessity of support from various relevant state and local departments especially in collecting essential

information such as geographical attributes, soil characteristics and socio-economic characteristics. The agreed brief methodology is defined as under:

- Review of studies assessing economic impacts of degradation and methodology (global and India-specific) and main findings-both reports and published papers.
- Identification of six Pilot sites in Six States
- Assessment of Direct and Indirect Economic Impact due to Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought through Primary Research. Direct economic impacts may include agriculture producting and also through allied activities like horticulture, animal husbandry, producting of forests. The indirect benefits would include societal impacts, assets created, siltation of dams etc.
- Application of outcome of primary research for Macro-Economic Assessment with the help of Benefit Transfer Method
- The direct and indirect impact will assessed with the help of secondary data and Benefit transfer Method for Macro-Level Assessment.
- Estimating rehabilitation cost and prevention cost by using secondary data
- Analyze Cost Effectiveness of rehabilitation and economic impact(direct and indirect) and suggesting the land use options

Session V: Criteria and Indicators for Site Selection

In this session, TERI proposed a three-tier system for selection of sites. The first criteria will be to select states lying within the drylands. Given the geographical coverage of arid, semarid and dry sub-humid areas, three sites could be selected from the arid, two from the semi-arid and one from the dry sub-humid. However, this will depend on the inclusion of the next set of criteria. Although the North-East of the country suffers from vegetal degradation, this area, the W. Ghats, patches in the Himalayan belt and some of the Eastern parts of the country lie outside the drylands. Therefore, these areas have been excluded for the study.

The second tier for site selection was:

- Those states most impacted by desertification
- States that include the major processes of land degradation (water, wind, salinity/alkalinity, vegetal)
- Sites that include anthropogenic and natural causes of desertification
- Sites that ensure geographical representation of the country

Based on these criteria, the following tentative list of states was suggested based on statistics provided in the harmonized atlas (ICAR, 2010). Of these States, six or less will be selected for the six case studies.

State			Dryland category
Rajasthan	20.46	6.23	Arid
Madhya Pradesh	14	4.26	Semi-arid & sub-humid
Uttar Pradesh	14.58	4.43	Semi-arid & sub-humid

State	Area (mha)	% of TGA	Dryland category
Maharashtra	10.05	3.06	Largely sub-humid
Andhra Pradesh	9.57	2.91	Largely semi-arid
Karnataka	8.5	2.59	Largely semi-arid
Chattisgarh	4.71	1.43	Others and sub-humid
Uttarakhand	1.25	0.38	Largely sub-humid
Tamil Nadu	3.21	0.98	Semi-arid
Gujarat	3.07	0.93	Arid & semi-arid
Total	120.72	36.72	

The third tier of selection is at the sub-state, case study level. Of these states, the most degraded districts will be selected and a small watershed selected for study. Remote sensing data will be used to assess the extent and types of degradation and changes over 20 years. The case studies will then quantify the economic impacts of degradation and explore options for restoration/prevention

Based on the nature, magnitude of the degradation and its economic impacts, technical options will be suggested, and costs of a restoration/preventative plan for each selected site will be determined

Several suggestions were received on the criteria for site selection from the panelists. These include the following:

- Ms Bharati, Director, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, suggested that the team could also look at the selection criteria used by the Green India Mission of the Government of India which encompasses a landscape/watershed approach emphasising vulnerability to climate change.
- Dr P G Dhar Chakrabarti, Distinguished Fellow, TERI suggested that the team identify specific criteria (including population density, type of land degradation etc.) and relevant indicators for each criteria based on which sites can be selected. This will also facilitate the extrapolation to similar areas at the national level.
- Mr Rajive Kumar, CPD, JICA, Uttar Pradesh recommended that a watershed approach should be followed and the selected site must have some forest area. He cautioned that the issue is very large but the team must stay focused on the TOR of the study given the limited time.

On the basis of discussions, the following states have been identified for the micro-level assessment

State	Dryland category
Rajasthan	Arid
Uttar Pradesh	Semi-arid & sub-humid

State	Dryland category
Andhra Pradesh	Largely semi-arid
Madhya Pradesh	Semi-arid & sub-humid
Uttarakhand	Largely sub-humid
Gujarat	Arid & semi-arid

Session VI: Concluding remarks

In the final session, the group deliberated on some specific aspects of the methodology raised during the day and arrived at some decisions to move ahead

1. Approach for the study- Watershed or Landscape

Watershed approach would be followed for the study with a caveat that an alternate approach could be considered, if required, depending on the site.

2. Number of Sites

6-7 sites would be studied.

3. Sample size

The size of the watershed should not be less than 500 ha

4. Location of Sites

The suggested States for the study include Uttarakhand, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Chhattisgarh, Uttar Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Tamil Nadu. The exact location will be decided in consultation with the States.

5. Indirect or direct benefits

Both the direct and indirect benefits would be calculated while carrying out the assessments Direct benefits would assess impacts on productivity which includes agriculture and allied activities (horticulture, animal husbandry etc), forests etc

Indirect benefits would assess societal impacts, assets, siltation of dams etc

Two scenarios will be provided; in one scenario only direct benefits will be provided and in second both direct and indirect benefits will be provided

6. Methodology

The study methodology should allow a comparative analysis of the results. Comparability of results could be an issue if different methods are adopted for different sites.

- I. Micro- economic assessments: the economic impact (direct and indirect) should be assessed on the basis of primary research.
- II. Macro-economic assessments: For the macro-level economic assessment, secondary data should be used for direct economic impact. The benefit transfer method could be used for indirect economic impact.

Various types of land degradation processes including vegetal degradation, soil erosion, etc should be covered. Moreover, the watersheds can ensure a range of land uses-forests, agricultural lands and pasturelands /common land.

The latest estimates and figures for land degradation for the country should be utilized and as far as possible harmonized figures should be used.

7. Extrapolation

Extrapolation of results from micro assessment to arrive at the macro picture should be done.

- 8. The different micro-watersheds should be studied with reference to resilience and sensitivity so that the adaptive capacity and reversibility of land degradation can be understood with respect to differences in the parameters.
- 9. Land degradation induced by natural or anthropogenic factors
 The study would attempt to distinguish between anthropogenic and natural drivers of DLDD
- 10. Classifying sites based on reversibility or irreversibility of DLDD impacts/ identify tipping points

The reversible and irreversible changes due to DLDD will be assessed qualitatively; ways of addressing DLDD in reversible sites will be mapped out.

11. Land ownership patterns

The micro-watersheds have a range ownership patterns resulting in huge impacts on land degradation issues. Land ownership patterns will be considered while carrying out assessment.

12. Different planning approaches

Different sites and states have different planning processes; this has to be considered while carrying out the assessment and needs to be highlighted. Ministry of Panchayati Raj will be consulted on how planning should be done at the village level.

13. Confidence Levels

The study would aim at delivering results with a high confidence level and should make efforts to achieve a confidence level of 80 %.

14. Population density and poverty

Different areas have different population density which impacts DLDD differently. Linkages with population density and incidence of poverty need to be established.

15. Vegetal degradation

Issues of vegetal degradation and biomass need to be addressed in the study

16. Land Degradation Neutrality

The study should also aim to develop a definition for Land Degradation Neutrality, following a consultative process, which could be adopted for the study

17. Methodology to be uploaded on web-site for comments

The suggested methodology should be uploaded on website and circulated amongst stakeholders for comments before finalization

Proceedings of the workshop on "Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat Centre on 20th May 2015

- 18. Linkage between incidence of poverty and land degradation and trouble spots
 It should be studied as one of the key deliverables
- 19. Financing for LDN Attempt should be made to provide ball park assessment of financing required to achieve land degradation neutrality before September prior to UNGA
- 20. The study will map degraded areas, areas under desertification and those particularly vulnerable to droughts.

The workshop was ended with vote of thanks.

Annexure I Agenda

Workshop on "Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India"

Date of Event: 20th May, 2015 Schedule: 0930 to 1330hrs

Venue: Magnolia, India Habitat Centre, New Delhi

Agenda

0930-1000 hrs	Registration		
Inaugural Session			
1000-1010 hrs	Welcome Address		
	Dr Leena Srivastava, Acting Director General, TERI		
1010-1020 hrs	An overview of the project objectives and expected outcomes		
	Mr A K Mehta, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India		
Technical Session	1		
1020-1145 hrs	Initiatives by the Ministries for addressing the DLDD Challenge		
	Moderator: Mr A K Mehta, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India		
	Mr. Sushil Kumar, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Panchayati Raj		
	Dr. C M Pandey, Additional Commissioner, Ministry of Agriculture		
	Dr. S.K. Gangwar, Sr. Joint Commissioner, Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation		
	Dr. G.N. Sharma, Irrigation and Soil Engineering, Expert, Ministry of Rural Development		
1145-1200 hrs	Tea Break		
1200-1245 hrs	An overview of the issues of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD)- TERI		
	 Biophysical Estimates Dr Pia Sethi, Fellow, TERI Economic Estimates & Valuation 		

	Ms Divya Datt, Fellow, TERI
1245-1400 hrs	Discussion on Study Methodology
	Chair: Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India
	Panel:
	Dr J V Sharma, IFS, Senior Fellow, TERI
	Prof. Kanchan Chopra, Visiting Professor, TERI University
	Dr. Gopal Prasad Juyal, Former Head, Division of Hydrology & Engineering, CSWCRTI, Dehradun
1400 hrs	Lunch
1500-1515 hrs	Criteria & Indicators for Site Selection
	Presentation by Dr Pia Sethi, Fellow, TERI
1515-1545 hrs	Criteria & Indicators for Site Selection
	Chair: Dr P G Dhar Chakrabarti, Distinguished Fellow, TERI
	Dr J V Sharma, IFS, Senior Fellow, TERI
	Mr Rajive Kumar, CPD, JICA, Uttar Pradesh
	Ms Bharati, Director, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India
1545- 1600 hrs	Concluding Remarks
	Mr A K Mehta, Joint Secretary, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India
	Dr J V Sharma, IFS, Senior Fellow, TERI

Annexure II List of Participants

S.No.	Name	Designation	Organization	Email	Mobile No.
1	Dr Leena Srivastava	Acting Director General	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	<u>leena@teri.res.in</u>	
2	Mr A K Mehta	Joint Secretary	Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change	akmehta@nic.in	
3	Mr Sushil Kumar	Joint Secretary	Ministry of Panchayati Raj		
4	Mr C M Pandey	Additional Commissioner	Ministry of Agriculture	cmmanil@hotmail.com	9868644835
5	Mr S K Gangwar	Senior Joint Commissioner	Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation	sjcbm.mowr@nic.in	9650675666
6	Dr G N Sharma	Irrigation ald Soil Enginnering Expert	MGNREGA Division, Ministry of Rural Development	gnsharma123@yahoo.com	9810825069
7	Dr J V Sharma	Senior Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	jv.sharma@teri.res.in	9350994888
8	Dr Pia Sethi	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	pias@teri.res.in	9811222321
9	Dr Divya Datt	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	divyad@teri.res.in	9811683324
10	Prof Kanchan Chopra	Visiting Professor	TERI University	choprakanchan10@gmail.com	9599240783
11	Mr Rajive Kumar	Chief Project Director	Uttar Pradesh Participatory Forest Management &	rajive20002001@yahoo.com	

S.No.	Name	Designation	Organization	Email	Mobile No.
	•		Poverty Alleviation Project		
12	Ms Bharati	Director	Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change	bharati.92@nic.in	9999892836
13	Ms. Ridhima Sud	Coordinator	Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change	ridhima.sud@gmail.com	9810888125
14	Ms. Shailja		Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change	shailja515@gmail.com	9711720689
15	Dr P G Dhar Chakrabarti	Distinguished Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	dhar.chakrabarti@teri.res.in	9818394225
16	Dr N. Krishna Kumar	Additional PCCF (Administration)	Tamil Nadu Forest Department	krishfor60@hotmail.com	9444275218
17	Dr A K Singh	Additional PCCF	Madhya Pradesh Forest Department	singhakbdb@yahoo.com	9424790023
18	Mr Biju Abraham	PE - Horticulture & Afforestation	UNDP-MoRD	672abraham@gmail.com	7042869655
20	Mr Prashant Kumar Arya	Research scholar	Jawaharlal Nehru University	prashantarya5@gmail.com	9811164697
21	Mr Satyendra Pratap	Research scholar	Jawaharlal Nehru University	satyendrajnu@gmail.com	9711176607
22	Mr Yogesh Murariya	Research scholar	Jawaharlal Nehru University	yogeshmurariya@gmail.com	8586032137
23	Mr S P Yadav	ASG, GTF		spyadavifs@gmail.com	9958103359
24	Mr A P Singh	Deputy Director, Agriculture	Ministry of Agriculture	ajeshwarpalsingh@gmail.com	9431360237

S.No.	Name	Designation	Organization	Email	Mobile No.
25	Mr A K Srivastava	Former Additional Director General, Forestry	Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change	aksmoel@gmail.com	9811984499
26	Mr Irshad Khan	Honorary Professor	Amity University	irkhan51@gmail.com	9717161414
27	Mr C P Goyal	Chief Conservator of Forests	UP Forest Department	cpgoyal@gmail.com	9415202709
28	Dr Yogesh Gokhale	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	yogeshg@teri.res.in	9891836486
29	Mr Ashish Aggarwal	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	ashisha@teri.res.in	9717327678
30	Mr Suresh Chauhan	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	sureshc@teri.res.in	9810712474
31	Dr Syed Arif Wali	Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	syed@teri.res.in	8826280073
32	Mr Siddharth Edake	Associate Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	siddharth.edake@teri.res.in	9711109963
33	Mr Mradul Choubey	Research Associate	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	mradul.chobey@teri.res.in	9643969118
34	Ms Aparna Tyagi	Ph.D Student	TERI University	aparnaoct9@gmail.com	9871755446
35	Dr G Ananda Vadivelu	Associate Fellow	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	gananda.vadivelu@teri.res.in	9811477458
36	Dr C P Reddy	Deputy Commissioner	Department of Land Resources	cpreddy8@gmail.com	9868489551
37	Dr G P Juyal	Former Scientist	CSWCRTI,	juyalgp@yahoo.co.in	9410359886

S.No.	Name	Designation	Organization	Email	Mobile No.
	-		Dehradun		
38	Ms Sonia Grover	Research Associate	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	sonia.grover@teri.res.in	9811904756
39	Mr Varghese Paul	Sr. Forestry Advisor	United States Agency for International Development	vpaul@usaid.gov	9873521861
40	Mr Soumitri Das	Forestry Specialist	United States Agency for International Development	sodas@usaid.gov	9811872524
41	Mr Barath Mahadevan	Research Associate	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	barath.mahadevan@teri.res.in	8376934926
42	Ms Sangeeta Agarwal		KfW	sangeeta.agarwal@kdw.de	9811429292
43	Mr Subhash Chandra	Dy. Inspector General of Forests	Ministry of Environment, Forests & Climate Change	subhaash.chandra@gmail.com	8800921468
44	Mr Jogindra Kumar	NTFP Specialist	Forest Plus	jogindra.kumar@forestplus.org	9953231815
45	Dr Rajpal Deswal	Additional Commissioner	Department of Agriculture & Cooperation	spsdeswal@gmail.com	9416055808
46	Mr Kapil Kumar	Research Assistant	The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI)	kapil.kumar@teri.res.in	9999160566

Proceedings of the workshop on "Economics of Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) in India" held at India Habitat Centre on 20th May 2015